Stabilizing Emotional Regulation and Reducing Impulsivity in Elite Sports through Integrated Neurotechnological Interventions

Alina ROBU, Alina-Diana NEMES

Abstract

In high-stakes elite sports, impulsive behaviors and reactive aggression are frequently the result of a fundamental neurophysiological imbalance between cortical executive networks and limbic systems, rather than simple lapses in character or discipline. This study investigates the efficacy of the Emotion Control Protocol, a novel, multimodal neurotechnological intervention aimed at stabilizing emotional regulation and mitigating impulsive behavior. The protocol utilizes an integrated suite of technologies including EEG neurofeedback, transcutaneous Vagus Nerve Stimulation (tVNS), HRV coherence biofeedback, frontal photobiomodulation, and synchronous alpha/binaural therapy to target specific neural nodes such as the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (BA24) and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA46). Conducted as a randomized, controlled, longitudinal trial involving 40 elite athletes, the research measured changes across cortical, autonomic, and behavioral axes over a four-week period. Statistical analysis revealed highly significant outcomes ($p < 0.001$), with the experimental group achieving a 32.21% reduction in impulsive errors alongside a significant decrease in High Beta activity in the Salience Network and a robust increase in frontal SMR activity. Furthermore, autonomic recalibration was evidenced by a major increase in RMSSD and heart-brain coherence. Multiple regression analysis confirmed that these neurophysiological shifts explained 78.2% of the behavioral variance. Ultimately, the protocol demonstrates that competitive aggression can be recalibrated to enhance tactical reliability, offering a standardized clinical model for elite clubs to protect their investments and optimize performance under extreme pressure.

Keywords: *Neuroperformance; Emotional Regulation; Impulsivity; Salience Network; Executive Control; Neurofeedback; Heart Rate Variability (HRV)*

1. Introduction and scientific rationale

In high-stakes athletic environments, the margin between tactical success and catastrophic failure is often determined not by physical prowess alone, but by the neurophysiological capacity to maintain emotional stability under extreme pressure. In elite competitions, impulsive behaviors and aggressive outbursts (unnecessary fouls, verbal confrontations with officials, unsportsmanlike conduct) are frequently observed during moments of intense frustration, perceived injustice, or acute competitive stress (Arnsten, 2009). These reactions represent more than mere lapses in discipline; they are the behavioral manifestation of a fundamental neurobiological imbalance between top-down executive control re-circuits and bottom-up limbic reactivity. The neurobiology of impulsivity in sports is rooted in a maladaptive shift in neural dominance, where the high-stress signaling pathways of the brain diminish the regulatory capacity of the

prefrontal cortex while simultaneously amplifying the sensitivity of the amygdala and the salience network (Pessoa, 2017).

Central to this phenomenon is the salience network (SN), primarily involving the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (BA24), the pregenual cingulate (BA32), and the anterior insula. The SN serves as a critical neural "gatekeeper" that identifies internal and external stimuli as relevant or threatening (Seeley et al., 2007; Menon, 2011). In elite athletes prone to impulsivity, this network becomes chronically hyperactive, leading to an exaggerated evaluation of social threats and a rapid triggering of defensive or aggressive responses before a deliberative analysis can occur. For instance, a minor physical foul or an opponent's gaze can be misinterpreted by an overactive SN as a severe provocation, bypass cognitive filters, and initiate an immediate, disproportional motor reaction. This state of “neural hijacking” occurs because the executive control network (ECN), which encompasses the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA46), the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (BA9), and the frontopolar cortex (BA10), is effectively inhibited by the physiological stress and arousal associated with elite competition (Miller & Cohen, 2001; Wessel & Aron, 2017). Under normal conditions, the ECN facilitates cognitive inhibition and the evaluation of long-term consequences; however, when its connectivity with the limbic system is compromised, the athlete’s decision-making becomes reflex-driven and emotionally volatile.

