A Review on damping effect on seismic force analysis during earthquake-resistant base-isolated structures through Hybrid spherical rollers (HSR)

ARUN GULIA, ABHISHEK SHARMA

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING
CBS GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

***Abstract*: Earthquakes result from tectonic plate movement. This movement creates catastrophic surface shocks and impulses. The best earthquake protection is to isolate load-bearing structures from the transmission channel. Using a base isolation system instead of a permanent foundation extends a structure's basic time. This method increases earthquake isolation efficacy. The structure's earthquake-proof foundation. It distinguishes ground-supported superstructure and substructure. Isolators lower a system's frequency below its excitation frequency, whereas dampers remove mechanical energy. In most earthquakes, the structure's acceleration is amplified. In most circumstances, relative displacement is less than peak ground displacement. This isn't always true near mild faults. Extensive testing is being done to compare four models. Every model has three single-bay, three-function frames. Braced frame provides superior peak acceleration response, bare frame has largest peak displacement. Higher excitation frequency and frame stiffness provide the braced frame the best acceleration response. Acceleration. The hybrid roller base frame with infill walls has the lowest peak displacement response. Because the frame dissipates more energy. Due to the damping effect, this frame's peak acceleration response is lower than an unfilled frame's. Frame stiffness and excitation frequency rise. Infill walls boost peak frame displacement. Wall openings diminish stiffness. Single-brace frame has higher peak acceleration and displacement than base-isolated frame. The base-isolated frame dampens less than others. The infilled frame lost stiffness when bracing was applied. Brace systems accelerate faster than in-filled systems. This followed from before. A braced system has less peak displacement. Infilled frames lose more energy than braced ones.**

***Keywords*: Earthquake Protection, Extensive testing, Frame stiffness and excitation frequency**

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

An earthquake is a natural occurrence that is brought on by the movement of tectonic plates. This movement results in shocks and impulses that are catastrophic in scale and are transmitted through the surface of the earth. The most logical and efficient method for protection against the high degree of acceleration generated by an earthquake is to isolate load-bearing structures from the transmission medium. The basic period of the structure is increased when a correct base isolation system is utilized rather than a permanent base structure. In addition, the isolation efficiency increases as the magnitude of the earthquake increases when using this kind of system. Because of the foundation isolation, the building is shielded from the impacts of seismic activity. It delineates the boundary between an earth-supported superstructure and an earth-supported substructure. The primary distinction between an isolator and a damper is that the former brings the system's natural frequency down to a level that is lower than the excitation frequency, whilst the latter takes away mechanical energy from the system. In the vast majority of earthquakes, there is a window of time during which the acceleration of the structure is amplified beyond the maximum acceleration of the ground. In most cases, the relative displacement will not be more than the peak ground displacement, also known as the finite period displacement. However, there are certain instances in which this is not the case, especially in areas with soft soil that are close to the fault. After reaching a peak, damping reduces in contrast to the minor displacement that occurs, and unfortunately, the more powerful the earthquake, the less damping there is. The damping system works by reducing the yield level, which is expressed as a percentage of the total weight of the structure. However, the higher the yielding level, the less effective the isolation system will be in terms of minimizing the effects of mild to moderate earthquakes. This is due to the fact that the isolation system does not begin functioning until the yield threshold is exceeded, and if a high threshold is set, the system will not function if there is a greater frequency of earthquakes. The effectiveness of base isolation systems is determined not only by the parameters of the isolation devices and the superstructure, but also by the properties of the input excitations. As a consequence of this, comprehensive preliminary research must be conducted in order to ascertain whether or not a particular base isolation system for a building is effective in relation to the seismic map of the region and the characteristics of the earthquakes that are most likely to occur there.

