Reassessing the Clash of Civilizations: Huntington's Thesis in the 21st Century

Name of Author : Kushal Sanjay
Designation : PhD researcher,Teacher Incharge
Department : History, Political science and International Relations grade 11 & 12
Organisation : Goldcresthigh Vashi (ISC junior college)

Abstract

Samuel Huntington's Clash of Civilizations thesis (1993) posited that post-Cold War conflicts would primarily arise along cultural and civilizational lines. This paper reassesses the thesis's relevance in the 21st century, examining its explanatory power through case studies on global terrorism, U.S.-China tensions, the rise of right-wing politics, and the global refugee crisis with associated anti-immigration protests. While cultural identities influence some conflicts, Huntington's framework oversimplifies the interplay of economic, political, and intra-civilizational dynamics, as well as the impact of globalization. The paper argues for a more nuanced approach to understanding global conflicts, one that integrates cultural, material, and hybrid identity factors.

Introduction

Samuel Huntington's The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order (1996) argued that post-Cold War global conflicts would shift from ideological to cultural, with civilizations—defined by shared religion, history, and values—as primary actors (Huntington, Clash 22). This contrasted with optimistic visions of a liberal democratic world order (Fukuyama 11). Three decades later, Huntington's thesis remains influential but faces criticism for its cultural determinism and neglect of economic, political, and globalized dynamics. This paper evaluates its applicability through four case studies, critiquing its limitations and proposing a more integrative framework. It argues that while cultural identities shape conflicts, they are often secondary to material and political factors, complicated by globalization and intra-civilizational diversity.

Huntington's Thesis: A Summary

Huntington posited that post-Cold War conflicts would occur between civilizations—Western, Islamic, Sinic, Hindu, Orthodox, Latin American, African, and Japanese—due to irreconcilable cultural differences (Huntington, Clash 24). He predicted "fault-line conflicts" at civilizational borders and "core state conflicts" among leading powers (Huntington, Clash 207-208). The thesis gained traction for explaining conflicts like the Yugoslav Wars but faced scrutiny for its essentialist view of cultures. The following case studies test its relevance in the 21st century.

Case Studies: Testing Huntington's Thesis

1.Global Terrorism and the Islamic World

Samuel Huntington's Clash of Civilizations identified the Islamic civilization as a primary source of conflict with the West, attributing this to its demographic growth, religious revivalism, and perceived resistance to Western liberal values (Huntington, Clash 211). The post-9/11 era, marked by Al-Qaeda's attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon in 2001, initially

appeared to validate Huntington's thesis. These attacks, followed by the U.S.-led "War on Terror," were framed by Western media and policymakers as a civilizational struggle, with Islam portrayed as inherently antithetical to democratic principles (Said 208). The rhetoric of a West-Islam clash gained further traction with the rise of the Islamic State (ISIS), whose brutal campaigns in Iraq and Syria and attacks in Europe (e.g., Paris 2015, Brussels 2016) reinforced perceptions of cultural incompatibility.

However, a deeper analysis reveals significant limitations in Huntington's framework. First, terrorism within the Islamic world is not solely directed at the West, undermining the notion of a unified Islamic civilization clashing with others. ISIS, for instance, has primarily targeted Muslim populations, with attacks in Iraq, Syria, and Pakistan killing thousands of co-religionists (Kepel 112). The 2014 ISIS campaign in Iraq's Anbar province and the 2016 Baghdad bombings highlight intra-civilizational violence, driven by sectarian divides (Sunni vs. Shia) and local power struggles, rather than a cohesive anti-Western agenda. This internal fragmentation challenges Huntington's assumption of civilizational unity.

Second, the root causes of global terrorism often lie in political and economic grievances rather than cultural differences. Robert Pape's research on suicide terrorism demonstrates that Western military interventions, such as the U.S. invasions of Afghanistan (2001) and Iraq (2003), fueled anti-American sentiment by creating power vacuums and destabilizing regions (Pape 79). For example, the U.S. occupation of Iraq exacerbated sectarian tensions, enabling groups like Al-Qaeda in Iraq to gain traction. Economic marginalization also plays a role; youth unemployment in the Middle East, averaging over 25% in some countries, has driven recruitment into extremist groups (World Bank). These factors suggest that geopolitical missteps and socioeconomic conditions, not just cultural divides, are critical drivers of terrorism.

