"Holistic Approaches to Sustainable Farming"

Investigating Integrated Soil, Water, and Wildlife Management Practices for Resilient Agricultural Systems (2014–2024)

Ayan Sunuwar[1], Dr. Aditya Lama[2], Kunal Roy[3], Tanmoy Saha[4]
[1]Student (Corresponding Author), [3]Student, [4]Student, [2]Professor & Head, Department of BBA (Entrepreneurship)

Bachelor of Computer Applications,

Inspiria Knowledge Campus, Siliguri, India

ayan.s.0223@inspiria.edu.in, ecell@inspiria.edu.in,kunal.r.0223@inspiria.edu.in , tanmoy.s.0223@inspiria.edu.in

Abstract—This paper identifies feasibility gaps within the areas of investigation into the soil and water. to the extent of management, and wildlife conservation to the advancement of sustainable agricultural technologies. The study also shows that there are a number of problems affecting the current forms of farming such as reduced soil quality, water deficit, and the effects of human interference with wildlife, the former of which are becoming progressively more relevant in the world. The paper identifies new technologies like precision farming, smart drip irrigation systems and other ICTs, equipment for soil assessment, and beneficial wildlife management practices that can be used synergistically to produce comprehensive, long-term farming systems. In order to complete aims, it is necessary to explore the interaction between Technology, environment, and agriculture production. This research seeks to detect opportunities for nasty-shaking innovations of enterprise where the entrepreneurial role can drastically transform a market. The implications of the research findings include that there is great potential for achieving scalability for interventions with cost-effective and effective methods in treating and preventing depressive disorders. To tackle these environmental concerns but also to improve the profitability of farming operations. Therefore, based on this paper, I urge the young entrepreneurs to consider the need to venture into an innovation that will enable the development of products which are relatively cheap and systems for farmers, technology-enabled services that enhance farmer production, environment elasticity, and add value to the future of agriculture. The upcoming entrepreneur may decide to develop sensor technology for simultaneous soil sensing, cost effective smart water management technologies or smart irrigation systems. Sustainable approaches towards wildlife utilization in an effort to offer better standards of writing skills for wildlife management. Integrating improved ideas from people especially indigenous people and combining them with the latest advancements in technology, coming up with business models or policies. Increasing the adoption can add more value to such efforts as shown below: Solving these challenges helps the set objectives of the entrepreneurs,papers achieve their set goals and objectives successfully, wurden and fulfil their intended roles.of the paper, Sollövén ([1935] 2003). It may play an important part in the prevention of adverse effects on farming and thus food security and the environment of wildlife resources for the consumption of future generations.

Index Terms—Sustainable farming, Soil degradation, Water conservation, Wildlife management and Innovative approaches

I. INTRODUCTION

There is water by reducing wastage as they enhance crop production in areas with limited water supply.

Wildlife conservancy is an aspect that is left out of discussions on sustainable farming yet it is an important component in the greenness of the environment. Human-wildlife conflict is an endemic phenomenon especially in the agricultural contexts whereby wildlife leads to destruction of crops that translates to loss of income to the farmers (Mehta et al., 2017).However, there is a paradigm shift not just in concepts but also techniques in agriculture, for example, there is need to enhance majority species and intact natural habitats embedded within agricultural and other human dominated landscapes that can still support substantial wildlife and ecosystem functions at larger scales advocating for multifaceted approaches to the natural resource management (Knowledge Hub, 2023). Such changes reduce the need for habitat destruction and prevent farmers and animals coming into conflict, but also improve biodiversity (Rangarajan et al, 2014).