The expense of isolation will always be a major factor to consider, and one of the primary concerns of innovators in any project. A newly separated structure, on average, costs more than a non-isolated structure. In addition, more technical effort is required to study and design the structure and its isolation system in detail. The flexibility of the superstructure in a base isolated building, on the other hand, is often less than in a non-isolated building, which may result in lower construction costs. There is another concern when choosing a heavily damped system for a possible construction: heavier dampers may cause greater floor acceleration. It is critical to pick the proper isolation type for the particular location and mass of the building. The most major benefit of employing the base isolation system, however, is that the structure will function better during an earthquake, perhaps saving many lives. This might be classified as a long-term cost-cutting investment. This thesis demonstrates the feasibility of constructing an effective hybrid base isolation technique based on the newest technology and state-of-the-art isolation methods.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 INTRODUCTION

In order to conduct a review of the existing research, it is necessary to pause the ongoing flow of finished research. Review of relevant prior work, highlighting any inconsistencies, traps, or other defects that may be used to explain why a fresh examination study is necessary. The findings and concerns of previous study are retrieved, and an analysis is performed on the important components of experts in the field. This kind of evaluation not only prepares the reader for the next stage of the current research but also inspires future thinking on their part. A succinct summary needs to be presented, one that draws attention to areas of misunderstanding or disagreement in the results as well as gaps in the existing body of knowledge.

A strong literature review is essential because it not only demonstrates the current state of knowledge in the topic, but it also helps in the discovery of the most important as well as overlooked topics and their relevance to current research. This is because a good literature review displays the current state of knowledge in the subject. Each of these components is essential to establishing a subject of research and its position within the context in which it is being examined. In order to come up with plausible hypotheses, it is helpful to first conduct a thorough review of the relevant research literature since this lays the groundwork for developing a methodological center and a hypothetical framework. Regardless, this analysis helps in the identification and reduction of further questions that are the same as those previously asked. This will shine light on any caveats or gaps that prior inquiries have failed to address and provide clarity on those areas. It eliminates the need for research that is currently being conducted and also helps the reader be convinced that what is going on is significant. After doing a literature review, scientists are in a better position to identify relevant research methodologies to address a particular problem and to detect areas of prior grant funding in order to prevent duplicating work. This is the last point, but it is an important one.

A comprehensive examination of the relevant published research is necessary for each inquiry or research undertaking. It heightens people's awareness of the issue while also increasing the breadth of their understanding about it. In the field of educational research, one of the most important next steps is to do a literature study on the relevant previous work. It enables the agent to search for voids and patterns in a certain region and offers them more insight. It's possible that future experts may base their strategy on the structures, tests, and research tools employed by diverse agents. This would allow them to better construct their plan. It is necessary for specialists to be familiar with completed research initiatives from the past; only then will they be in a position to contribute something novel. The available literature was narrowed down by using the parts that are listed below as sorting criteria:

2.2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

BG Kavyashree, Shantharam Patil and Vidya S. Rao (2020) The construction of permanent structures has come a long way from the Paleolithic period all the way up to the skyscrapers of today. Constructing a structure that protects its inhabitants from the dangers posed by nature has become a source of concern due to the inherent unpredictability of the natural world. Because of this, during the last several years, there has been a change in attention toward the design of structural protection systems that are able to endure loads from the outside. The two approaches are merged in a semi-active and hybrid system in order to achieve the goals of obtaining all of the advantages offered by the algorithm while also overcoming its limitations. Stochastic vibrational control of structures is another topic that is covered in this study. This kind of control takes into consideration the unpredictability of external loads, system characteristics, and external devices that are used in structural control. Big data analysis is a relatively new subject in the field of structural control systems. Because the construction industry is such a complex system, it is being investigated here, along with its promise for the future.

Agus Bambang Siswanto and M. Afif Salim (2018) The size, direction, and time of occurrence of earthquake loads are all very unpredictable. The effects of earthquakes of various magnitudes on building constructions are dependent on a variety of factors. By meeting the following criteria, it is possible to design buildings in earthquake-prone locations in a simple, safe, and cost-effective manner.

