Guru–Shishya Parampara In Sattriya Culture Of Assam: Lineage, Pedagogy, Continuity, And Contemporary Challenges

An Ethnographic and Pedagogical Analysis of Oral Transmission within Neo-Vaishnavite Traditions

[1] **Dr, Manikangkana Barman,** [2]**Manikangkana Das**
[1]Assistant Professor & Head, Department of Performing Arts; Head (in-charge), Department of Cultural Studies
[2]Research Scholar, Department of Performing Arts
[1,2]Krishnaguru Adhyatmik Visvavidyalaya, Nasatra, Barpeta, Assam, India
[1]bmanikangkana@gmail.com, [2]monikangkanadas4@gmail.com

***Abstract*—In Indian performing arts, the Guru–Shishya Parampara (teacher–disciple lineage) represents a foundational mechanism for knowledge transmission, holistic development, and cultural continuity. Within Assam's 15th-century Neo-Vaishnavite movement, initiated by Mahapurusha Srimanta Sankardeva and Mahapurusha Madhavdeva, this tradition emerged as the central framework for developing and sustaining Sattriya culture across generations. This study presents an ethnographic analysis of the Guru–Shishya Parampara within Sattriya performance practices. By examining historical precedents, structural variations across major Sattra traditions (specifically Kamalabari and Barpeta Sattras), and primary qualitative evidence from traditional exponents, the paper evaluates the enduring relevance and contemporary vulnerabilities of this oral-aural system. Incorporating comparative perspectives from classical music, dance, and higher education scholarship, the study demonstrates that while modern institutionalisation and digital platforms expand public access, the relational and experiential dimensions of the guru–shishya parampara remain crucial for transmitting Sattriya knowledge systems across contemporary spaces.**

***Index Terms*—Guru–shishya parampara, pedagogical continuity, oral transmission, Sattra institutions, Sattriya culture, Srimanta Sankardeva.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The Guru–Shishya Parampara forms the epistemological foundation of traditional Indian knowledge systems. Across domains including classical music, dance, theatre, architecture, and spiritual philosophy, knowledge historically matured through direct, highly personalised mentor–disciple relationships. Etymologically, the syllable *gu* denotes darkness or ignorance, whereas *ru* signifies the illuminating light that dispels it. Consequently, the guru is conceptualised not merely as an instructor of technique, but as a spiritual preceptor guiding the disciple's (*shishya*) aesthetic refinement and existential awareness.

Formalised teacher–disciple transmission evolved alongside Vedic learning culture and ancient institutional centres such as Takshashila and Varanasi. In performing arts, foundational treatises like Bharata Muni's *Natyashastra* illustrate how intricate aesthetic principles—encompassing vocal expressions, rhythmic cadences, dramatic structures, and somatic conditioning—were transmitted from preceptors to disciples through systematic oral and kinesthetic instruction.

In Assam, the Guru–Shishya Parampara developed a distinctive socio-religious and performance framework during the 15th and 16th centuries through the Neo-Vaishnavite movement established by Srimanta Sankardeva and later consolidated by Madhavdeva. Responding to social stratification and rigid orthodoxies, Sankardeva propagated *Ekasarana Nam-Dharma*, a devotional path anchored in dedicated surrender (*bhakti*) to Lord Krishna. To democratise access to spiritual experiences, Sankardeva developed a comprehensive artistic ecosystem comprising devotional hymns (*Borgeet*), classical dance-dramas (*Ankiya Bhaona*), intricate drumming repertoires (*Khol* performance), and literature.

Central to the dissemination and preservation of this movement was the creation of *Sattras*—monastic and community-anchored institutions serving as spiritual, cultural, and pedagogical centres. Within these establishments, the Guru–Shishya Parampara became the core structure for sustaining Sattriya performance. Novices lived in sustained proximity to their masters, internalising bodily precision, spiritual discipline, and philosophical frameworks through continuous observational apprenticeship and daily practice.

The pedagogical structure within the Sattra fostered holistic human growth. Rather than decoupling technical execution from spiritual inquiry, the Sattriya framework understood artistic discipline (*Sadhana*) as direct devotional service (*Sewa*). Through shared daily monastic schedules, ritual prayer assemblies (*Prasanga*), physical ground conditioning (*Mati-Akhora*), and daily communal obligations, disciples cultivated an integrated artistic practice defined by humility, moral integrity, and aesthetic precision.