This structural and functional tension is further exacerbated by the limbic-prefrontal circuit, where the functional connectivity between the amygdala, BA24, and BA46 dictates the efficiency of emotional regulation (Etkin et al., 2011). In highly impulsive athletes, the amygdala exerts a dominant influence over the prefrontal nodes, eroding the "neural brake" required to halt an escalating aggressive impulse. Furthermore, the mirror neuron system (MNS), located in the parietal and premotor areas (BA7 and BA40), can contribute to this instability through hyper-interpretation of an opponent’s intentions. While the MNS is essential for anticipating motor actions and fostering empathy, its over-activation in competitive settings can lead to an escalatory feedback loop, where perceived aggression from an adversary is mirrored and amplified, resulting in social-behavioral destabilization (Rizzolatti & Craighero, 2004; Li & Smith, 2021).

The problem of impulsivity is not confined to cortical structures but is deeply rooted in the autonomic nervous system (ANS). The neurovisceral integration model posits that cardiac vagal activity, indexed by heart rate variability (HRV), serves as a peripheral indicator of the central nervous system’s capacity for self-regulation (Thayer & Lane, 2000). Athletes exhibiting low RMSSD (root mean square of successive differences) and elevated LF/HF (low-frequency to high-frequency) ratios typically lack the "vagal brake" necessary to dampen sympathetic arousal following a conflict. This physiological rigidity correlates with reduced executive performance and heightened emotional reactivity, meaning that a destabilized autonomic system actively fosters impulsive behavioral outcomes (Stephenson et al., 2021).

Despite these clear neurobiological markers, traditional management strategies in elite sports, such as financial sanctions, motivational speeches, or conventional sports psychology, have largely failed to address the underlying neural architecture of impulsivity. These methods focus on behavioral consequences

rather than the recalibration of the neural networks where the problem originates. The emotion control protocol represents a paradigm shift, intervening directly at the synaptic and systemic levels through a multimodal integration of neurotechnologies. By combining targeted EEG Neurofeedback to strengthen BA46-BA24 connectivity, transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) to stabilize the autonomic baseline, and HRV Coherence biofeedback to synchronize heart-brain dynamics, the protocol offers a mechanism for occupational neuroplasticity (Gruzelier, 2014; Laborde et al., 2017). This is supported by frontal photobiomodulation (PBM), which enhances ATP production and stabilizes metabolic demand in the executive centers (Hamblin, 2016), and alpha/binaural therapy to consolidate a resilient, calm-active cortical state.

Ultimately, the Emotion Control Protocol is not merely a program for emotional "calming"; it is a strategic clinical intervention designed to optimize executive control and protect a club's financial and athletic investment. By transforming emotional vulnerability into a controlled strategic asset, elite organizations can reduce disciplinary suspensions, stabilize team cohesion, and ensure that their key players remain tactically lucid during the most decisive moments of competition. Impulsivity is not a moral failing—it is a network regulation challenge that can, for the first time, be systematically recalibrated for peak competitive performance.

2. Objectives of the emotional control protocol

The primary aim of this protocol is to evaluate the efficacy of a multimodal neurotechnological intervention in mitigating behavioral impulsivity and emotional reactivity among elite athletes prone to competitive conflicts. This overarching objective involves the optimization of functional connectivity between the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (BA24) and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA46), the stabilization of the executive control network, the regulation of the salience network, and the recalibration of autonomic stability. To achieve this, the study outlines several specific objectives: firstly, the reduction of SN hyperactivation, particularly in BA24, BA32, and the anterior insula, to decrease sensitivity to perceived threats and defensive aggression. As highlighted by Menon (2011) and Seeley et al. (2007), managing this network is crucial because its hyperactivation amplifies emotional reactions and erodes executive control. The clinical target is a reduction of High Beta activity (22–30 Hz) in BA24 by 20% or more.

Secondly, the protocol seeks to consolidate the ECN by increasing sensory motor rhythm (SMR) activity (12–15 Hz) in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA46) by at least 15%. This objective aligns with the theories of Miller and Cohen (2001) and Pessoa (2017), which define the dlPFC as the core of deliberative behavioral control and note its vulnerability to stress-induced inhibition.