Snehansu Nath, Dr. Nirmalendu Debnath, Prof. Satyabrata Choudhury (2018) In the topic of structural seismic performance, there has been a tremendous amount of study. For academics and engineers, improving performance continues to be a difficult issue. In order to accomplish the desired effect, a variety of tactics have been examined. The most common way of safeguarding buildings from earthquakes is base isolation. The employment of various dampers, on the other hand, has been a big revolution in enhancing building seismic activity. This review article will show how to improve the seismic performance of buildings and bridges using various strategies. The research focuses on the role of various isolators and dampers in preventing damage to buildings and other civil infrastructure. A key research in the review looks at how the devices may be used to improve the efficiency of buildings, bridge piers, and other structures. For academics and experts, improving the seismic performance of buildings has always been a difficult problem. The mitigation of civil infrastructure damage caused by strong seismic vibrations is a key challenge that must be addressed. The current study focuses on several techniques for increasing infrastructure seismic performance.

Nicos Makris (2018) The beginnings and early advances of seismic isolation (up to the early 1990s) are documented in this work. Major buildings and bridges were supported by lead rubber bearings, natural rubber bearings, or single concave sliding bearings by the early 1990s, making seismic isolation a practical and stable seismic protection approach. Following the earthquakes in Northridge, California, in 1994, and Kobe, Japan, in 1995, seismic isolation became more widely accepted for the seismic protection of civil structures across the globe.

Aravinthan. et al (2016) Other observations that were derived from this experiment are, the structure is rocked due to the cushioning effect of rubber layers and the frictional force due to the rubber is induced to the structure which caused the relative displacement. From the results, this new pattern of the Hybrid spherical rolling isolator was found to perform well to reduce the dynamic characteristics of a structure in the one-dimensional test. Further, the three-dimensional test should be conducted and the time history analysis should be done to establish the exact performance during the earthquake.

Chong-Shien Tsai (2012) andAbbas Moustafa (2012) explained the common techniques that are used to resist the seismic force by designing to use the strength and ductility of the structural member to resist the seismic forces or dissipate earthquake-induced energy.

George c. Lee (2010) carried out a shake table study on a certain version of such bearing which does not have hybrid spherical rollers. It consists of a cylindrical roller for zero post stiffness and self-certain capability. However, the vertical loading, loading history and loading rate were not considered. But, in this research, these effects are considered and the costly shear wall and costly base isolator are eliminated. The hybrid spherical roller allows the structure to displace vertically in near-field earthquake to reduce the vertical stress, whereas the elastomeric bearing fails to do so.

Fathali and Filiatrault (2007) presented a spring isolation system with resistant, which is a rubber snubbed to restrain displacement.

Necdet Torunbalci (2004) This research, on the other hand, examines the state of earthquake protection measures in Turkey. Although this methodology is not widely used, it is the subject of a number of studies to better understand how solitary structures behave. Civil engineers, architects, builders, and owners all have significant responsibilities when it comes to the usage of these systems, but consumers, in particular, have responsibility, therefore widespread adoption of earthquake protective systems will be facilitated by user understanding.

Durgesh C. Rai (2000) Structures designed to withstand earthquakes have evolved into a truly multidisciplinary subject of engineering, with many new advances conceivable in the near future. The only alternatives we have are to assess earthquake risk and improve engineering solutions to limit damage. Improved zoning maps, trustworthy databases of earthquake processes and their impacts, a greater knowledge of site features, and the construction of EQRDs are all priorities for geologists, seismologists, and engineers. The ultimate aim for the engineer will remain the same: to create the optimal, cost-effective building that acts in a predictable and acceptable way. In the future years, continuing research and development initiatives in the domain of EQRD of structures hold a lot of potential for achieving that aim.

III. CONCLUSION

A rather exhaustive experimental investigation is carried out with the purpose of determining which of four potential models is the most effective. Every model is constructed from three single-bay frames, and each of those frames has three levels. Out of all the frames, the one with the braced frame has the largest peak acceleration response, whereas the bare frame has the biggest peak displacement reaction. This is because the greater stiffness and higher excitation frequency of this frame. The peak displacement response is greatest for the frames that include infill walls. This is because the presence of an aperture in the wall causes the wall's overall stiffness to be reduced. The peak acceleration and peak displacement responses of the frame with the single brace are higher when compared to the frame with the base isolated frame. The damping impact of the base isolated frame is less when it is compared to the influence of another frame. When compared to the in-filled frame, the braced frame possesses more rigidity. As a direct consequence of this, the acceleration response of the brace system is noticeably superior than that of the in-filled system. On the other hand, when contrasted with a system that is braced, the peak displacement response is much lower. It's possible that this has something to do with the fact that in-filled frames lose more energy than braced ones do.

