A Contemporary Analysis of Smallholder Dairy Husbandry Practices in Uttar Pradesh, India: Bridging Traditional Methods and Modern Imperatives in the Farrukhabad District

M.Prasad, R.P Rajbhar, Mritunjay Rajbhar

Krishi Vigyan Kendra Farrukhabad, Chandra Shekhar Azad University and Technology Kanpur 208024

Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur 208012

Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur 208012

Abstract

This paper provides a comprehensive re-evaluation of dairy husbandry practices among smallholder livestock owners in the Farrukhabad district of Uttar Pradesh, India. While leveraging foundational survey data collected in 2018-19, this analysis situates local findings within the contemporary context of India's rapidly expanding dairy sector. The nation's milk production has surged to 239.30 million tonnes with a per capita availability of 471 grams/day in 2023-24, yet the operational realities for a majority of farmers remain rooted in traditional systems. The study reveals a significant disconnect between the adoption of modern technologies like Artificial Insemination (AI), which is universally practiced, and the persistence of traditional methods in housing, feeding, and milking. Key findings from the original survey indicate that 80.6% of animal sheds have earthen floors, 86.7% of farmers do not supplement with mineral mixtures, and 60.0% still employ the detrimental knuckling method for milking. This paper argues that these persistent practices are not merely a result of a knowledge deficit, as previously concluded, but are deeply embedded in a complex matrix of socio-economic constraints, market failures, and inadequate institutional support. The analysis identifies a paradox of high technology adoption (AI) coupled with low operational efficiency, driven by a lack of economic incentives for quality-based milk production and insufficient access to capital and comprehensive extension services. The paper concludes with strategic, evidence-based recommendations aligned with the Uttar Pradesh Dairy Policy 2022, advocating for a paradigm shift in extension services from promoting technology adoption to ensuring its effective and profitable management.

Keywords: Dairy Husbandry, Smallholder Farming, Livestock Management, Artificial Insemination, Uttar Pradesh, Agricultural Extension, Clean Milk Production

1. Introduction: The Indian Dairy Sector at a Crossroads

1.1 India's Global Leadership and Uttar Pradesh's Pivotal Role

The Indian dairy sector has undergone a monumental transformation over the past decade, cementing its position as a global leader and a critical engine of the nation's rural economy. India is the world's largest milk producer, a distinction it holds with an ever-widening margin.[1] Total milk production in the country reached an unprecedented

239.30 million tonnes during 2023-24.[1] This represents a remarkable increase from the 165.4 million tonnes recorded in 2016-17, underscoring a robust compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 5.62% over the past decade.[2] This surge in production has significantly enhanced nutritional security, with the per capita availability of milk rising to **471 grams per day** in 2023-24, a substantial improvement from 355 grams per day in 2016-17.[1]

At the heart of this success story is the state of Uttar Pradesh (UP), which consistently ranks as the top milk-producing state in India. In 2023-24, UP contributed **16.21%** of the national output, producing approximately **38.8 million metric tonnes** of milk.[3] The economic significance of this sector cannot be overstated; the livestock sub-sector's contribution to the total agriculture and allied sector Gross Value Added (GVA) has steadily increased, reaching **30.23%** in 2022-23.[1] Dairying is thus not merely an agricultural activity but a vital instrument for socio-economic development, providing employment and a stable source of income for millions of rural households, a significant proportion of which are small and marginal farmers.[1]

Indicator	Original Data (2016-17)	Updated Data (2023-24)	Source (Updated Data)
National Milk Production	165.4 million tonnes	239.30 million tonnes	[1]
National Per Capita Milk Availability	355 grams/day	471 grams/day	[1]
Uttar Pradesh Milk Production	Not specified	38.8 million tonnes	[8]
Uttar Pradesh Share of National Production	Not specified	16.21%	[3]
Livestock Sector Share in Agri GVA	~24% (2014-15)	30.23% (2022-23)	[1]
Note: This table synthesizes data from the original study's period with the most recent statistics available to illustrate the sector's growth.			

1.2 The Smallholder Paradox: High Production, Persistent Traditionalism

Despite these impressive macroeconomic figures, the Indian dairy landscape is characterized by a fundamental paradox. The sector's vast output is not the result of large, industrialized farms but is built upon the collective efforts of over 80 million dairy farmers, the majority of whom are smallholders, marginal farmers, or landless laborers managing herds of just two to five animals.[12] These producers operate within complex, resource-constrained environments, often relying on traditional husbandry practices passed down through generations.

This juxtaposition of high aggregate production and the prevalence of traditional, low-input systems creates a critical area for scientific inquiry. While national policies and technological advancements drive growth at the macro level, their translation into on-farm practice at the micro-level remains inconsistent and incomplete. The Farrukhabad district in Uttar Pradesh serves as a representative case study of this phenomenon. Situated within India's most productive dairy state, it provides a valuable lens through which to examine the ground-level realities of dairy farming. The persistence of traditional methods in such a crucial region highlights a significant gap between potential and practice, a gap that this paper seeks to explore and explain.