However, contemporary urbanisation, university degree accreditation, and digital dissemination have introduced pedagogical friction into this oral-aural lineage. While historical scholarship examines the devotional and literary aspects of Neo-Vaishnavism, comparative empirical analysis addressing how distinct institutional structures—namely the celibate *Udasin* order and the community-based *Banshara* system—adapt their transmission practices to modern institutionalisation remains underrepresented. This paper addresses that context by examining the structural mechanisms, pedagogical continuities, and current institutional transformations of the Guru–Shishya Parampara across traditional and modern spaces.

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To examine the historical trajectory, pedagogical mechanisms, and cultural significance of the Guru–Shishya Parampara within Sattriya culture.
- To comparative-analyse the operational structures of the Guru–Shishya framework across regional lineages, specifically the *Udasin* monastic tradition of Kamalabari Sattra and the hereditary *Banshara* model of Barpeta Sattra.
- To evaluate the effects of modern institutionalisation, academic degree frameworks, and digital pedagogy on traditional transmission, offering strategic policy approaches for long-term continuity.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This investigation uses an interdisciplinary qualitative methodology combining textual criticism, observational fieldwork, and thematic framework analysis. Foundational regional literature, classical biographical narratives (*Charit Puthi*), and contemporary arts scholarship were examined.

A. Qualitative Fieldwork and Sampling Strategy

Primary qualitative data was collected via semi-structured, in-person field interviews and participant observation conducted in July 2026 across two major institutional centres: Uttar Kamalabari Sattra (Majuli) and Barpeta Sattra (Barpeta). A purposive sampling strategy was employed to select four experienced traditional exponents representing varied structural perspectives, generational roles, and regional lineages: (1) a traditional Sattriya dance exponent and *Udasin Bhakat* (Sangeet Natak Akademi Ustad Bismillah Khan Yuva Puraskar recipient, age 37) of Uttar Kamalabari Sattra; (2) an experienced practitioner and *Udasin Bhakat* (age 38) with full monastic residential training at Uttar Kamalabari Sattra; (3) a senior traditional master and veteran *Banshara* practitioner (age 65) from Dakshin Hati, Barpeta Sattra; and (4) an active hereditary drummer (*Bayan*, age 34) representing the generational continuity of Dakshin Hati, Barpeta Sattra.

B. Ethical Considerations and Protocol

Informed oral consent was recorded prior to all qualitative sessions. The qualitative interviews were audio-recorded with explicit permission, supplemented by descriptive field notes documenting somatic training and spatial dynamics. Audio recordings were translated from Assamese into English and transcribed for qualitative assessment. Participants consented to the recording, thematic examination, and institutional attribution of their primary narratives.

C. Thematic Framework Analysis

Empirical data from fieldwork transcriptions was evaluated using Thematic Framework Analysis. The interview texts were coded into four primary thematic categories: (a) oral-aural pedagogical transmission mechanisms, (b) structural governance variations (celibate monasticism versus hereditary civic lineage), (c) kinesthetic and somatic conditioning, and (d) modern institutional and socio-economic adaptations.

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

A contextual understanding of traditional mentorship requires examining theoretical scholarship across performing arts, historical biography, and higher education.

The Guru–Shishya Parampara is not limited to elite classical art music; it serves as a shared structural foundation across diverse Indian artistic and vernacular traditions [1]. Rather than operating purely as a technical delivery system, the mentor–disciple model provides an environment where masters shape the complete aesthetic consciousness of disciples [1]. Given rapid socio-cultural shifts, continuous re-examination of this institution is critical to evaluating its relevance for newer generations [1]. Crucially, traditional pedagogy relies on contextual dialogue (*samvad*), practical analogy, and personalized critique, which prevent artistic training from degenerating into mechanical reproduction [1]. Modern scholarship must examine how this framework adapts to technological advancement and institutionalisation without compromising its oral-aural principles [1].

Scriptural treatises provide philosophical legitimacy to traditional mentorship in India. The Guru–Shishya relationship serves as an experiential conduit through which spiritual and artistic darkness is dispelled, guiding individual development [2]. This oral lineage continues to find cultural affirmation during observances such as Guru Purnima, commemorating the scholastic legacy of Maharishi Veda Vyasa [2]. However, the intrusion of accelerated market models poses significant challenges to deep pedagogical engagement [2].

Within classical music and dance, mentor-led transmission shows both resilience and vulnerability. In Hindustani classical vocal performance, significant socio-economic strains challenge the continuity of the hereditary *Gharana* system in contemporary society [3]. Modern disruptions include the migration of prospective disciples into standardized, job-oriented career pathways, the inability of gurus to sustain residential disciples without patronage, and the neglect of classical performance traditions across popular commercial broadcast media [3]. Sustaining this intangible heritage necessitates policy interventions, including direct financial assistance for senior master exponents and dedicated scholarships for promising disciples undergoing intensive apprenticeships [3].