Thirdly, the study aims to improve limbic-prefrontal connectivity, targeting a 25% reduction in the activation latency of executive control centers following conflictual stimuli. This follows research by Etkin et al. (2011) demonstrating that efficient emotional regulation is dependent on robust functional links between the amygdala and prefrontal nodes.

Moreover, autonomic objectives focus on increasing vagal tone through a 15% improvement in RMSSD and a 20% reduction in the LF/HF ratio, alongside a 30% decrease in Autonomic Recovery Latency (ARL). These markers are essential for self-regulation, as Thayer and Lane (2000) have associated low HRV with heightened impulsivity, while Bretherton et al. (2019) have shown that tVNS can significantly enhance emotional control.

In addition, the study targets behavioral outcomes, specifically a 25% reduction in impulsive errors during Go/No-Go conflict tasks and a longitudinal decrease in real-world match sanctions, such as unnecessary tactical fouls and disciplinary cards. Finally, exploratory objectives include investigating the modification of the mirror neuron system (BA7, BA40) to reduce social over-reactivity and utilizing biomarkers such as high beta in BA24 and RMSSD, in order to predict risk profiles for competitive impulsivity.

Ultimately, the strategic objective of the study is the creation of a standardized clinical protocol that provides a competitive advantage by stabilizing the athlete’s neurophysiological state and protecting the organization's investment.

3. Study design

The study is developed as a clinically rigorous and ecologically valid investigation aimed at testing an integrated neurotechnological recovery protocol under real competitive conditions. The central premise of the design is that post-match neurophysiological recovery must be assessed longitudinally and multidimensionally in order to capture both the speed and the depth of cerebral and autonomic recalibration.

Two guiding principles informed the study architecture. First, the intervention required strong clinical and neurophysiological validity, supported by standardized, objective, and reproducible measurements. Second, the protocol needed to demonstrate practical feasibility within elite sports environments, ensuring that it could be integrated into existing microcycles without interfering with physical or tactical planning.

3.1 Type of Study

The study is structured as a robust clinical framework intended to isolate the effects of neurotechnological intervention on behavioral impulsivity through a randomized, controlled, and longitudinal methodology. The study is a randomized, controlled, longitudinal, and multicentric trial. It utilizes two parallel arms:

- an experimental group receiving the full intervention;
- a control group receiving a placebo-controlled intervention.

Measurements are repeated across three phases: T0 (baseline), T1 (post-intervention), and T2 (4-week follow-up). The methodology strictly adheres to CONSORT guidelines and international recommendations for neurocognitive interventions.

3.2 Study Population and Participant Selection

The population involves a highly specific demographic of professional athletes selected to ensure statistical power and clinical relevance. A total of 40 elite athletes are enrolled, with 20 assigned to the experimental group and 20 to the control group. The participants are aged 18–35 and play collective sports such as football, handball, or basketball. Inclusion criteria require participants to be active roster players exposed to high-tension situations with a documented history of impulsivity, defined as at least three disciplinary sanctions in the last 12 months or high scores on impulsivity scales. Exclusion criteria include recent cranial trauma, severe psychiatric disorders, cardiovascular instability, the use of psychotropic medication, or refusal to participate in the full protocol.

3.3. Intervention Window

The calendar ensures a consistent and measurable application of the protocol over a fixed timeline. The total duration of the intervention is 4 weeks. Participants attend 8 sessions, scheduled twice per week, with each session lasting 70–75 minutes. Formal evaluations include a complete baseline at T0, a post-intervention assessment at T1, and a follow-up at T2. Longitudinal behavioral monitoring is conducted across 6 official competitive matches.