Third, the global nature of terrorism transcends Huntington's civilizational boundaries. Non-Islamic groups, such as the Tamil Tigers in Sri Lanka or far-right extremists in the West (e.g., the 2019 Christchurch mosque shooter), employ similar tactics, indicating that terrorism is a strategy rooted in diverse motivations, not a uniquely Islamic phenomenon. Moreover, cooperation between Western and Muslim-majority states, such as U.S.-Saudi counterterrorism efforts, highlights pragmatic alliances that defy civilizational divides (Riedel 134). Thus, while cultural rhetoric may frame certain terrorist acts, the underlying dynamics are far more complex, involving intra-civilizational conflicts, geopolitical strategies, and economic disparities.

2.U.S.-China Tensions

Huntington predicted tensions between the West and the Sinic civilization, with China as its core state, due to fundamental differences in cultural values, particularly China's Confucian-inspired governance versus Western liberal democracy (Huntington, Clash 225). The 21st-century U.S.-China rivalry appears to align with this prediction, encompassing trade wars, technological competition, and geopolitical disputes over Taiwan and the South China Sea. China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), launched in 2013, is often interpreted as a civilizational assertion, extending Chinese influence across Asia, Africa, and Europe through infrastructure and economic ties (Mahbubani 45). The U.S. response, including tariffs imposed during the 2018-2020 trade war and restrictions on Chinese tech firms like Huawei, reflects a perception of China as a cultural and strategic rival.

However, the U.S.-China rivalry is primarily driven by economic and strategic interests rather than cultural differences. The trade war, for instance, stemmed from U.S. concerns over trade deficits, intellectual property theft, and China's dominance in critical technologies like 5G and artificial intelligence (Allison 23). The U.S. imposed tariffs on $550 billion of Chinese goods, while China retaliated with tariffs on $185 billion of U.S. exports, highlighting economic competition over market access and global supply chains (Bown and Kolb). Similarly, disputes in the South China Sea center on control of maritime trade routes, with over $3 trillion in annual trade at stake, rather than Confucian versus Western values (Kaplan 67).

China's integration into the global economy further complicates Huntington's thesis. Since joining the World Trade Organization in 2001, China has become the world's second-largest economy, deeply embedded in global markets. Its adoption of Western technologies and participation in international institutions like the UN Security Council suggest a convergence of interests, not a civilizational clash. Cultural differences, such as China's emphasis on collective harmony versus Western individualism, exist but are often secondary to power politics. For example, U.S.-China cooperation on climate change, evidenced by the 2014 joint climate pledge, demonstrates pragmatic collaboration despite cultural differences (Ikenberry 89). Thus, while cultural narratives may color the rivalry, strategic and economic factors are the primary drivers, challenging Huntington's focus on civilizational divides.

3.The Rise of Global Right-Wing Politics

The global surge of right-wing politics, evident in Brexit (2016), Trumpism in the U.S., and populist parties in Europe (e.g., France's National Rally, Hungary's Fidesz, Germany's Alternative für Deutschland), challenges Huntington's assumption of Western civilizational cohesion. These movements often frame their agendas in cultural terms, portraying immigration, multiculturalism, and globalism as threats to national and Western identity, invoking a West-Islam clash (Brubaker 93). For instance, during the 2016 U.S. presidential campaign, Donald Trump's call for a "Muslim ban" and rhetoric about protecting

"American values" echoed Huntington's thesis. Similarly, in Europe, parties like the National Rally have gained support by opposing Muslim immigration, citing cultural incompatibility (Mudde 27).

However, the rise of right-wing politics is driven by economic and social factors as much as, if not more than, cultural ones. Globalization's economic disruptions—job losses in manufacturing, wage stagnation, and rising inequality—have fueled populist resentment. In the UK, Brexit's success hinged on working-class voters in deindustrialized regions like the North of England, where concerns about economic decline and EU regulations outweighed cultural issues (Goodhart 56). The Resolution Foundation reported that Brexit-voting areas had median incomes 10% below the national average, underscoring economic motivations. In Europe, populist parties thrive in regions with high unemployment, such as eastern Germany, where the Alternative für Deutschland polled strongly among workers affected by globalization (Arzheimer 234).

Moreover, right-wing movements often form cross-civilizational alignments, undermining Huntington's framework. Western populists, such as Trump or France's Marine Le Pen, have expressed admiration for Russia's Vladimir Putin, despite Huntington's classification of Russia as part of the Orthodox civilization (Snyder 45). This alignment reflects shared ideological goals—nationalism, anti-globalism—rather than civilizational unity. The implications are profound: right-wing politics fragments the West internally, pitting globalist elites against nationalist populists, rather than uniting it against external civilizations. This intra-civilizational divide, driven by economic and ideological factors, reveals the limitations of Huntington's cultural focus.