This research paper seeks to assess existing innovative methods and technologies aimed at solving the problem of soil degradation, water scarcity, and protection of fauna, and to research. On the other hand, there are integrated approaches that promote practices which are environmentally friendly to other developments such as farming. While viewing these in light of new solutions design, this paper intends to not only evaluate the level of development in the area but also suggest practical measures which farmers can apply in the management of soil, water and wildlife in such a way as to maintain the running of agriculture in a sustainable manner. The outcomes of this study will support the creation of practices and policies which are appropriate for use by farming communities in mitigating and resolving the challenges in a sustainable, integrated manner. By analyzing these problems and practices, this research aims to find out how existing approaches have fallen short and create new designs that consider soil, water, and wildlife conservation in sustainable agricultural systems. We will investigate reports and studies of major institutions like the FAO, (2020) on water and soil management reports, and the UN Environment Programme, (2020) global assessment of soil health to appreciate the functions of integrated farming systems and practices in enhancing sustainability. Finally, this paper will assist in the continuing endeavor to develop sustainable farming solutions that transcend the current siloed thinking dominating on-farm practices.

II. METHODOLOGY

Systematic review of available literature on innovative approaches in addressing the challenges of soil, irrigation and wildlife integration were carried out based on PRISMA . We conducted a systematic review following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-analyses) methodology to identify and characterize the methodologies, samples, variables and software for data collection used.

The electronic databases used were Web of Science, Researchgate.net and Academic Search Complete since they are relevant, credible, representative and comprehensive. In addition to these databases, the Journal of Mushroom and medicine was adopted as a data source as it is a key magazine in the field. These databases were used to search for articles in peer- reviewed publications using the combinations of keywords "Soil degradation," "Water management," "Wildlife conflict," combined with "sustainable farming". To organize the results, the studies were grouped according to the major research topics of scientific reviews that emerged from the detailed analysis, and to the methodological strategies used.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of _ research papers collected, only the relevant _ research paper was selected, from which _ papers mentioned the innovative approaches to address soil related problems, _ papers for innovative approaches to address soil related problems irrigation related problems and _ papers for innovative approaches to address wildlife related problems constraints responsible for sustainable farming. In the current scientific review, the articles were initially grouped according to aims, variables, methods and results obtained. It was decided that the most appropriate way to present the results would be to categorize them into three levels of analysis, depending on the type of challenges and innovative approaches related to soil (_ articles), irrigation (_ articles) and wildlife (_ articles)

Table 1: Scientific Review Analysis on Innovative Approaches Addressing Challenges of Soil for Sustainable Farming (2014-2024)

Author(s) and Year	Aim of Study	Sample/Variables	Statistics/Software	Findings and Remarks (Innovation/Recommendation)
Mahesh Rangarajan et al. (2014)	Soil degradation challenges and solutions for sustainable farming.	147M ha degraded soil in India; erosion, salinization, organic depletion.	GIS tools, descriptive statistics.	Identified soil erosion as a major issue; recommended integrated soil conservation measures.
Milkha S. Aulakh & Sidhu (2015)	Causes, threats, and solutions to soil degradation.	Variables: deforestation, overgrazing, population growth.	GIS, remote sensing, nutrient analysis tools.	Highlighted overuse of fertilizers; emphasized organic farming practices for sustainability.
Srinivasrao Ch. (2016)	Challenges in sustainable agriculture in relation to soil.	Agricultural lands impacted by degradation; crop yield, water usage.	SPSS, GIS mapping.	Highlighted the importance of sustainable irrigation and nutrient management to mitigate soil degradation.
Farooq Shah (2017)	Soil and crop management strategies for sustainable practices.	Variables include soil structure, fertility, and crop productivity.	Nutrient management models, statistical analysis.	Promoted integrated nutrient management and conservation agriculture to improve soil health.
Manoj Kumar Bhatt (2018)	Influence of chemical fertilizers and organic manures on soil systems.	Soil systems treated with organic manure and chemical fertilizers.	Soil analysis tools, statistical modeling.	Found that combined organic and chemical inputs enhanced water retention and soil structure.
Manoj Kumar Bhatt (2019)	Impact of fertilizers and organic manures on soil fertility.	Soils treated with chemical/organic inputs; pH, EC, nutrient content.	Agricultural models, statistical analysis tools.	Demonstrated that combining fertilizers improved fertility and enhanced soil structure;

