Granulomatous mastitis mimicking Tuberculous mastitis: A series of cases in a Tertiary Care Hospital

Ujwala Maheshwari¹, Navdeep Kaur², Shweta Munot³, Arpita Singh⁴, Shilpi Sahu⁵

¹Professor, ^{2,3,4}Resident, ⁵Head of Department Department of Pathology, MGM's Medical College, Kamothe, Navi Mumbai-410209, Maharashtra, INDIA

Abstract: Granulomatous mastitis is rare chronic inflammatory breast disease. The most common causative organism is Mycobacterium tuberculosis though there have been cases reported of atypical mycobacterium causing tubercular mastitis. It is a diagnostic challenge because of its similarity with carcinoma, bacterial abscess and idiopathic granulomatous mastitis. The main objective of this study was to examine the histomorphology of Granulomatous mastitis.

<u>Materials and Methods</u>: Over a period of 2 years (2019-2021), out of 112 breast biopsies, 15 patients were diagnosed with Granulomatous mastitis. Data were collected regarding age, gender, patient's complain, site and, any swelling in opposite breast and axilla. Histomorphological features were studied on the breast biopsies received.

<u>Results</u>: Of the 15 patients, all were female with mean age of 34 years (25–50 years). The clinical presentation is as breast lump with or without sinus, associated lymphadenopathy. On microscopy caseating and non-caseating granuloma formation, epithelioid cells, Langhan's giant cells and caseous necrosis were noted. 3 specimens were positive for acid fast bacilli.

<u>Discussion:</u> Granulomatous mastitis mimics a breast abscess, tuberculous mastitis or carcinoma hence final diagnosis is a diagnosis of exclusion of other causes of granuloma and typical histomorphological findings on biopsy.

Keywords: Granulomatous mastitis, FNAC, Necrosis.

INTRODUCTION

Granulomatous mastitis is an uncommon benign chronic inflammatory breast disease, which affects mostly young women of age between 17 and 42 years.¹ It was first described by Kessler and Wolloch in 1972. Granulomatous mastitis is an extremely rare disease with estimated incidence of <0.1% in the western countries whereas in developing countries like India it still occurs at a rate of 1-4%.²

Breast tissue is resistant to tuberculosis as is skeletal muscle and spleen and is unsuitable for the survival and multiplication of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The cause is still not known hence the diagnosis is made by exclusion; however, inflammation as a result of a reaction to trauma, hormonal process, infections, autoimmunity are considered the most probable causes.³ The most common causative organism is Mycobacterium tuberculosis though there have been cases reported of atypical mycobacterium causing tubercular mastitis. It is a diagnostic challenge because of its similarity with carcinoma, bacterial abscess and idiopathic granulomatous mastitis.⁴

The clinical presentation of the Granulomatous mastitis is variable. The early diagnosis is challenging and mimic other breast diseases. The leading symptom is a painful lump. Most common location of lump is retro-areolar from where it extends to upper outer quadrant of the breast. It is probably due to extension of tuberculosis from axillary nodes to the breast.⁵ Other symptoms include tenderness, pain, swelling or fluctuation in abscess formation in the breast, with or without discharging sinuses.

Radiological imaging and mammographic findings are all nonspecific; hence are not diagnostic.⁶ Fine needle aspiration and core biopsy are useful for diagnosis.⁷ Diagnosis is based on the identification of typical histological features or the tubercle bacilli under microscopy or culture. However, smear positivity for acid-fast bacilli by Ziehl-Neelsen staining is low, and in the majority of cases, the diagnosis can only be accurately diagnosed by histological identification of the typical granulomatous lesion and microbiological work-up.⁸

The optimal management of GM remains controversial. The main concern is the risk of recurrence observed for the different therapeutic approaches.⁹ Antibiotics present the lowest efficacy in the treatment of mastitis in the absence of bacterial infection. By comparison, corticosteroid therapy has a success rate of between 66 and 72%. Surgery alone or in combination with corticosteroids seems to have the lowest recurrence.

OBJECTIVE

The main objective of this study was to examine the histomorphology of Granulomatous mastitis. Also, to study clinical presentation of Granulomatous mastitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Over a period of 2 years from 2019 to 2021, total of breast biopsies were received, out of which15 patients are diagnosed with Granulomatous mastitis. Breast lesions diagnosed as Breast Malignancy on FNAC. Mammography was not performed in any of these patients as this is an expensive investigation and was considered necessary for patient management. Data were collected regarding age, gender, patient's complain, site and size of the lesion, any swelling in opposite breast and axilla. Biopsy was taken as tru-cut biopsy or excisional biopsy or lumpectomy. Slides were made from the block and were stained with both Haematoxylin

268

and Eosin and Ziehl Neelsen stain. On microscopic examination, histological findings of inflammatory cells, granulomas, necrosis, multinucleated giant cells, fibrosis and calcifications were noted.