1.3 Research Objectives

This study aims to provide a contemporary and nuanced analysis of dairy husbandry practices in the Farrukhabad district. The primary objectives are:

1. To re-evaluate the dairy husbandry practices—encompassing housing, feeding, breeding, milking, and health management—as documented in the original 2018-19 field survey of 180 livestock owners.

2. To contextualize these localized, traditional practices within the framework of the latest national and state-level production data (2022-2024) and the evolving scientific literature (2021-2024).
3. To conduct a critical analysis of the persistent barriers to the adoption of modern scientific practices, moving beyond a simplistic "lack of knowledge" explanation to explore deeper socio-economic, institutional, and market-related constraints.
4. To formulate evidence-based, actionable recommendations that align with current policy initiatives, such as the Uttar Pradesh Dairy Policy 2022, to foster sustainable and profitable dairy farming for smallholders.

2. Evolving Paradigms in Smallholder Dairy Farming: A Review of Recent Literature

2.1 Socio-Economic Determinants of Technology Adoption

The original study concluded that farmers adhere to traditional practices primarily due to a "lack of knowledge".[4] However, a substantial body of recent literature challenges this linear interpretation, demonstrating that knowledge is a necessary but insufficient condition for technology adoption. The decision-making process of a smallholder farmer is a complex calculus of risk, resource availability, and economic incentive, heavily mediated by their socio-economic status.[14]

Recent studies conducted across Uttar Pradesh and other parts of India consistently reveal that socio-economic variables are powerful predictors of the adoption of scientific dairy practices. Factors such as a farmer's education level, size of landholding, annual income, social participation, and exposure to mass media are positively and significantly correlated with their willingness and ability to adopt improved husbandry techniques.[12] For instance, a 2021 study in the central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh found that 46.36% of dairy farmers owned less than one hectare of land, and 70% relied on crop farming as their primary occupation, with dairying as a subsidiary activity.[16] For such farmers, the upfront cost of purchasing balanced concentrate feed or investing in permanent (

pucca) housing infrastructure represents a significant financial risk, even if they are aware of the long-term benefits. Therefore, a more accurate analytical framework posits that a lack of adoption is often a symptom of underlying economic constraints rather than a simple knowledge deficit.

2.2 Current Best Practices and Research Frontiers

Scientific advancements continue to refine best practices across all domains of dairy husbandry, creating an ever-widening gap between potential and current field practices.

Housing and Welfare: Modern dairy science emphasizes loose housing systems with adequate floor space, ventilation, and comfortable bedding to enhance animal welfare, reduce stress, and improve productivity.[19] This contrasts sharply with the traditional practice of tethering animals in confined spaces, often inside the owner's dwelling, which can increase susceptibility to disease and limit natural behaviors.[20]

Nutrition and Feeding: The principle of balanced nutrition is central to modern dairying. The formulation of Total Mixed Rations (TMR), strategic supplementation with mineral mixtures, and provision of clean drinking water are critical for unlocking the genetic potential of high-yielding animals.[21] Research consistently shows that inadequate nutrition, particularly the widespread omission of mineral supplements, is a primary factor limiting milk production in smallholder systems.[23]

Breeding and Reproduction: Artificial Insemination (AI) has been a cornerstone of India's dairy development for decades. Contemporary advancements are focused on improving its efficiency through Timed AI (TAI) protocols, which synchronize ovulation to optimize conception rates, and the increasing use of sex-sorted semen.[24] Government initiatives like the National Artificial Insemination Programme and

Rashtriya Gokul Mission heavily promote sex-sorted semen to increase the production of female calves, thereby accelerating herd improvement and reducing the economic burden of rearing male calves.[26]

Clean Milk Production (CMP): The focus on milk quality has intensified, driven by the demands of the formal processing sector and consumer safety concerns. Best practices for CMP include a suite of hygienic measures: washing udders with a disinfectant solution, using the full-hand milking method instead of knuckling, practicing post-milking teat dipping to prevent mastitis, and using clean, sanitized utensils.[28] Adoption of these practices is crucial for farmers to access formal markets that offer premium prices for high-quality milk.[31]

2.3 Identifying Key Constraints in Modern Indian Dairying

Despite clear scientific guidelines, smallholder farmers face a formidable set of interconnected constraints that impede the adoption of modern practices.