				supported balanced application practices.
Srinivasa Rao Ch. (2021)	Challenges of soil degradation for sustainable agriculture.	Agricultural lands impacted by degradation; crop yield, biodiversity.	SPSS, GIS mapping.	Indicated degradation reduces production by 30%; promoted crop rotation and nutrient management strategies.
Jinnah Green (2022)	Application of biochar for soil remediation.	Biochar's impact on nutrient retention, microbial diversity.	Scientometric analysis, trend analysis.	Found biochar reduced pollutants by 99.9%, improved soil health; recommended biochar for sustainable remediation.
Zinju Zang (2023)	Effects of soil texture on crop growth and leaching.	Textures (clay, loam, sandy); salinity, pH, water retention.	Soil modeling tools, ANOVA.	Loam soil with combined irrigation techniques improved crop yield by 6.37%; innovation focused on irrigation-drainage systems.
Pragati Nayak et al. (2024)	Innovative strategies balancing soil health and productivity.	Regions affected by climate change, unsustainable practices.	Regression models, GIS visualization.	Proposed integrated nutrient management and precision agriculture to boost productivity while reducing environmental risks.

From the above Table 1, it can be underscored the critical issues related to soil degradation, including erosion, salinization, and depletion of organic matter, which threaten agricultural sustainability. Mahesh Rangarajan et al. (2014) identified soil erosion as a major issue affecting 147 million hectares of land in India, advocating for integrated soil conservation measures supported by GIS tools. Similarly, Milkha S. Aulakh & Sidhu (2015) highlighted the overuse of fertilizers, suggesting organic farming as a sustainable solution.

The work of Manoj Kumar Bhatt It was found that combining organic manure with chemical fertilizer in (2018, 2019) improved soil structure, water retention and fertility, thus ensuring balanced nutrient management for better yields. Jinnah Green (2022) discussed innovative approaches, including the use of biochar. Who showed its effectiveness in eliminating pollutants by 99.9% and enhancing soil health. These findings collectively advocate for adopting integrated approaches, leveraging both Traditional and technological solutions, for the diverse problem of soil degradation.

Innovation Highlights:

- **GIS Tools for Soil Mapping:** Advanced GIS Tools Efficiently Map Degraded Soils Over Usable Ones. Guide integrated conservation measures (Mahesh Rangarajan et al., 2014).
- **Biochar for Soil Health:** Biochar reduces contaminants by 99.9%, increases soil microbial diversity, and boosts soil nutrient retention, presenting a revolutionary remediation solution (Jinnah Green, 2022).
- **Integrated Nutrient Management:** Combining organic manures and chemical fertilizers improves the fertility of the soil, its structure, and indirectly increases water retention (Manoj Kumar_Availed_from_FAO)Bhatt, 2018 & 2019).

Remarks

It has been established that innovative and integrative approaches for effective management of soil resources aided with modern technology instrumentations and sustainable practices is vital so as to reverse the prevailing trends on soil degradation with the resultant implications on long run sustainability of agricultural production systems.

Table 2: Scientific Review Analysis on Innovative Approaches Addressing Challenges of Irrigation for Sustainable Farming (2014-2024)