In classical dance scholarship, direct mentorship historically preserved aesthetic authenticity and philosophical grounding [4]. Beyond the mastery of physical technique, traditional training instills self-discipline, ethical values, and respect through prolonged observational learning [4]. Immersive apprenticeships allow students to internalize artistic principles that standardized curricula cannot fully replicate due to time limitations and rigid modular assessments [4].

Within Assam's Neo-Vaishnavite history, mentor–disciple relationships developed localized operational characteristics. In Lower Assam (*Namoni*), gurus historically maintained close, sustained contact by lodging directly within disciples' village homes during pastoral visits [5]. Conversely, in major Upper Assam (*Ujoni*) monasteries, preceptors maintained formal institutional boundaries, interacting with broader communities via designated monastic representatives (*Pachani Bhakat* or *Medhi*) [5]. Regional biographies identify wholehearted devotional service (*guru-sewa*) as an indispensable requirement for formal spiritual shelter (*saran*) [6]. This principle is exemplified in the relationship between Sankardeva and Madhavdeva, whose complete intellectual and spiritual alignment anchored the early Neo-Vaishnavite institutional network [7].

Contemporary higher education studies also highlight the importance of relational mentorship. Unlike standardized systems prioritizing transactional delivery, the Guru–Shishya framework cultivates personalized moral guidance and holistic intellectual discipline [8]. Drawing from Indian philosophical foundations, education within this model is structured as an enduring relational engagement crucial for developing professional integrity and contextual wisdom in 21st-century academic institutions [8].

V. ORIGIN AND HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATION IN SATTRIYA TRADITIONS

The historical consolidation of the Guru–Shishya Parampara in Sattriya culture originated directly within the 15th-century Neo-Vaishnavite renaissance. Srimanta Sankardeva designed a unified devotional system where classical music, physical drama, literature, and visual arts operated as interconnected mediums of socio-spiritual reform. To safeguard stylistic precision and doctrinal intent, Sankardeva organized a disciplined lineage of transmission.

The foundational paradigm of this lineage is embodied in the bond between Sankardeva and his principal apostle, Madhavdeva, celebrated in Assamese biographical literature as the *Mani-Kanchana Samyoga*—the union of gold (*Kanchana*) and a gem (*Mani*). Madhavdeva's artistic discipline and doctrinal devotion led Sankardeva to entrust him with structural leadership, bestowing upon him the title *Borar Po* [7]. When original manuscripts of Sankardeva's devotional songs were lost to fire, Sankardeva formally commissioned Madhavdeva to compose fresh devotional poetry, producing a substantial portion of the surviving *Borgeet* repertoire.

Following Sankardeva's passing, Madhavdeva expanded this lineage by appointing senior disciples who founded prominent regional institutional sub-traditions:

- Mathura Das Burha Aata organized Barpeta Sattra as a democratic, community-administered hereditary lineage (*Banshara Parampara*).
- Badala Padma Aata established Kamalabari Sattra in Upper Assam, instituting a lifelong celibate monastic structure (*Udasin Bhakat*).
- Preceptors such as Damodar Deva, Harideva, and Gopala Aata propagated distinct monastic affiliations (*Samhatis*), maintaining strict oral-aural transmission lines across successive centuries.

VI. STRUCTURAL MECHANISMS, REGIONAL VARIATIONS, AND QUALITATIVE INSIGHTS

A. The Celibate Monastic Model (Kamalabari Sattra)

In the *Udasin* (celibate) monasteries of Majuli, young initiates enter the monastic residential community (*Hati*) during childhood under the guardianship of a senior monk (*Burha Bhakat*). Training relies on unbroken residential immersion, where novices observe and practice ritual duties, daily prayers (*Prasanga*), and classical performance forms under direct supervision.

> *"At Kamalabari Sattra, we receive rigorous training in Sattriya dance and music directly from elder Burha Bhakats through an unbroken oral-aural tradition maintained across successive generations."*
> *— Niranjan Saikia Barbayan (interviewed July 4, 2026)*

Analytical Interpretation: This narrative illustrates how residential monastic apprenticeship uses oral transmission and physical imitation to internalize complex rhythmic and bodily vocabularies without primary reliance on written manuals.