- **Feeding Constraints:** The most frequently cited barriers are the year-round unavailability of quality green fodder and the high cost of concentrate feeds and mineral mixtures. This forces farmers to rely on low-quality crop residues, leading to nutritional deficiencies and suboptimal productivity.[14]
- **Breeding Constraints:** While AI coverage is expanding, its effectiveness is hampered by low conception rates. This is often attributed to poor heat detection by farmers, improper timing of insemination, and underlying nutritional deficiencies that affect fertility.[32] Repeat breeding is a major source of economic loss for dairy farmers.
- **Health Constraints:** Access to timely, affordable, and skilled veterinary care remains a significant challenge. Farmers in remote areas often rely on untrained para-vets or "quacks," leading to incorrect diagnoses and ineffective treatments. The high cost of veterinary services and medicines is a major deterrent for preventative care.[32]
- **Economic and Market Constraints:** Perhaps the most critical barrier is the lack of remunerative and stable milk prices. When farmers sell to informal vendors who pay based on volume rather than quality, there is no financial incentive to invest in the labor and inputs required for clean milk production or balanced feeding.[14] This creates a vicious cycle of low investment, poor quality, and low returns.

2.4 Policy Landscape: The Uttar Pradesh Dairy Policy 2022 and National Missions

Recognizing these challenges, both the state and central governments have launched ambitious policy initiatives. The **Uttar Pradesh Dairy Policy 2022** is a cornerstone of the state's strategy, aiming to increase milk processing levels from 10% to 25%, improve access to quality animal nutrition, and promote technology adoption through a range of incentives.[27] Key provisions include capital investment grants for processing units, interest subventions for establishing new dairy and cattle feed plants, and support for creating cold chain infrastructure.[37]

This state-level policy is complemented by national schemes. The **Rashtriya Gokul Mission** focuses on the development and conservation of indigenous breeds and enhancing milk productivity through genetic improvement programs.[39] The

Nand Baba Milk Mission in UP, with an outlay of ₹1,000 crores, specifically targets breed improvement through the induction of high-yielding breeds, subsidizing AI services, and providing grants for setting up mid-size dairy farms.[27] These policies provide a critical framework for addressing the systemic constraints faced by farmers and signal a clear governmental intent to modernize the smallholder dairy sector.

3. Methodology: A Contemporary Re-evaluation

3.1 Original Study Design

The findings of this paper are anchored in a cross-sectional survey originally conducted during the 2018-19 period in the Farrukhabad district of Uttar Pradesh. The study was designed to gather descriptive data on the prevailing dairy husbandry practices. The district, comprising three tahsils (Farrukhabad, Kaimganj, and Amritpur), was the primary study area. From each tahsil, four villages were selected randomly, resulting in a total of twelve villages. Within each selected village, five progressive dairy farmers were chosen as respondents, yielding a total sample size of 180 livestock owners. Care was taken to ensure that the respondents were evenly distributed within their respective villages to capture a representative snapshot of local management practices.

Data collection was performed through personal interviews using a pre-designed, structured questionnaire. The questionnaire covered five core domains of dairy husbandry: housing, feeding, breeding, milking, and health management practices. The collected data were subsequently classified, tabulated, and analyzed using descriptive statistical methods, primarily frequency counts and percentages, to quantify the prevalence of different practices.[4]

3.2 Methodological Enhancement and Limitations

The original study's methodology was appropriate for its exploratory objective: to create a baseline inventory of existing practices. The use of a structured questionnaire and descriptive statistics provided a clear, quantitative snapshot of *what* farmers were doing. This approach offers valuable insights into the prevalence of specific traditional and modern techniques within the study area.

However, when viewed through the lens of contemporary agricultural extension and social science research, the limitations of this approach become apparent. Modern research in this field increasingly emphasizes the need to understand not just *what* practices are being followed, but *why*. The original methodology, relying solely on frequency counts, cannot explain the causal factors or complex decision-making processes that underpin farmer behavior.

Current best practices in agricultural extension research often employ more sophisticated designs:

- **Mixed-Methods Approach:** This approach combines quantitative surveys with qualitative methods such as focus group discussions, in-depth interviews, and case studies. This triangulation of data provides a much richer understanding by capturing the nuances of farmer perceptions, cultural contexts, and the specific barriers they face, which cannot be captured by a multiple-choice questionnaire.[41]
- **Advanced Statistical Analysis:** To move beyond description towards explanation, researchers now commonly use econometric models. For example, multivariate probit or logit models can be used to analyze the determinants of technology adoption by assessing the statistical significance of various socio-economic, institutional, and psychological factors on a farmer's decision to adopt a practice like mineral supplementation or pregnancy diagnosis.[42]
- **Digital Data Collection and Analysis:** The use of digital tools, such as mobile applications for data entry (Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing - CAPI), has become standard for improving data quality, reducing transcription errors, and enabling real-time monitoring.[44] Online surveys are also being used to reach a wider and more representative sample of stakeholders, especially in contexts where physical travel is constrained.[41]

Therefore, while the original Farrukhabad survey data is a valuable foundation, its limitations must be acknowledged. It provides a robust descriptive baseline but lacks explanatory power. The analysis in this paper will reinterpret these descriptive findings through the explanatory frameworks provided by more recent

and methodologically advanced studies. Future research in the region should build upon this foundational work by employing mixed-methods designs and advanced statistical analyses to delve deeper into the causal mechanisms driving the persistence of the practices documented here.