4.The Global Refugee Crisis and Anti-Immigration Protests

The global refugee crisis, driven by conflicts in Syria, Afghanistan, and sub-Saharan Africa, has led to significant migration flows, particularly to Europe and North America, sparking anti-immigration protests. Between 2015 and 2020, Europe received over 6 million asylum applications, largely from Muslim-majority countries (UNHCR). Huntington's thesis frames such tensions as a West-Islam clash, with anti-immigration protests often invoking cultural incompatibility, as seen in Germany's Pegida movement or U.S. debates over border policies (Mudde 89).

However, the refugee crisis and resulting protests reveal complexities beyond Huntington's framework. First, economic concerns drive much of the opposition. In Europe, fears of labor market competition and welfare strain fuel anti-immigrant sentiment, particularly in regions with high unemployment (Dustmann and Frattini 295). Second, protests are not solely directed at Islamic migrants; Latin American migration to the U.S. and intra-European mobility (e.g., Eastern Europeans in the UK) also spark backlash, indicating intra-civilizational tensions (Goodhart 78). Third, globalization facilitates migration while fostering hybrid identities, as seen in second-generation immigrants blending host and origin cultures (Appadurai 33). Anti-immigration protests often reflect resistance to globalization itself, not just cultural differences.

The implications are significant: the refugee crisis exacerbates intra-Western divisions, with populist parties exploiting cultural rhetoric to mask economic anxieties. For example, Hungary's Viktor Orbán frames migration as a threat to "Christian Europe," yet his policies also target non-Muslim migrants, suggesting a broader anti-globalist stance (Snyder 47). This challenges Huntington's inter-civilizational focus, as tensions arise within civilizations and are driven by economic and political factors as much as cultural ones.

5.Resurgence of Terrorist Groups Under Religious Banners

The resurgence of terrorist groups, including the Taliban's return to power in Afghanistan (2021), the emergence of groups in the Middle East, and the revival of militancy in Pakistan and African countries under religious banners, tests Huntington's thesis of a West-Islam clash. The Taliban's recapture of Kabul, following the U.S. withdrawal, reestablished the Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan, imposing a strict interpretation of Sharia (Council on Foreign Relations). Huntington might frame this as a triumph of Islamic civilization over the West, particularly given the Taliban's historical ties to Al-Qaeda, which provided safe haven for the 9/11 attacks (National Counterterrorism Center). In the Middle East, groups like ISIS-Khorasan (ISIS-K) and Al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP) have expanded, with ISIS-K conducting attacks in Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iran (e.g., 2024 Kerman bombing, killing 95) (Foreign Policy Research Institute). In Pakistan, the Tehrik-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP) has intensified attacks, with a 90% increase in deaths in 2023, targeting security forces and civilians (Institute for Economics & Peace). In Africa, groups like Boko Haram, Islamic State West Africa Province (ISWAP), and Jama'at Nusrat al-Islam wal-Muslimin (JNIM) in the Sahel have surged, with JNIM causing 1,022 deaths in 2024 (Institute for Economics & Peace).

Huntington's thesis partially applies, as these groups often invoke Islamic rhetoric, framing their actions as resistance to Western influence. For example, ISIS-K's 2024 Moscow attack (144 deaths) targeted a Western-aligned state, while Boko Haram's pledge to establish a Taliban-style state in Nigeria aligns with anti-Western ideology (Public Safety Canada). However, several factors challenge Huntington's framework. First, these groups primarily target local populations and governments, not the West. In Afghanistan, ISIS-K attacks the Taliban and Shia minorities, with 2022 attacks on Kabul's Russian and Pakistani embassies highlighting intra-civilizational conflict (U.S. Department of State). In Africa, JNIM's attacks in Mali and Burkina Faso target local security forces, with 82% of deaths from armed assaults, driven by local grievances over governance and resources (Institute for Economics & Peace).

Second, external sponsorship and geopolitical factors play significant roles. Pakistan's historical support for the Taliban and groups like Lashkar-e-Taiba (LeT) in the 1990s, aimed at countering India and the Soviet Union, contributed to their resurgence (Wikipedia). The Taliban's refusal to curb TTP's safe havens in Afghanistan has fueled cross-border attacks, with 6000 TTP fighters reportedly operating from Afghan soil (SajjadBhatti). In Africa, the collapse of governance in the Sahel, exacerbated by coups and Russian Wagner Group interventions, has created ungoverned spaces for groups like JNIM (Foreign Policy Research Institute). These dynamics highlight geopolitical and state-sponsored factors over cultural divides.