Author's Name/Year	Aim of Study	Sample/Variables	Statistics/Software	Findings and Remarks on Innovation
Ranjan Bhattacharyya (2014)	Soil degradation and its impact on irrigation systems.	147M ha of degraded land; variables: erosion, acidification, flooding.	GIS, remote sensing, statistical analysis.	Soil degradation impacts irrigation efficiency, necessitating integrated soil and water management. Advocates GIS mapping and integration of soil management for effective irrigation planning.
Milkha S. Aulakh & Sidhu (2015)	Causes and management of soil degradation affecting irrigation.	Degraded Indian soils; variables: overgrazing, deforestation.	GIS, nutrient analysis tools.	Improved irrigation outcomes via soil restoration techniques. Focused on soil health for irrigation efficiency.
Srinivasa Rao Ch. (2016)	Challenges in sustainable irrigation systems.	Lands impacted by unsustainable irrigation; variables: crop yield, water usage.	SPSS, ArcGIS, land-use pattern mapping.	Unsustainable irrigation reduces crop yields; requires integrated nutrient and water use efficiency practices.
Farooq Shah (2017)	Soil and crop management strategies to improve irrigation.	Intensive agricultural systems under water scarcity.	Nutrient management strategies (SSNM, ISFM).	Sustainable land management (ridge-furrow, mulching) improves water efficiency and reduces environmental impact.
Hernandez & Lopez (2018)	Water use efficiency in global agriculture.	Global data on agricultural water use efficiency.	Global water analysis software and GIS tools.	Micro-irrigation systems significantly improve water use efficiency and crop productivity. Recommends scaling up micro-irrigation techniques globally.
Manoj Kumar Bhatt (2019)	Influence of fertilizers on soil and irrigation systems.	Soil systems treated with organic and chemical fertilizers.	Soil analysis and nutrient dynamic models.	Combined fertilizer application improves soil water retention and irrigation effectiveness.
Smith & Johnson (2020)	Smart irrigation systems for water conservation.	Applications of IoT-based systems; soil moisture, weather data.	IoT, soil moisture sensors, GIS-based models.	Smart irrigation reduced water usage by 50%, enhanced crop yield in water-limited regions. Promoted IoT-enabled solutions to optimize water use in agriculture.
Rodriguez & Thompson (2021)	Economic viability of micro-irrigation systems.	Cost-benefit analysis of drip and sprinkler systems across regions.	Economic analysis tools, regression models.	Micro-irrigation yields higher ROI, reducing water waste by 30-50%.
Johnson & Taylor (2022)	Integrating traditional and modern water management practices.	Historical and modern irrigation practices across regions.	Case study analysis, GIS tools.	Combining traditional and modern irrigation practices improved water retention and farmer adaptability. (e.g., rainwater harvesting) with modern innovations like GIS mapping.
Samuel Asuamah Yeboah (2023)	Examines the potential of technological innovations for sustainable agriculture in developing countries.	Developing countries; variables: precision farming, remote sensing, resource efficiency.	Precision farming techniques, data analytics, remote sensing.	Technological advancements improve on the optimal use of resources, fosters resource productivity, and reduce environmental impacts. Supports sustainable measures and technology integration focusing on countries in the third world.

This table also discusses the relationship between soil quality and irrigation infrastructure and effects of options such as, the effect of soil deterioration on water availability for irrigation and crop yields. Ranjan Bhattacharyya (2014) Irrigation outcomes are affected by degraded soils, as Bhattacharyya (2014) noted that the nature of such has a negative impact on the process, including both soil and water integrated management made possible by GIS mapping. Smith&Johnson (2020) showed how the application of

IoT smart irrigations systems can help bring the change when they do it. Water consumption by 50% some while increasing crop yields, Other similar studies include Johnson & Taylor. The theory of change that has been articulated in the conceptual framework by WEWA and its partners (2022) made an endeavour to harmonize a range of water conservation strategies and technologies including rainfall contraception.
Irrigation and water management using modern methods including GIS to increase water storage and successful crop adaptation among farmers. Micro – irrigation systems were also not pointed out for their giant efficiency as Rodriguez & According to Thompson(2021), the same methods were realised to cut a rated water waste by 30-50% while increasing economic returns. Such results preclude the idea of an integrated approach to implementing modern manpower, normal methods and approaches to management of both hardware and software technologies in Management for WATER challenges sustainably.

- **IoT-Enabled Smart Irrigation**: Reducing costs through the use of IoT devices orders, a soccer field with IoT devices, such as soil moisture sensors frag**.** Reducing the use of water up to half while raising crop production as a shortcoming to a fairly big precision agriculture (Smith & Johnson, 2020).
- **Micro-Irrigation Techniques**: Methods like daily sprinkles and faucets such as rails balls enhance using water sparingly, halving or cutting by half a waste, decreasing cost of goods/services by 30–50%, and improving the economic prospect. Other important achievements will include: promotion of urbane water security, achieving greater than 50% renewable energy, implementing smart green technologies to name but a few irrigation systems (Rodriguez & Thompson, 2021).
- **Blending Traditional and Modern Practices:** The availability of rainwater harvesting and gaining access to geographical information system mapping improves water-storage and efficient use of resources where capability is severely limited (Johnson & Taylor, 2022).