3.4 Experimental Intervention

Each intervention represents an integrated neurophysiological architecture designed to regulate the Salience Network, the Executive Control Network, and the autonomic system in a specific, sequential order. The protocol begins with 20 minutes of targeted EEG Neurofeedback focusing on BA24, BA32, BA46, and BA10 to reduce high beta activity and increase SMR, thereby strengthening executive inhibition and reducing emotional variability (Gruzelier, 2014). This is followed by 10 minutes of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) to increase vagal tone and regulate the amygdala, enabling the athlete to perceive provocation without an automatic reflex (Thayer & Lane, 2000). The third stage utilizes 15 minutes of HRV coherence biofeedback to synchronize heart-brain dynamics, requiring the athlete to maintain a coherence index above 0.4 during simulated verbal provocations (McCraty & Shaffer, 2015). Next, Frontal Photobiomodulation is applied for 5–7 minutes to BA10, BA46, and BA24 to increase neuronal ATP and support the cortical metabolism necessary for neuroplasticity (Hamblin, 2016). Finally, 10 minutes of synchronous alpha and binaural therapy are used to stabilize executive networks and consolidate a "calm-active" cortical rhythm.

3.5. Control group

The control group is managed to eliminate potential biases and isolate the specific effects of the neurotechnology. Participants engage in guided relaxation techniques, while breathing exercises are performed without the use of biofeedback or external pacing. The group receives no EEG, HRV, PBM, or tVNS interventions, providing a rigorous placebo control for comparison.

3.6 Primary and secondary variables

Variables maintain objective neurophysiological markers and real-world behavioral outcomes. Primary variables include High Beta in BA24, SMR in BA46, RMSSD, HRV Coherence, and impulsive reaction rates during Go/No-Go tasks. Secondary variables track competitive data such as cards, unnecessary fouls, SAS scores, and autonomic recovery latency (ARL).

3.7 Planned statistical analysis

This analysis utilizes inter-group t-tests and repeated measures ANOVA to evaluate differences between the experimental and control cohorts. Furthermore, regression analysis and correlational studies between EEG, HRV, and behavioral data are conducted to establish a mechanistic link between neural changes and reduced impulsivity.

3.8 Strategic baseline for clubs

This design represents a clinical model for early intervention and risk management. By targeting the neural re-networks and autonomic balance that drive reactive impulsivity, the protocol protects the club's athletic and financial investments, stabilizes the locker room environment, and enhances the reliability of key players during high-stakes competitions.

4. Measurements and evaluation instruments

The assessment of the emotion control protocol's efficacy is conducted across four primary axes: cortical neurophysiology, autonomic regulation, behavioral performance, and psychometric profiles. This multidimensional approach ensures that the intervention's impact is quantified not merely as a subjective behavioral shift, but as a verifiable change in the athlete's underlying neural and physiological architecture.

The method utilizes a standardized 19-channel qEEG system (10–20) with a sampling rate of ≥ 500 Hz to capture high-fidelity cortical data. Following artifact removal through independent component analysis (ICA) and spectral analysis via Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), athletes are evaluated under three distinct conditions: a resting baseline, a simulated social provocation, and a Go/No-Go task characterized by emotional interference. The analysis focuses on specific regions of interest (ROIs), most notably the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (BA24) for conflict monitoring and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA46) for cognitive inhibition. Metrics such as high beta (22–30 Hz) in BA24 serve as indicators of hyper-reactivity, while SMR (12–15 Hz) in BA46 reflects behavioral control capacity. Furthermore, the study monitors the frontopolar cortex (BA10) for anticipatory reflection and uses BA7 and BA40 as proxies for the mirror neuron system (MNS) to measure social reactivity. A central outcome of this analysis is the impulse regulation index (IRI-EEG), which is mathematically defined as (SMR + Alpha\ BA46) / High\ Beta\ BA24, where a higher value represents superior executive control under pressure. The following table outlines the specific clinical significance of these EEG parameters:

Parameter	What it indicates	Clinical significance
High beta BA24	hyperreactivity	impulsivity
SMR BA46	inhibition	Behavioral control
Alpha frontal	emotional regulation	stability
BA24–BA46 coherence	emotion–reason integration	balance
BA46 activation latency	latency to control	reaction vs reflex

Table 2: EEG parameters and their meaning

HRV assesses the athlete's physiological resilience and self-regulatory capacity using parameters such as RMSSD, SDNN, the LF/HF ratio, and the HRV coherence index. These variables are recorded across three stages—baseline, conflict simulation, and post-stimulus recovery—to determine the autonomic recovery latency (ARL), which measures the time required for heart rate variability to return to 90% of its baseline levels following a provocative stimulus. Higher ARL values are typically observed in impulsive athletes, signaling a delayed return to homeostasis. These metrics are synthesized into a composite autonomic stability score (ASS), integrating normalized RMSSD, inverted LF/HF ratios, and coherence data.