> *"At Kamalabari Sattra, the Sattriya traditions have been preserved continuously through generations of celibate devotees (udasin bhakats). Every novice is initially trained in all disciplines—from Sattriya dance to Borgeet and Khol. As their natural aptitude and personal interest emerge, they are mentored to specialize in their respective fields."*
> *— Krishna Jadumani Barbayan (interviewed July 4, 2026)*

Analytical Interpretation: The *Udasin* pedagogical structure provides a multi-disciplinary baseline. Rather than enforcing premature specialization, early immersion across drumming, choral singing, and dance allows the mentor to identify a disciple's organic aptitude, ensuring that advanced technical specialization remains grounded in comprehensive canonical knowledge.

B. The Hereditary Lineage Model (Barpeta Sattra)

In contrast, Barpeta Sattra operates an institutional framework where monastic practices integrate with hereditary familial obligations known as the *Banshara Parampara*. Ritual and performance duties—such as playing the *Khol*, singing *Borgeet*, performing the *Sutradhara* role, or preparing ritual offerings—are preserved across specific family lines. Barpeta integrates householder (*Grihastha*) life into institutional governance, allowing children to learn artistic responsibilities through familial apprenticeship and participatory ritual observation.

> *"The strength of Barpeta Sattra lies in its community-led Banshara system. The Guru–Shishya Parampara here is woven into the social fabric of the families that serve the Kirtanghar."*
> *— Nupur Jyoti Medhi (interviewed July 28, 2026)*

Field evidence confirmed that family units fulfill regular ritual services (*Naitya-Naimittik Prasanga*) according to established lineage divisions, including the Dakshin Hati, Bhima Bayan, Gandhiya Bayan, Galiya Hati, and Sutradhar lines (A. Bayan and N. J. Medhi, personal communication, July 28, 2026).

Analytical Interpretation: The *Banshara* system decentralizes artistic responsibility across the community. By embedding pedagogical continuity directly within family lineages, Barpeta Sattra integrates traditional performance into daily civic life, sustaining performance heritage through shared community responsibility.

C. Craft and Material Culture Lineages (Chamuguri Sattra)

The Guru–Shishya Parampara similarly structures the transmission of traditional material crafts, notably sacred mask-making (*Mukha Xilpa*) at Chamuguri Sattra in Majuli. Originating from Sankardeva's 15th-century theatrical innovations, these craft processes—bamboo structuring, cane weaving, clay application, and natural pigment compounding—are transmitted through studio apprenticeships. Masters train disciples in technical craftsmanship alongside classical dramatic expressions (*Rasa* and *Bhava*).

VII. PEDAGOGICAL PHASES AND INSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION

- **Kinesthetic Foundation (Mati-Akhora):** Training initiates with sixty-four fundamental physical exercises (*Mati-Akhora*, including postures and movements such as *Ora*, *Chota*, *Jhalak*, *Sitika*, *Paak*, *Jaap*, *Lon*, and *Khor*). These build physical balance, joint flexibility, and geometric posture essential for classical performance.
- **Disciplinary Specialization:** Following foundational physical conditioning, preceptors channel students toward specific artistic disciplines based on natural aptitude: dance (*Nritya*), percussion (*Bayan*), vocal music (*Gayan*), or dramaturgy (*Bhaona*).
- **Institutional Investiture (Nirmali):** Upon attaining artistic and doctrinal proficiency, senior preceptors confer formal institutional titles accompanied by sacred blessings (*Nirmali*). Recognized designations such as *Borbayan* (Master of Percussion), *Borgayan* (Master of Vocal Music), *Aagnatua* (Principal Dance Performer), and *Bornamlagowa* (Chief Prayer Leader) certify the recipient to teach and transmit the art form to future disciples.

VIII. CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Institutional Curricula versus Immersive Pedagogy

The formal recognition of Sattriya as an Indian classical dance form by the Sangeet Natak Akademi on 15 November 2000 expanded its visibility, leading to university degree programs, urban training institutes, and global stage appearances.

However, moving pedagogy from traditional residential *Sattras* into formal academic departments has introduced significant structural shifts. Traditional *Sattra* transmission is immersive, continuous, and grounded in lifelong ethical engagement. In contrast, academic institutions function through fixed semester calendars, standardized syllabi, credit hours, and written assessments. While this institutional structure broadens access, it frequently emphasizes technical stage performance at the expense of spiritual discipline, ritual

context, and meditative continuity. Presenting sacred devotional expressions (*Sewa*) within proscenium and competitive performance spaces risks prioritizing visual speed and entertainment appeal over devotional depth.