Results

Total of 112 breast biopsies,15 patients were reported as Granulomatous mastitis. Of the 15 patients, all were female. The mean age of the patients was 34 years (25–50 years) and the mean duration of symptoms was 8.5 months. Among the female patients, 6 were lactating at the time of diagnosis. The right and left sides of the breast were equally involved and the disease was bilateral in two patients.



GRAPH 1

Constitutional symptoms of tuberculosis in the form of fever, weight loss, night sweats, or failing general health were present in only 4 patients (26%). The clinical presentation of the breast lesion is depicted in table 1.

Table 1	Symptoms of 15 patients with Granulomatous mastitis	
Symptoms	No of cases(%)	
Breast lump	11 (73%)	
Breast lump with sinus	3 (20%)	
Sinus without lump	1 (6%)	
Tender nodularity	10 (66%)	
Associated axillary lymphadenopathy	4 (26%)	
Previously drained abscess	3 (20%)	
		-



GRAPH 2

The leading symptom is painful lump with cosmetic disfigurement. Most common location of lump is retro areolar from where it extends to upper outer quadrant of the breast. It is probably due to extension of tuberculosis from axillary nodes to the breast. Other symptoms include tenderness, pain, swelling or fluctuation in abscess formation in the breast, with or without discharging sinuses.

Table 2: Spectrum of clinical, radiological and FNAC findings in 15 cases.

Patient	Age		Complaint	Size of	Site of lesion	Any	Radiological	FNAC
		Complaints	duration	lesion		other	Diagnosis	
	(years)			in cms.		swelling		
1	30	Painless, hard	2 months	3x2	Right	No	Benign lesion	Not done
		lump		cm	breast;			
2	26	Dainlaga finna	4.5	22	retroareloar	Na	Douton loston	Not Jone
2	30	Painiess, firm	4.5 months		Left breast;	INO	Benign lesion	Not done
		ump	montus	cm	upper inner augdrant			
3	35	Painful soft	15 days	4x2	left breast	No	Breast	Acute
5	55	lumn fever	15 days	cm	unner inner	110	Abscess	suppurative
		draining sinus		CIII	quadrant		11050055	lesion
4	29	Painless, firm	25 days	4x4	right breast,	No	Benign lesion	Not done
		lump		cm	lower outer		8	
					quadrant			
5	47	Painful, Soft,	15 days	2x1	right breast,	No	Breast	Granulomatous
		well-defined	-	cm	retroareolar		abscess	mastitis
		nodule						
6	38	Ill-defined	10 days	5x4	left breast,	Yes,	Complex	Granulomatous
		thickening,		cm	upper inner	axillary	Mass	mastitis
		tenderness,			quadrant	lymph		
		nipple				node		
7	50	retraction	20.1	15-15	D'al (have at	NI.	Denter lester	N.4.J.
/	50	painful,	20 days	1.5X1.5	Right breast,	NO	Benign lesion	Not done
		uranning sinus		cm	auadrant			
8	31	firm hard	5 months	5x3	Right breast	No	well defined	Not done
0	51	nainful lump	Smonths	cm	Right breast	110	lesion	Not done
9	39	Soft lump.	10 days	4x2cm	Right breast.	Yes.	Breast	Granulomatous
-		painful, fever	10 unj 5		upper outer	right	abscess	mastitis
					quadrant	axillary		
						lymph		
						node		
10	21	painless, hard	1 month	3x2	Right	No	benign lesion	Not done
				cm	breast,			
					retroareolar			
11	21	soft, painful,	2.5	4x2	Right	No	Well defined	Not done
		nodule	months	cm	breast, upper		lesion	
					outer			
12	20	soft painful	15 dave	2x2	right breast	No	Broast	Acuto
12	29	lumn	15 uays	Cm	lower outer	140	abscess	Acute
		Tump		Cim	quadrant		abseess	lesion
13	32	Soft, painful	2 years	7x8	Left breast.	Left	Inflammatorv	Breast abscess
		node, draining		cm	retroareolar	axillary	changes s/o	
		sinus			-	lymph	mastitis	
						node		
14	32	Soft, painful	20 days	3x2	Left upper	No	benign lesion	Granulomatous
		lump		cm	outer			mastitis
					quadrant			
15	33	hard, painless	25 days	2x2	left breast,	No	Well defined	Not done
		lump		cm	upper inner		lesion	
					quadrant			