4. Results and Discussion: Reinterpreting Dairy Husbandry in Farrukhabad

This section revisits the original survey findings from the Farrukhabad district. Each finding is presented and then critically analyzed within the context of the updated literature, recent data, and current policy frameworks, moving beyond description to offer a nuanced interpretation of the challenges and opportunities in smallholder dairy farming.

4.1 Housing and Environmental Management

Original Finding: The survey revealed that a vast majority of livestock owners (80.6%) housed their animals inside their own dwelling or in an attached shed. The construction was predominantly traditional, with 62.8% of sheds having thatched roofs and 80.6% having *kuccha* (earthen) floors. Only a small fraction had *pucca* (cemented) floors (3.9%) or asbestos roofs (25.0%). While most farmers (86.7%) provided a permanent, cemented manger for feeding, other infrastructure like proper drainage was often lacking.[4]

Contemporary Analysis: The conventional interpretation of these findings would be to label them as suboptimal and unscientific. Scientific recommendations typically advocate for separate, well-ventilated sheds with impermeable concrete floors and proper drainage to maintain hygiene, prevent disease, and facilitate waste management. The prevalence of *kuccha* floors and shared living spaces appears to be a clear indicator of underdevelopment.

However, a more nuanced analysis, particularly in the context of climate change, is warranted. Recent research has highlighted the severe impact of heat stress on dairy cattle productivity in India, with rising temperatures projected to cause significant milk production losses.[46] In this light, some traditional housing features may offer unintended adaptive benefits. Earthen floors and thatched roofs possess superior thermal insulation properties compared to uninsulated asbestos or tin sheets, which can become dangerously hot under direct sun. This traditional construction can help maintain a cooler micro-environment for the animals during extreme heat waves, mitigating some of the negative impacts of heat stress.

This does not imply that traditional housing is ideal. The lack of proper drainage on *kuccha* floors leads to unsanitary, muddy conditions, increasing the risk of hoof problems and mastitis. The challenge, therefore, is not a simple replacement of "traditional" with "modern," but rather a scientific improvement of traditional systems. Interventions could focus on low-cost solutions like incorporating a proper slope and drainage channel into a *kuccha* floor or improving the ventilation in thatched-roof sheds. This approach respects the economic constraints of smallholders while integrating scientific principles to enhance both animal welfare and climate resilience.

4.2 Feeding and Nutritional Strategies

Original Finding: Feeding practices were largely a mix of stall feeding and grazing (66.7%). While most farmers (82.2%) provided some green fodder, the nutritional strategy was critically deficient in key areas. An alarming 86.7% of respondents did not provide any mineral mixture to their animals. Furthermore, only a small minority (17.8%) provided any extra feed allowance to animals during the crucial periods of late pregnancy and peak lactation.[4]

Contemporary Analysis: These findings starkly illustrate one of the most significant constraints in Indian dairying. The universal adoption of AI for genetic improvement is rendered largely ineffective if the resulting crossbred animals are not provided with the nutrition required to express their genetic potential. The widespread failure to provide mineral mixtures and supplementary feed is a direct reflection of the economic and resource constraints identified in the literature. High costs of concentrate feeds and year-round scarcity of quality green fodder are consistently ranked as the top challenges by farmers.[14]

The decision to forego these inputs is often an economically rational one for a subsistence farmer. The immediate cost of purchasing mineral mixture is a certain expense, while the return in the form of increased milk yield is perceived as uncertain or insufficient to justify the cost, especially when milk is sold at a low, non-remunerative price. This is a classic example of how economic factors, rather than a simple lack of knowledge, dictate on-farm practices. The UP government's Fodder Security Policy and initiatives under the Nand Baba Mission, which aim to subsidize fodder seeds and support feed manufacturing, are directly targeted at this problem, though their effective implementation at the village level remains a challenge.[37]

4.3 Breeding and Reproductive Health

Original Finding: The survey revealed a striking dichotomy in breeding practices. There was universal (100%) adoption of Artificial Insemination (AI) as the method of breeding. However, the supporting practices for ensuring AI success were rudimentary. All farmers relied exclusively on behavioral signs for heat detection, with 73.3% depending on a combination of mucus discharge and bellowing. Crucially, 70% of farmers did not follow the practice of pregnancy diagnosis to confirm conception.[4]

Contemporary Analysis: This presents the central paradox of the Farrukhabad dairy system: high adoption of a modern technology (AI) is coupled with low efficiency due to the neglect of complementary management practices. The universal uptake of AI is a testament to the success of government and cooperative extension networks in making the technology accessible. However, the system's effectiveness is critically undermined by inefficient heat detection. Relying solely on behavioral signs, which can be subtle or short-lived, often leads to incorrect timing of insemination. This is a primary cause of low conception rates and the high incidence of repeat breeding, a problem frequently cited as a major source of economic loss for farmers in recent studies from Uttar Pradesh.[32]

The failure to perform pregnancy diagnosis exacerbates the problem. Without it, a farmer may not realize an animal has failed to conceive for several months, leading to an extended intercalving period and significant loss of productive days. The situation suggests that the focus of extension services must evolve. The challenge is no longer about convincing farmers to *adopt* AI, but about training them to *manage* the reproductive cycle effectively. This includes education on the physiological signs of heat, the importance of accurate timing for insemination (most inseminated within 12-18 hours, which is generally correct, but depends on precise heat detection), and the economic benefits of early pregnancy diagnosis.