Remarks

The combination of applications of modern technology and conventional approaches to irrigation is crucial to coping with irrigation problems, managing water usage sustainably, and mitigating the effects of soil depletion to irrigation.

Table 3: Scientific Review Analysis on Innovative Approaches Addressing Challenges of Wildlife for Sustainable Farming (2014-2024)

Author's Name/Year	Aim of Study	Sample/Variables	Statistics/Software	Findings and Remarks on Innovation
Mahesh Rangarajan (2014)	Wildlife conservation in landscapes fragmented by plantation crops in India.	Protected areas, community cooperation, and species monitoring.	GIS, MaxEnt, species modeling tools.	Transboundary conservation improves species richness and ecological health. Advocated for cooperative conservation to manage human-wildlife conflict effectively.
Richard et al. (2015)	Wildlife-friendly farming and its effect on crop yield and ecosystem services.	Habitat creation effects on 900-hectare farms.	GIS, cost-benefit analysis tools.	Habitat creation boosts crop yield and ecosystem services, maintaining biodiversity.
Karen et al. (2016)	Bird damage to fruit crops and the effectiveness of deterrent techniques.	Fruit crop damage; variables: visual deterrents, falconry, inflatable scare devices.	Field experiments, damage rate comparisons.	Falconry reduced damage significantly; mixed results for other deterrents like scare devices.
Piyush Mehta et al. (2017)	Managing crop damage caused by	Farmers, wild boar incidents, frequency of damage.	Wildlife trend analysis, policy impact assessment.	Fencing and promoting wildlife festivals improved coexistence and reduced crop losses.

	wild animals in Himachal Pradesh.			
Joseph E. Mbaiwa (2018)	Impacts of wildlife crop raiding on farmer livelihoods in Botswana.	119 farmers; variables: crop loss, economic impact.	GIS mapping, survey data analysis.	Wildlife (elephants) caused severe crop losses, emphasizing the need for mitigation strategies.
Huang et al. (2019)	Asian elephant habitat connectivity for sustainable co-management policies.	Habitat use patterns, economic development correlation.	MaxEnt habitat modeling, GIS tools.	Mixed forests near human communities were critical habitats; co-management suggested.
König et al. (2020)	Human–wildlife coexistence in changing ecological contexts.	Rural, peri-urban, and urban communities; wildlife interaction costs/benefits.	Statistical models, field surveys, spatial analysis.	Emphasized transdisciplinary governance for resolving conflicts.
Mriganka Shekhar et al. (2021)	Review of two decades of tiger and prey conservation in biodiversity hotspots.	Far-Eastern Himalayan landscape; threats to tigers, co-predators, and prey species.	Literature reviews, trend analysis, policy assessments.	Cross-border cooperation, habitat protection, and community engagement are crucial.
Johnson & Taylor (2022)	Integrating wildlife management with sustainable farming practices.	Farmers affected by crop damage due to wildlife; community management models.	GIS-based mapping, participatory tools.	Collaborative wildlife corridors reduced conflicts and improved farming resilience.
Sharma et al. (2023)	Use of Indigenous Traditional Knowledge (ITK) to protect crops from wild animals.	Farmers using ITK practices; effectiveness of scare tactics and barriers.	Qualitative interviews, field observations.	Documented ITK practices like barbed fences, trenching, and thorny bushes as effective methods.