Third, the resurgence is not exclusively Islamic. In Pakistan, the Balochistan Liberation Army (BLA), an ethno-nationalist group, caused 233 deaths in 2022, driven by regional autonomy demands, not religion (Institute for Economics & Peace). This diversity of motivations—religious, ethnic, and political—challenges Huntington's focus on Islamic civilization. Moreover, global jihadist networks, such as Al-Qaeda's affiliates in the Sahel and Somalia, operate across civilizational boundaries, with Al-Shabaab mobilizing a pan-East African force in 2024 (CIA World Factbook). The implications are critical: the resurgence of terrorism reflects local power struggles, state failures, and geopolitical rivalries, not a monolithic clash of civilizations, necessitating a broader analytical framework.

Critique of Huntington's Thesis

Huntington's framework illuminates conflicts where cultural identities are salient, but it has notable limitations:

1.Cultural Essentialism

Huntington's view of civilizations as monolithic ignores their diversity. The West includes liberal and authoritarian-leaning states, while the Islamic world spans varied political systems (Sen 46). This essentialism risks stereotyping and overlooks cultural fluidity.

2.Neglect of Globalization

Globalization blurs civilizational boundaries through migration, trade, and digital connectivity. Hybrid identities among diaspora communities challenge Huntington's rigid categories (Appadurai 33), as seen in the integration of Muslim immigrants in Europe.

3.Overemphasis on Culture

Huntington marginalizes economic and political drivers. The 2008 financial crisis strained intra-Western relations more than inter-civilizational ones (Tooze 312), and resource competition, like that over water or energy, transcends cultural boundaries.

4.Predictive Limitations

Huntington failed to anticipate intra-civilizational fractures, such as right-wing populism or anti-immigration protests within the West, and cooperative efforts like global climate agreements. His deterministic view underestimates diplomacy and agency (Ikenberry 89).

Conclusion: **Toward a More Nuanced Framework**

Huntington's Clash of Civilizations offers insights into conflicts where cultural identities amplify tensions, such as in terrorism or anti-immigration protests. However, its cultural determinism, neglect of globalization, and overemphasis on civilizational divides limit its applicability. The case studies—terrorism, U.S.-China tensions, right-wing politics, and the refugee crisis—demonstrate that economic, political, and intra-civilizational factors often outweigh cultural ones. A more integrative framework is needed, one that accounts for hybrid identities, globalization, and the interplay of material and

cultural drivers. This approach would better address the complexities of 21st-century conflicts and foster strategies for global cooperation.

Reference (works cited)

- Allison, Graham. Destined for War: Can America and China Escape Thucydides's Trap? Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2017.
- Appadurai, Arjun. Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimensions of Globalization. U of Minnesota P, 1996.
- Brubaker, Rogers. "Between Nationalism and Civilizationism: The European Populist Moment in Comparative Perspective." Ethnic and Racial Studies, vol. 40, no. 8, 2017, pp. 1191-1226.
- Dustmann, Christian, and Tommaso Frattini. "The Fiscal Effects of Immigration to the UK." The Economic Journal, vol. 124, no. 580, 2014, pp. F593-F643.
- Fukuyama, Francis. The End of History and the Last Man. Free Press, 1992.
- Goodhart, David. The Road to Somewhere: The Populist Revolt and the Future of Politics. Hurst, 2017.
- Huntington, Samuel P. The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order. Simon & Schuster, 1996.
- Ikenberry, G. John. Liberal Leviathan: The Origins, Crisis, and Transformation of the American World Order. Princeton UP, 2011.
- Kepel, Gilles. Jihad: The Trail of Political Islam. Harvard UP, 2002.
- Mahbubani, Kishore. Has China Won? The Chinese Challenge to American Primacy. PublicAffairs, 2020.
- Mudde, Cas. Populist Radical Right Parties in Europe. Cambridge UP, 2007.
- Pape, Robert A. Dying to Win: The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism. Random House, 2005.
- Said, Edward W. Covering Islam: How the Media and the Experts Determine How We See the Rest of the World. Vintage, 1997.
- Sen, Amartya. Identity and Violence: The Illusion of Destiny. Norton, 2006.
- Snyder, Timothy. The Road to Unfreedom: Russia, Europe, America. Tim Duggan Books, 2018.
- Tooze, Adam. Crashed: How a Decade of Financial Crises Changed the World. Viking, 2018.
- UNHCR. "Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2020." United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, 2021, www.unhcr.org/global-trends.