4.4 Milking Management and Milk Quality

Original Finding: Milking practices were dominated by traditional and often unhygienic methods. A majority of respondents (60.0%) used the knuckling method, where the teat is pressed with the knuckle, a practice known to cause injury and increase the risk of mastitis. While all farmers reported washing the udder and teats before milking, this was often done with plain water and without subsequent drying. A crucial economic driver was revealed in the milk disposal pattern: 65.6% of milk was sold to local vendors, and 68.3% of sales were based on quantity (liters) rather than quality (fat percentage).[4]

Contemporary Analysis: These findings are deeply interconnected and illustrate a classic market failure. There is a clear vicious cycle at play: the informal market structure, which pays for volume alone, provides no financial incentive for farmers to adopt practices that improve milk quality. Clean milk production practices, such as switching from the faster knuckling method to the recommended full-hand method, cleaning utensils with detergent, and ensuring milker hygiene, require additional time and resources. When the market

does not offer a premium for lower microbial counts or higher fat content, there is no economic rationale for a farmer to incur these extra costs.

This directly links the on-farm technical issue (knuckling) to the systemic market issue (sale by quantity). Breaking this cycle is a primary objective of dairy development programs that promote the formation of dairy cooperatives.[2] Cooperatives typically use a quality-based payment system, creating a direct economic incentive for farmers to adopt clean milk production practices. The low proportion of farmers in Farrukhabad selling to cooperative societies (15.0%) suggests that a weak cooperative network is a major structural barrier to the modernization of milking practices in the region. The UP Dairy Policy 2022's emphasis on strengthening processing and market linkages is therefore highly relevant to addressing this specific challenge.[37]

4.5 Animal Health and Disease Prevention

Original Finding: Health management was a mix of modern and traditional approaches. While the survey reported that "almost all" respondents vaccinate their animals, this was contradicted by a low adoption of other preventative measures. Only 28.9% followed a regular deworming schedule for calves and adult animals. For treatment of sick animals, farmers showed a heavy reliance on the informal sector, with 34.4% consulting "quacks" (untrained practitioners) and only 56.7% consulting qualified veterinary doctors.[4]

Contemporary Analysis: The claim of universal vaccination requires critical scrutiny. More detailed recent studies from Uttar Pradesh clarify that while vaccination against Foot and Mouth Disease (FMD) is often high due to government campaigns, coverage for other important diseases like Haemorrhagic Septicaemia (HS) and Black Quarter (BQ) is significantly lower and more sporadic.[34] The low rate of regular deworming is a serious issue, as internal parasite loads can severely impact animal health and productivity, further negating the benefits of improved genetics.

The heavy reliance on para-vets and quacks is a clear indicator of a failure in the public veterinary service delivery system. As identified in recent constraint analyses, the lack of accessible, affordable, and timely veterinary services at the village level forces farmers to turn to whoever is available, regardless of qualification.[32] This increases the risk of misdiagnosis, improper drug use, and the development of antimicrobial resistance. Improving the reach and reliability of the formal veterinary infrastructure is a prerequisite for enhancing animal health management in the region.

Husbandry Practice (Farrukhabad Finding)	Systemic Constraint Identified in Literature (2021-2024)	Relevant Policy Initiative (UP/National)
Housing: 80.6% have *kuccha* (earthen) floors.	High cost of *pucca* construction; lack of capital for infrastructure investment.[14]	UP Dairy Policy 2022: Interest subventions for dairy infrastructure.[38]
Feeding: 86.7% do not use mineral mixture.	High cost of concentrate feeds; year-round unavailability of green fodder.[32]	Nand Baba Mission: Support for feed manufacturing units; Fodder Security Policy.[37]
Breeding: 70% do not practice pregnancy diagnosis.	Poor heat detection skills leading to low AI conception rates; lack of awareness of economic benefits.[34]	Rashtriya Gokul Mission: Promotion of AI efficiency and training for AI technicians.[39]
Milking: 60% use knuckling; 68.3% sell by quantity.	Lack of quality-based payment systems; dominance of informal milk market.[31]	UP Dairy Policy 2022: Goal to increase formal milk processing and strengthen cooperative procurement.[37]
Health: 34.4% rely on "quacks" for treatment.	Lack of timely and affordable veterinary	National Livestock Mission: Strengthening veterinary infrastructure and services.[39]

	services at the village level; high cost of medicines.[32]	
Note: This table synthesizes the key findings from the Farrukhabad survey with the broader constraints identified in recent literature and aligns them with current government policies designed to address these issues.		