Behavioral measurements provide an objective evaluation of inhibition through the Go/No-Go conflict task, where athletes must respond to stimuli within a 500 ms window despite negative feedback and provocative imagery. This is augmented by a verbal triggering simulation, simulating an official's contested decision, to record verbal and motor reactions as well as HRV fluctuations. Crucially, the protocol tracks real-world competitive data over six matches, documenting the frequency of tactical fouls, disciplinary cards, and verbal conflicts to validate laboratory findings against actual performance.

Psychological measurements utilize validated instruments including the Barratt impulsiveness scale (BIS-11) to evaluate motor and cognitive impulsivity (Patton et al., 1995), the sport anxiety scale (SAS) to measure somatic anxiety and concentration (Smith et al., 1990), and the anger expression inventory to quantify reactive aggression. All data are integrated into the emotional regulation performance index (ERPI), a final clinical score used by organizations to measure the transformation of an athlete from an unstable player into a strategically controlled professional.

This structure is inherently persuasive to elite clubs because it moves beyond abstract theory to provide a comprehensive, clinical quantification of the athlete. By simultaneously measuring cortical activity, autonomic nervous system function, and behavioral outcomes, the protocol provides a concrete and objective assessment of an individual’s real-world discipline.

5. Results

The statistical analysis of the emotion control protocol reveals profound improvements across all primary and secondary variables, with the differences between the experimental and control cohorts reaching a high level of statistical significance ($p < 0.001$) for every measured metric.

The holistic analysis demonstrates that the intervention successfully targeted the core neurophysiological markers of competitive impulsivity. Specifically, the reduction of High Beta (22–30 Hz) in BA24, the primary node of the salience network, was markedly more pronounced in the experimental group (-1.84) compared to the control group (-0.50), yielding a t-score of -11.09. This robust effect indicates a significant dampening of the hyper-reactivity typically found in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, which aligns with previous research suggesting that controlling this area reduces hypersensitivity to perceived social threats. Simultaneously, the executive control network was notably strengthened, as evidenced by a substantial increase in sensory motor rhythm (12–15 Hz) in BA46 (Experimental: +1.85; Control: +0.62; t = 10.95). This increase in sensory motor rhythm within the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex serves as a direct biomarker for stable behavioral inhibition, suggesting that the intervention enhanced the athletes' neurobiological "brake". Autonomic regulation also exhibited dramatic shifts, with the increase in RMSSD (vagal tone) being nearly three times higher in the experimental group (+18.9) than in the control group (+6.14), resulting in a t-score of 15.0. This indicates a profound recalibration of the parasympathetic system, providing the athlete with a superior physiological capacity for rapid calming. Furthermore, HRV coherence nearly tripled in the experimental group (+0.24 vs. +0.08), reflecting enhanced heart-brain integration and superior emotional-rational synchronization. These neurophysiological changes manifested in significant behavioral outcomes: errors in the Go/No-Go conflict task were reduced by 32.21% in the experimental group compared to a mere 8.17% in the control group (t = -12.36). This dramatic reduction suggests a fundamental behavioral restructuring rather than a minor adjustment. Finally, scores on the Barratt impulsiveness scale (BIS) decreased by 11.7 points in the experimental group versus 2.85 in the control group (t = -9.41), confirming that the subjective experience of impulsivity matched the objective physiological data.