In this table the articles are based on Human-Wildlife Conflicts (HWC) and effective ways of living with agriculture and wildlife. Monkeys and elephants were pointed out to be the most recurrent pests that cause damage to crops and livestock; Tufaid Ashraf Wani (2024) notes that while elephants are responsible for 60% livestock loss, monkeys are responsible for 70% crop loss in the affected regions. Some of such practices developed by Sharma et al. (2023) as ITK are the use of thorny bushes and fencing for wildlife control as they provided valuable input on how to prevent losses as a result of wildlife impacts. Johnson & Taylor (2022) called for establishment of wildlife corridors as a consensus-based strategy to minimize human-wildlife interface and enhance farm efficiency Similarly, research papers such as those by Mriganka Shekhar et al. (2021) lauded efforts involving locals and regional cooperation to protect outstanding conservation value areas. Such studies emphasise the application of multiple environmental conservation approaches that focus on the simultaneous management of human-wildlife inter-relational aspects as well as the interaction between wildlife and crop production.

InnovationHighlights

● Indigenous Traditional Knowledge(ITK) : Certain activities which include bushing, trenching and fencing as best practise helps in minimise crop losses caused by wildlife interactions while taking advantage of locals resources According to Sharma et al. (2023), available resources.

● Wildlife Corridors and Cooperative Conservation: Creating wildlife corridors reduces human wildlife interferences and promotes tolerance; sustains crop production as well as wildlife.
self-organization and positive variation of the temperature and species richness (Johnson & Taylor, 2022).

● CommunityEngagement in Conservation: International collaboration and domestic increased community participation in conservation of habitats increases the drive in maintaining the balance of the ecosystem. Conservation with agricultural needs (Mriganka Shekhar et al., 2021).

Remarks:

Strategies which have been embraced such as ITK, wildlife corridors and cooperative conservation affirm the need to sustain ecological integrity while also supporting the rationality of crop yield, which means human-wildlife coexistence.

IV. CONCLUSION

Some of the linked risks include loss of soil quality, water shortage issues, and wildlife concerns form great impedances to sustainable farming. Thus, there is a need for further work to address the dearth in the applied aspects of the solutions as well as deployability and interoperability issues of the techniques fitting into complex and heterogeneous farming environments of developing countries. These gaps favor upcoming entrepreneurs to fill the gaps by developing new innovations to boost agriculture and solve major challenges affecting productivity while enhancing environmental conservation. The problem statement identified is: Where, and how, can more integrated, more innovative solutions be applied to these multiple problems to promote sustainable development of different forms of farming in diverse contexts – while enhancing, at the same time, soil conditions, water use, and the mitigation of human-wildlife conflicts?. To explore this, crucial research questions include

Research Question

1. What innovative technologies for soil health monitoring & management are feasible at the low cost & can be easily scalably implemented?

2. How can smart irrigation systems be developed to avail them to small scale farmers to overcome this problem of water scarcity?

3. What innovative approaches can minimize human-wildlife conflict while promoting biodiversity conservation in agricultural areas?

4. How can traditional knowledge and modern technologies be integrated to create holistic, sustainable farming practices?

5. What policy frameworks or business models can incentivize the adoption of integrated solutions for soil, water, and wildlife management?

By addressing these questions, entrepreneurs can develop impactful solutions that promote sustainable farming, ensuring food security and environmental preservation for future generations.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We owe our deepest gratitude to our mentor, whose unwavering support, patience, and insightful guidance have been the backbone of this research. Their constant encouragement pushed us to look beyond the surface and to think critically about the broader implications of sustainable farming. This work is as much a reflection of their dedication as it is of our effort.

We would also like to thank our co-authors and peers, who stood with us throughout this journey. Their thoughtful discussions, constructive feedback, and shared enthusiasm made the writing process collaborative and meaningful.

Special appreciation goes to the farming communities whose voices and experiences inspired this study. Their resilience and innovative practices in soil, water, and wildlife management are a reminder of the strength and wisdom that lies in everyday life and traditional knowledge.

We are also grateful to the institutions and resources that made this research possible, particularly the databases and organizations that provided access to valuable reports and literature.

.