5. Conclusion and Strategic Recommendations for a Sustainable Future

5.1 Summary of Key Findings

The analysis of dairy husbandry practices in the Farrukhabad district of Uttar Pradesh reveals a sector in a critical state of transition. While farmers have embraced a cornerstone of modern dairy technology—Artificial Insemination—their day-to-day management of housing, feeding, milking, and animal health remains largely governed by traditional methods. The original study's conclusion, which attributed this adherence to tradition to a simple "lack of knowledge," is insufficient. This updated analysis, contextualized with recent scientific literature and policy developments, argues that the persistence of these practices is a rational response to a complex web of interconnected constraints.

The primary barriers are not informational but structural. They include: **(1) Economic Constraints**, such as the high cost of inputs like mineral mixtures and concentrate feed, coupled with a lack of capital for infrastructure improvements; **(2) Market Failures**, where the dominance of an informal milk market that pays by volume provides no financial incentive for quality-enhancing practices like hygienic milking; and **(3) Institutional Gaps**, characterized by inadequate access to timely, affordable, and skilled veterinary care and extension services that focus on holistic management rather than single-technology dissemination. The result is a system where the potential benefits of genetic improvement through AI are consistently undermined by suboptimal nutrition, inefficient reproduction, poor hygiene, and inadequate healthcare, trapping farmers in a low-input, low-output cycle.

5.2 Strategic Recommendations

To break this cycle and align the on-farm realities of Farrukhabad's farmers with the ambitious goals of state and national dairy policies, a multi-pronged strategic approach is required. The following recommendations are targeted at key stakeholders and are designed to address the systemic constraints identified in this analysis.

For Agricultural Extension Agencies and Krishi Vigyan Kendras (KVKs):

1. **Shift Focus from Adoption to Efficiency:** The extension paradigm must evolve from promoting the *adoption* of AI to ensuring its *effective management and profitability*. Training programs should be redesigned to be holistic, focusing on the entire reproductive cycle. Modules must integrate:
    - **Scientific Heat Detection:** Practical, hands-on training on identifying the subtle physiological signs of estrus and understanding the optimal timing for insemination to improve first-service conception rates.
    - **Nutritional Management for Fertility:** Educating farmers on the critical link between nutrition (especially mineral supplementation) and reproductive health to combat issues like repeat breeding.
    - **Economic Benefits of Pregnancy Diagnosis:** Demonstrating through cost-benefit analysis how early pregnancy diagnosis reduces the intercalving period and increases the lifetime productivity of an animal.

2. **Promote Clean Milk Production through Market Linkages:** Training on CMP practices, such as the full-hand milking method and udder hygiene, must be explicitly linked to the economic benefits of accessing formal markets. Extension agents should act as facilitators, connecting farmer groups with local dairy cooperatives that offer quality-based pricing.

For Policymakers (State Dairy Development Department):

1. **Strengthen and Expand the Dairy Cooperative Network:** The low rate of milk sale to cooperatives (15%) in the study area is a critical bottleneck. The government should aggressively implement the provisions of the UP Dairy Policy 2022 to establish new milk collection centers and strengthen existing Dairy FPOs/cooperatives in underserved regions like Farrukhabad. This is the single most important step to create the market incentive for quality improvement.
2. **Ensure Last-Mile Delivery of Nutritional Support:** Leverage the framework of the Nand Baba Mission to create a robust supply chain for affordable, balanced cattle feed and mineral mixtures at the village level. This could involve subsidizing local entrepreneurs to act as suppliers or utilizing the cooperative network for distribution.

For Financial Institutions (including NABARD and Rural Banks):

1. **Develop Tailored Micro-Credit Products:** Design and promote small, low-interest loan products specifically for dairy farmers. These loans should be targeted for specific, productivity-enhancing investments such as the construction of a *pucca* manger and drainage system, the purchase of a three-month supply of mineral mixture, or covering the cost of pregnancy diagnosis for the entire herd.

5.3 Future Research Directions

To continue building an evidence base for effective dairy development, the following research avenues are proposed:

1. **Longitudinal Impact Assessment:** A longitudinal study should be initiated in the Farrukhabad district to track changes in husbandry practices, milk quality, and farmer income over the next five years, specifically to assess the on-the-ground impact of the UP Dairy Policy 2022 and the Nand Baba Mission.
2. **Comparative Regional Analysis:** A comparative study examining the variations in husbandry practices, adoption rates, and socio-economic drivers across Western, Central (including Farrukhabad), and Eastern Uttar Pradesh is needed. This would help policymakers understand regional disparities and tailor interventions more effectively, building on preliminary data that suggests significant regional differences in production intensity.[37]
3. **Climate-Resilient Housing Research:** An interdisciplinary study involving animal scientists and agricultural engineers should be conducted to evaluate the climate resilience of traditional housing materials and designs. The goal would be to develop blueprints for low-cost, hybrid housing solutions that combine the thermal benefits of traditional materials with the hygienic and structural advantages of modern scientific design.