Variable	Experimental Group (Δ)	Control Group (Δ)	t-value	p-value
High beta BA24	-1.84	-0.50	-11.09	< 0.001
SMR BA46	+1.85	+0.62	10.95	< 0.001
RMSSD (HRV)	+18.90	+6.14	15.00	< 0.001

HRV coherence	+0.24	+0.08	15.33	< 0.001
Go/No-Go errors	-32.21%	-8.17%	-12.36	< 0.001
BIS score	-11.70	-2.85	-9.41	< 0.001

Table 2: Neurophysiological and Behavioral Outcomes of the Emotion Control Protocol

Regression analysis further solidifies the mechanistic link between physiological change and behavioral improvement. The multiple regression model (EEG + HRV right arrow reduction in impulsive errors) yielded an $\square^2 = 0.782$, indicating that 78.2% of the variance in behavioral improvement is directly explained by the decrease in high beta BA24, the increase in SMR BA46, and the improvements in RMSSD and HRV coherence. This provides definitive proof that the intervention does not merely correlate with better behavior but explains it through targeted neurophysiological recalibration.

The clinical interpretation clarifies that at the cerebral level, the reduction of High Beta in BA24 signifies a diminished salience network hyper-responsiveness, effectively lowering the "alarm" signal during provocation. Simultaneously, the rise of SMR in BA46 indicates a more efficient "top-down" executive control, ensuring that the cortex, rather than the amygdala, maintains dominance during conflictual stimuli. At the autonomic level, the rise in RMSSD and coherence suggests the athlete can sense a provocation without being reflexively driven by it, allowing for an integrated rather than explosive emotional response.

When it comes to the benefits of the clubs, the study highlights the strategic advantages of such intervention. The protocol offers clear protection of investment, as reducing impulsivity directly translates to fewer costly suspensions and greater team stability. Furthermore, it ensures stability in tension-filled matches, enabling teams to maintain tactical discipline and avoid the escalatory conflict loops that often decide the outcome of major competitions. Ultimately, this intervention facilitates the transformation of "difficult" players into strategic assets, stabilizing vulnerable but talented athletes at the neurophysiological level without altering their core competitive intensity.

Finally, this study provides empirical evidence that competitive impulsivity is not an immutable personality trait but rather a neurophysiologically regulatable state. By targeting the underlying neural circuitry, reactive aggression can be systematically recalibrated, executive control can be significantly consolidated, and the autonomic nervous system can be returned to a state of stability. This approach transcends traditional coaching or motivational techniques, establishing itself as a precise neurophysiological intervention that addresses the biological roots of behavioral volatility in elite athletes. The findings suggest

that the functional connectivity between the prefrontal cortex and limbic regions is plastic and susceptible to targeted neurotechnological optimization, offering a quantifiable path toward emotional resilience in high-stakes environments.

6. Conclusion

The general conclusion of this study is that impulsivity in the context of elite performance is not a manifestation of poor character, a lack of discipline, or a failure of willpower. Rather, it is a complex issue involving neural network dysfunction and autonomic dysregulation. The clinical data provided by the emotion control protocol demonstrates that the hyperactivation of the salience network can be successfully mitigated, the regulatory capacity of the executive control network can be reinforced, and the functional connectivity between limbic and prefrontal structures can be optimized. Furthermore, the recalibration of vagal tone provides a physiological foundation for diminished behavioral impulsivity. The recorded 32% reduction in impulsive errors, coupled with significant increases in frontal SMR and HRV coherence, represents a fundamental functional restructuring of the brain's emotional regulation and behavioral inhibition circuits. This shift in paradigm allows organizations to move beyond managing the expensive consequences of impulsivity and instead intervene directly at its neurophysiological source.

As the first integrated clinical model for regulating reactive aggression in sport, this protocol offers a reproducible platform for behavioral stabilization that defines a new standard in performance management. Crucially, this intervention does not dampen the athlete's competitive edge or intensity; rather, it makes that competitiveness more intelligent and strategically directed. It does not seek to alter the player's personality, but to optimize the neural control mechanisms that allow that personality to flourish within tactical bounds.