B. Socio-Economic Pressures and Demographic Transitions

Demographic changes within Assam challenge traditional monastic recruitment. Declining family sizes in rural communities reduce the number of young initiates entering the celibate *Udasin* monastic order. In addition, economic pressures encourage youth to pursue market-oriented professions rather than unremunerated, prolonged apprenticeships [3]. Within hereditary *Banshara* lineages, limited financial compensation for daily temple rituals pushes practitioners toward urban careers, leaving traditional ritual posts under-resourced.

C. Strategic Policy Interventions

- **Funded Residential Apprenticeships:** National cultural bodies and university departments should fund residential research fellowships that support students undergoing immersive apprenticeships under master practitioners within traditional Sattras.
- **Integration of Lineage Masters:** Higher education institutions should formally appoint traditional title-holders (*Borbayans*, *Borgayans*, and master craftsmen) as Professors of Practice, validating traditional lineage certification (*Nirmali*) alongside standard academic criteria.
- **Systematic Audio-Visual Archiving:** Institutional archives must document rare oral compositions, complex *Khol* patterns, and historical *Mati-Akhora* variations directly with senior exponents using digital recording technologies.
- **Adoption of Relational Pedagogy:** Academic performing arts faculties should integrate the relational principles of the Guru–Shishya Parampara—such as continuous mentorship and personal ethical dialogue—to counteract transactional learning models.
- **Collaborative Curricula:** Performing arts programs should incorporate historical documentation, oral lineage studies, and direct apprenticeship practicums to bridge academic theory and living practice.

IX. CONCLUSION

The Guru–Shishya Parampara remains a vital institutional mechanism for maintaining artistic depth and cultural continuity in Indian classical performing arts. In Assam, mentor–disciple lineages have sustained centuries of music, dance, theatre, and ritual craft across successive generations since the Neo-Vaishnavite movement initiated by Srimanta Sankardeva.

This investigation indicates that while academic accreditation and digital networks broaden outreach, they cannot fully replace the immersive depth, physical discipline, and ethical foundations provided by traditional oral-aural transmission. By examining the contrasting structures of the celibate *Udasin* monastic model (Kamalabari Sattra) and the hereditary *Banshara* lineage (Barpeta Sattra), this study outlines an actionable policy approach for integrating traditional master exponents into modern educational frameworks.

Preserving this oral-aural heritage amid contemporary institutionalisation requires an integrated approach: modern academic institutions must partner with traditional preceptors, safeguarding the core relational and somatic traditions of Sattriya culture for future generations.

APPENDIX

TABLE I
Primary Fieldwork Participants

No.	Participant Name	Age	Traditional Role / Honor	Institutional Affiliation	Interview Date
1	Niranjan Saikia Barbayan	37	Experienced Practitioner, Udasin Bhakat (SNA Yuva Puraskar)	Uttar Kamalabari Sattra, Majuli	July 4, 2026
2	Krishna Jadumani Barbayan	38	Experienced Practitioner, Udasin Bhakat	Uttar Kamalabari Sattra, Majuli	July 4, 2026
3	Ajay Bayan	65	Senior Traditional Bayan / Practitioner	Dakshin Hati, Barpeta Sattra	July 28, 2026
4	Nupur Jyoti Medhi	34	Traditional Practitioner, Hereditary Percussionist (Bayan)	Dakshin Hati, Barpeta Sattra	July 28, 2026

REFERENCES

[1] A. D. Ranade, "The guru-shishya parampara: A broader view," *Sangeet Natak*, vol. 129–130, pp. 39–54, 1998.

[2] O. N. Koul, "Guru-shishya parampara," *State Times*, p. 1, Jul. 21, 2024.

[3] S. K. Panda, "A study on challenge to hold on to the 'Guru-Shishya Parampara' in Hindustani vocal music challenges today's modern era," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Dev.*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 201–202, 2017.

[4] R. Talukdar, *Nrityakala Darpan*. Guwahati: Rekha Prakashan, 2000, pp. 18–23.

[5] A. Roychoudhury, *Asamiya Samaj aru Navabaishnavabad*. Guwahati: Publication Board Assam, 2007, pp. 40–41.

[6] B. Roychoudhury, *Barpeta Satrar Itibritta*. Barpeta: Shiva Printers, 2024, p. 221.

[7] L. Bezbaruah, *Sri Sri Sankardev aru Sri Sri Madhavdev*. Guwahati: Banalata, 2024, pp. 57–59.

[8] S. K. Rathod and D. H. Bhatt, "Relevance of guru-shishya parampara in 21st century global higher education," *Int. J. Res. Cult. Soc.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 32–36, 2026.