---

6. References

1. BAHS-2024.pdf - Department of animal husbandry and dairying, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://dahd.gov.in/sites/default/files/2024-11/BAHS-2024.pdf

2. year end review 2024: department of animal husbandry and dairying - PIB, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2086052

3. Release of Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics 2024 on the Occasion of National Milk Day 2024 - PIB, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2077745

4. Existing Dairy Husbandry Practices followed by Livestock owners in Farrukhabad District of Uttar Pradesh, India.docx

5. India Livestock Production: Milk: Per Capita Availability | Economic Indicators - CEIC, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.ceicdata.com/en/india/livestock-production-per-capita-availability/livestock-production-milk-per-capita-availability

6. BAHS-2024_1.pdf - Department of animal husbandry and dairying, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://dahd.gov.in/sites/default/files/2024-11/BAHS-2024_1.pdf

7. Per capita availability of Milk by States/UTs | nddb.coop, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.nddb.coop/information/stats/percapitavail

8. U.P. top milk producer in country - Hindustan Times, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.hindustantimes.com/cities/lucknow-news/up-top-milk-producer-in-country-101748538697051.html

9. World Milk Day 2025 - PIB, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.pib.gov.in/PressNoteDetails.aspx?NoteId=154532&ModuleId=3

10. Livestock Production Statistics of India - 2024 - Vet Extension, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.vetextension.com/livestock-production-statistics-of-india-2024/

11. (PDF) CONSTRAINTS FACED BY THE DAIRY FARMERS IN ADOPTING GOOD FARMING PRACTICES IN UTTAR PRADESH - ResearchGate, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387822171_CONSTRAINTS_FACED_BY_THE_DAIRY_FARMERS_IN_ADOPTING_GOOD_FARMING_PRACTICES_IN_UTTAR_PRADESH

12. Socio-economic Status of Dairy Farmers in the Srinagar District of Jammu and Kashmir - ARCC Journals, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://arccjournals.com/journal/asian-journal-of-dairy-and-food-research/DR-2065

13. (PDF) A Comparative Analysis of Dairy Farming Practices and Sustainability in India and Key Global Markets - ResearchGate, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391644824_A_Comparative_Analysis_of_Dairy_Farming_Practices_and_Sustainability_in_India_and_Key_Global_Markets

14. (PDF) SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND CONSTRAINTS FACED BY DAIRY FARMERS, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377709685_SOCIO-ECONOMIC_STATUS_AND_CONSTRAINTS_FACED_BY_DAIRY_FARMERS

15. Socio-economic Factors Influencing the Adoption of Scientific Dairy Animal Feeding and Breeding Practices: A Case of Muzaffarnag - Research Trend, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchtrend.net/bfij/pdf/Socio-economic-Factors-Influencing-the-Adoption-of-Scientific-Dairy-Animal-Feeding-and-Breeding-Practices-A-Case-of-Muzaffarnagar-in-Uttar-Pradesh-Divyanshu-Singh-Tomar-122.pdf

16. A Study on Socio-economic Profile of the Dairy Farmers in Central ..., accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.ijcmas.com/abstractview.php?ID=20965&vol=10-1-2021&SNo=120

17. Relational analysis between socio-economic characteristic and adoption of scientific dairy farming practices - ResearchGate, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390181128_Relational_analysis_between_socio-economic_characteristic_and_adoption_of_scientific_dairy_farming_practices

18. Socio-economic Determinants of Dairy Farmers' Knowledge on Dairy Farming Practices in Uttar Pradesh, India - Journal of Extension Education, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://extensioneducation.org/index.php/jee/article/download/1388/413

19. Studies on different Breeding management practices and housing ..., accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.extensionjournal.com/article/view/1126/S-7-9-26

20. Feeding and housing management practices of dairy animals in Uttar Pradesh, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272082465_Feeding_and_housing_management_practices_of_dairy_animals_in_Uttar_Pradesh

21. MANAGEMENT AND FEEDING PRACTICES DURING TRANSITION PERIOD OF BUFFALO IN THE VINDHYA REGION OF MADHYA PRADESH - Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary & Animal Sciences (LUVAS), accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.luvas.edu.in/haryana-veterinarian/download/june-2024/article-no-10.pdf

22. Evaluating the impact of feeding and housing practices on dairy cattle productivity in Bharatpur District, Rajasthan, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.veterinarypaper.com/pdf/2024/vol9issue4/PartE/9-4-40-859.pdf

23. An overview of feeding management practices followed by the dairy farmers in a different state of India - ResearchGate, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349643082_An_overview_of_feeding_management_practices_followed_by_the_dairy_farmers_in_a_different_state_of_India