The implications for athletes and clubs are profound in the landscape of modern elite sport, where organizations invest heavily in transfers, infrastructure, and physical preparation. Despite these investments, matches are frequently lost due to preventable emotional destabilization, such as unnecessary red cards, impulsive reactions, or internal squad conflicts. The emotion control protocol delivers a decisive strategic advantage by significantly reducing disciplinary risks (dismissals, suspensions, locker room friction), thereby providing essential protection for the club's financial and athletic investments. Teams that maintain superior emotional regulation are better equipped to navigate high-pressure scenarios, such as derby matches or late-game provocations, without succumbing to escalatory conflict loops. By fostering lucidity under pressure, the protocol transforms high-intensity players from potential liabilities into strategic assets. It allows these athletes to maintain their competitive fire while eliminating the destructive, unrefined reactions that undermine performance. Clubs that integrate this model position themselves as leaders in the emerging field of neuro-performance, demonstrating a commitment to genuine mental stability and reducing behavioral volatility in major competitions. Ultimately, this quantifiable clinical protocol recognizes that the most successful teams are not merely the most aggressive, but those that possess the neural control to deploy that aggression with tactical precision. The protocol does not extinguish the athlete’s inner fire; it masters it, turning raw talent into consistent, controlled dominance.

References

- Arnsten, A. F. (2009). Stress signalling pathways that impair prefrontal cortex structure and function. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *10*(6), 410–422. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2648
- Bretherton, B., Deuchars, J., & Deuchars, S. A. (2019). The effects of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation on the heart rate variability in healthy participants. *Scientific Reports*, *9*, 6730. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43030-6
- Etkin, A., Egner, T., & Kalisch, R. (2011). Emotional processing in anterior cingulate and medial prefrontal cortex. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *15*(2), 85–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2010.11.004
- Gruzelier, J. H. (2014). EEG-neurofeedback for optimising performance. II: Creativity, the arts and sport. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *44*, 88–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.11.004
- Hamblin, M. R. (2016). Photobiomodulation for Alzheimer's Disease: Has the Light Dawned? *Photonics*, *3*(2), 25. https://doi.org/10.3390/photonics3020025
- Laborde, S., Mosley, E., & Thayer, J. F. (2017). Heart Rate Variability and Inter-Individual Differences in Sports Performance: A Review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *8*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00362
- Li, L., & Smith, D. M. (2021). Neural Efficiency in Athletes: A Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, *15*, 698555. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2021.698555
- McCraty, R., & Shaffer, F. (2015). Heart Rate Variability: New Perspectives on Physiological Mechanisms, Assessment of Self-regulatory Capacity, and Health Risk. *Global Advances in Health and Medicine*, *4*(1), 46–61. https://doi.org/10.7812/GAHM.2014.073
- Menon, V. (2011). Large-scale brain networks and psychopathology: a unifying triple network model. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *15*(10), 483–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.08.003
- Miller, E. K., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *24*(1), 167–202. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167
- Patton, J. H., Stanford, M. S., & Barratt, E. S. (1995). Factor structure of the Barratt impulsiveness scale. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *51*(6), 768–774. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679(199511)51:6
- Pessoa, L. (2017). A Network Model of the Emotional Brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *21*(5), 357–371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2017.03.002
- Rizzolatti, G., & Craighero, L. (2004). The Mirror-Neuron System. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *27*(1), 169–192. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144230
- Seeley, W. W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A. F., Keller, J., Glover, G. H., Kenna, H., Reiss, A. L., & Greicius, M. D. (2007). Dissociable Intrinsic Connectivity Networks for Salience Processing and

Executive Control. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *27*(9), 2349–2356. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5587-06.2007

- Smith, R. E., Smoll, F. L., & Schutz, R. W. (1990). Measurement and correlates of sport-specific cognitive and somatic trait anxiety: The Sport Anxiety Scale. *Anxiety Research*, *2*(4), 263–280. https://doi.org/10.1080/08917779008248733
- Stephenson, M. D., Thompson, A. G., Merrigan, J. J., Stone, J. D., & Hagen, J. A. (2021). Applying Heart Rate Variability to Monitor Health and Performance in Tactical Personnel: A Narrative Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(15), 8143. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18158143
- Thayer, J. F., & Lane, R. D. (2000). A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation and dysregulation. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *61*(3), 201–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327(00)00338-4
- Wessel, J. R., & Aron, A. R. (2017). On the Globality of Motor Suppression: Unexpected Events and Their Influence on Behavior and Cognition. *Neuron*, *93*(2), 259–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.12.013