REFERENCES

1. Aravinthan. K, Venkatesh Babu. D.L, and Prince Arulraj. G (2016) Analytical and Experimental Analysis of Base Isolated Structure with Hybrid Spherical Roller (HSR) Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities Vol. 6, No. 8, August 2016, pp. 2627-2641 ISSN 2249-7315.
2. Nikita Gupta, Dipali Sharma & Poonam, May-2014, State of Art Review-Base Isolation for Structures, International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 5, Issue 5, ISSN 2229-5518.
3. Abbas Moustafa, (2012) Earthquake Resistant Structures-Design, Assessment and Rehabilitation ISBN 978-953-51-0123-9, 536 pages, Publisher: Intech, Chapters published February 29, under CC BY 3.0 license.
4. Chong-Shien Tsai. (2012) Advanced base isolation systems for lightweight equipments, Intech, Chapters published February 29, under CC BY 3.0 license.
5. Tsai, C. S.; Chen, W. S.; Lin, Y. C.; Tsou, C. P.; Chen, C. C. and Lin, C. L. (2008), Shaking Table Tests of Motion Sensitive Equipment Isolated with Static Dynamics Interchangeable-Ball Pendulum System. In: the 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Beijing, China, Paper No. 11-0010.
6. Fathali, S and Filiatrault, A. (2007), Experimental Seismic Performance Evaluation of Isolation/Restraint Systems for Mechanical Equipment, Part 2: Light Equipment Study. Technical Report MCEER-07-0022, University at Buffalo, The State University of New York.
7. George c. Lee et.al. (2010), Characterization of a Roller Seismic Isolation Bearing with Supplemental Energy Dissipation for Highway Bridges, Journal of structural engineering, May, Pg502-5010.
8. Moti Perets. (2014), Literature Review & Survey of Spherical Bearing Patents, International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 5, Issue 7, July-2014. ISSN 2229-5518.
9. Nicos Makris (2018) Seismic isolation: Early history Earthquake Engng Struct Dyn. 2018;1–15. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327839319_Seismic_isolation_Early_history/link/5bacfa17a6fdccd3cb779402/download

10. NecdetTorunbalci (2004) Seismic Isolation and Energy Dissipating Systems in Earthquake Resistant Design 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering Vancouver, B.C., Canada August 1-6, 2004 Paper No. 3273
11. BG Kavyashree, Shantharam Patil and Vidya S. Rao (2020) Review on vibration control in tall buildings: from the perspective of devices and applications International Journal of Dynamics and Control https://doi.org/10.1007/s40435-020-00728-6
12. Snehansu Nath, Dr. Nirmalendu Debnath, Prof. Satyabrata Choudhury (2018) Methods for Improving the Seismic Performance of Structures - A Review IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 377 (2018) 012141 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/377/1/012141
13. Bo Wang, Tao Wu, Huijuan Dai, and Guoliang Bai (2018) Numerical Study on the Seismic Performance of a Steel–Concrete Hybrid Supporting Structure in Thermal Power Plants Appl. Sci. 2018, 8, 294; doi:10.3390/app8020294 www.mdpi.com/journal/applsci
14. Durgesh C. Rai (2000) Future trends in earthquake-resistant design of structures Current Science, VOL. 79, NO. 9, 10 NOVEMBER 2000
15. Agus Bambang Siswanto and M. Afif Salim (2018) Basic Criteria Design of Earthquake Resistant Building Structures International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET) Volume 9, Issue 4, April 2018, pp. 1426–1436, Article ID: IJCIET_09_04_158