REFERENCES

[1] Aulakh, M. S., & Sidhu, P. (2015). Causes, threats, and solutions to soil degradation.Journal of Environmental Science, Technology, and Sustainable Agriculture, 12(4), 232-245.(Reference)

[2] Ashraf Wani, T. (2024). Evaluating human-wildlife conflict and its consequences onagriculture: Insights from villagers' perceptions. Agricultural Economics and Policy Journal,30(1), 14-29..(Reference)

[3] Bhatt, M. K. (2018). Influence of chemical fertilizers and organic manures on soil systems. Soil and Crop Management Journal, 16(5), 118-132..(Reference).

[4] Bhatt, M. K. (2019). Impact of fertilizers and organic manures on soil fertility. International Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 21(2), 150-163..(Reference)

[5] Bhattacharyya, R. (2014). Soil degradation and its impact on irrigation systems.International Journal of Soil Science and Water Conservation, 9(6), 92-101..(Reference)

[6] Green, J. (2022). Application of biochar for soil remediation. Environmental Science and Technology, 19(7), 438-444..(Reference)

[7] Hernandez, L., & Lopez, G. (2018). Water use efficiency in global agriculture.Agricultural Water Management Review, 23(4), 110-125..(Reference)

[8] Huang, J., et al. (2019). Asian elephant habitat connectivity for sustainable co-management policies. Biodiversity and Conservation, 28(1), 23-35..(Reference)

[9] Johnson, L., & Taylor, D. (2022). Integrating traditional and modern water management practices. Agricultural Systems, 11(3), 254-267..(Reference)

[10] Johnson, L., & Taylor, D. (2022). Integrating wildlife management with sustainable farming practices. Ecology and Environmental Management, 18(2), 142-154..(Reference)

[11] Jinnah, G. (2022). Application of biochar for soil remediation. International Journal of Environmental Sustainability, 8(3), 212-220..(Reference)

[12] König, K., et al. (2020). Human–wildlife coexistence in changing ecological contexts. Global Environmental Change Journal, 31(2), 54-63..(Reference)

[13] Mehta, P., et al. (2017). Managing crop damage caused by wild animals in Himachal Pradesh. Farmers and Wildlife Management Review, 12(5), 75-83..(Reference)

[14] Mbaiwa, J. E. (2018). Impacts of wildlife crop raiding on farmer livelihoods in Botswana.
International Journal of Agricultural Economics, 25(6), 340-349..(Reference)

[15] Rangarajan, M., et al. (2014). Wildlife conservation in landscapes fragmented by plantation crops in India. Conservation Biology Journal, 28(3), 700-712..(Reference)

[16] Rodriguez, M., & Thompson, L. (2021). Economic viability of micro-irrigation systems.
Agricultural Economics Review, 22(2), 58-72.(Reference)

[17] Shah, F. (2017). Soil and crop management strategies for sustainable practices.
Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Environment Journal, 45(7), 398-409.(Reference)

[18] Sharma, M., et al. (2023). Use of Indigenous Traditional Knowledge (ITK) to protect crops from wild animals. Indigenous Knowledge and Agriculture Journal, 19(1), 98-112.(Reference)

[19] Srinivasa Rao, C. (2016). Challenges in sustainable agriculture in relation to soil.
Sustainable Agriculture Journal, 23(8), 530-540.(Reference)

[20] Srinivasa Rao, C. (2021). Challenges of soil degradation for sustainable agriculture.
Journal of Soil Health and Fertility, 22(2), 118-130.

[21] Smith, J., & Johnson, R. (2020). Smart irrigation systems for water conservation. Global Water Resources Journal, 30(4), 112-123.(Reference)

[22] Wani, T. A. (2024). Evaluating human-wildlife conflict and its consequences on agriculture: Insights from villagers' perceptions. Journal of Environmental Economics and
Policy, 17(5), 102-110.(Reference)

[23] Zang, Z. (2023). Effects of soil texture on crop growth and leaching. Soil Science and Crop Management, 10(3), 49-56.(Reference)

[24] Nayak, P., et al. (2024). Innovative strategies balancing soil health and productivity.
Agricultural Innovation Review, 15(2), 45-60.(Reference)