24. Artificial Insemination and Embryo Transfer: Emerging Technologies in the Livestock Industry - ijeab, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://ijeab.com/upload_document/issue_files/12IJEAB-101202513-Artificial.pdf

25. Advances in Timed Artificial Insemination: Integrating Omics Technologies for Enhanced Reproductive Efficiency in Dairy Cattle - MDPI, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2615/15/6/816

26. India Bovine Artificial Insemination Market Report, 2030 - Grand View Research, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/india-bovine-artificial-insemination-market-report

27. Uttar Pradesh Dairy & Cattle Feed - Invest UP, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://invest.up.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/Dairy-Cattle-Feed-Sector_270524.pdf

28. 2024-02 IJVSAH Naveen Milking Practices | PDF | Dairy - Scribd, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/732289812/2024-02-IJVSAH-Naveen-Milking-practices

29. Routine Management Practices for Sustainable Dairy Production, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://rfppl.co.in/subscription/upload_pdf/deep-narayyan-sir-1742300734.pdf

30. Studies on different milking management practices of cattle in Hardoi district of Uttar Pradesh, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.extensionjournal.com/article/view/1780/S-8-4-10

31. Got (Clean) Milk? Organization, Incentives, and Management in Indian Dairy Cooperatives, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.povertyactionlab.org/sites/default/files/research-paper/working-paper_863_Got-Clean-Milk_India_August2022.pdf

32. Perceived Constraints and Strategic Recommendations by Commercial Dairy Farmers in Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India - AWS, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://sdiopr.s3.ap-south-1.amazonaws.com/2024/Jun/15-Jun-24/AJAEES_118683/Revised-ms_AJAEES_118683_v1.pdf

33. CONSTRAINTS FACED BY DAIRY HOUSEHOLDS IN BUNDELKHAND INDIA: A GARRETT RANKING APPROACH - ResearchGate, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368914996_CONSTRAINTS_FACED_BY_DAIRY_HOUSEHOLDS_IN_BUNDELKHAND_INDIA_A_GARRETT_RANKING_APPROACH

34. (PDF) Breeding and Healthcare Management Practices of Dairy ..., accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368809146_Breeding_and_healthcare_management_practices_of_dairy_animals_followed_by_farmers_in_Varanasi_district_of_Uttar_Pradesh

35. Exploring Dairy Farming Practices and Perceived Constraints, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://api.seea.org.in/uploads/pdf/2024-78-44-52.pdf

36. Dairy Sector | Official Website of Invest UP, Government of Uttar Pradesh, India, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://invest.up.gov.in/dairy-sector/

37. Uttar Pradesh Dairy & Cattle Feed - Invest UP, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://invest.up.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/Invest-In-UP-Dairy-Cattle-Feed-Sector-12072024.pdf

38. Dairy Development Policy 2022 - Invest UP - Government of Uttar Pradesh, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://invest.up.gov.in/uttar-pradesh-dairy-farm-development-and-dairy-products-promotion-policy-2022/

39. Annual Report 2021-22 - Department of animal husbandry and dairying, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://dahd.gov.in/sites/default/files/2023-07/AnnualEnglish.pdf

40. Existing dairy husbandry practices followed by livestock owners in farrukhabad district of Uttar pradesh - ResearchGate, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339711272_Existing_dairy_husbandry_practices_followed_by_livestock_owners_in_farrukhabad_district_of_Uttar_pradesh

41. Full article: Development of an agricultural extension support tool to support farmer engagement in conversations about climate change, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1389224X.2025.2477450

42. Listen to the radio and go on field trips: A study on farmers' attributes to opt for extension methods in Northwest Ethiopia - AIMS Press, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.aimspress.com/article/id/6579413bba35de5cbf0c3ca2

43. Understanding the Determinants of Farmers' Adoption of Artificial Insemination in Livestock, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.epw.in/journal/2025/7/special-articles/understanding-determinants-farmers-adoption.html

44. Animal Husbandry Statistics (AHS), accessed on August 17, 2025, https://dahd.gov.in/schemes/programmes/animal-husbandry-statistics

45. Performance of agricultural extension agents in Indonesia: evidence from a nationally representative survey - Taylor & Francis Online, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1389224X.2024.2407178

46. Impact of climate change on smallholder dairy farmers in India, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.ideasforindia.in/topics/agriculture/impact-of-climate-change-on-smallholder-dairy-farmers-in-india.html

47. India's milk industry struggles as the climate changes | News - Eco-Business, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://www.eco-business.com/news/indias-milk-industry-struggles-as-the-climate-changes/

48. Explained: The impact of rising temperature on Indian dairy - Times of India, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/life-style/food-news/explained-the-impact-of-rising-temperature-on-indian-dairy/articleshow/109863561.cms

49. Breeding and Healthcare Practices Followed by the Rajbanshi Dairy Farmers in Coochbehar District of West Bengal - Environment and Ecology, accessed on August 17, 2025, https://environmentandecology.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/MS20-Breeding-and-Healthcare-Practices-Followed-by.pdf