Eleven patients had a lump in the breast, most commonly in the central subareolar region (7 patients), and 3 of these patients had a discharging sinus in association with the lump. Another one patient had multiple sinuses without an underlying lump. Ten patients had symptoms of mastalgia and tender modularity without a cyclical pattern, and four had associated axillary lymphadenopathy. Ultrasonography was done for all the breast biopsies. Mammography was not performed in any of these patients as this is an expensive investigation and was not considered necessary for patient management.



Histopathological examination, FNAC, trucut biopsy or open biopsy are also important for diagnosis, with FNAC being the most widely used initial invasive diagnostic method. 7 patients with a breast lump or nodularity were subjected to fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC). On FNAC, the cytological findings of 4 aspirates were suggestive of Granulomatous mastitis, and 2 with Acute suppurative lesion and one suggestive of Breast Abscess. The cytological findings of epithelioid cell granulomas, Langhans' giant cells, and lymph histiocytic aggregates confirmed the diagnosis of granulomatous mastitis. The lymph node FNAC (3) showed reactive lymphadenitis.

Histopathological specimen was received as tru-cut biopsy (9) and lumpectomy (6). Upon histopathological examination of 15 tissue samples, the granulomatous inflammation was observed in all cases; granulomatous inflammation with caseous necrosis was detected in 8 cases; non-caseous necrosis inflammation was detected in 6 cases. Also noted were well to ill formed granulomas, epithelioid cells, Langhan's type and foreign body type multinucleated giant cells along with aggregates of lymphocytes. One case was diagnosed as Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis. 3 specimens proved positive for acid fast bacilli on staining Ziehl-Neelsen stain. GeneXpert was traced for these 15 cases and out of which 3 cases with AFB positive.



Figure 5: Epithelioid granuloma and Langhan's giant cells admixed with lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate



Figure 7: Granulomatous inflammation epithelioid histiocytes forming granulomas [black arrows] and lymphocytes [yellow arrows) and Langhans giant cells (red arrows).



Figure 6: Epithelioid granuloma and adjacent lobular acini surrounded by a lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate



Figure 8: Ziehl Neelsen stain showing rod shaped bacilli (blue arrows).

DISCUSSION

In 1972, Kessler and Wolloch emphasized in their study that granulomatous mastitis is a rare condition and its aetiology is not known. Subsequently, Jayram G from India reported cases of granulomatous mastitis of tubercular aetiology.¹⁰ Breast is an uncommon site for tuberculosis even in countries like India, where tuberculosis is rampant. It affects commonly women in the reproductive age group of 21 to 40 years.¹¹ In our study mean age 34 years(25-50years). In our study duration of symptoms were from 1 week to 2 years. Dubey et al and Shukla et al also noted that symptoms vary from weeks to several years.^{12,13} Bilateral breast involvement is uncommon.¹⁴ In our study, no patient had bilateral involvement. Histopathological examination, FNAC, trucut biopsy or open biopsy are all important for diagnosis, with FNAC being the most widely used initial minimally invasive diagnostic method.¹⁵ In our study, 4/7 FNAC samples were of granulomatous mastitis with caseous necrosis. Similar findings were noted in other studies like Kakkar et al, where 74% cases of FNAC had granulomas with caseous necrosis.¹⁶ Failure to demonstrate tuberculosis on FNAC does not rule out the cause as sample is too less to be examined. Although preoperative FNAC helps the surgeon to avoid unnecessary surgical interventions as one of our cases which was clinically suspected as malignancy and

radiologically reported as complex mass, turned out to be granulomatous mastitis on FNAC. The gold standard for diagnosis of Granulomatous mastitis is core needle biopsy of the lesion with a sensitivity of 96%.¹⁷ The differential diagnosis of granulomatous inflammation in the breast includes: Other infections- Mycobacterium Tuberculosis, Blastomycosis, Corynebacterium, Sarcoidosis, Granulomatous reaction to tumour, foreign body reaction, Mammary duct ectasia, Wegener's granulomatosis. So the challenge for both pathologist and clinician is to differentiate among various causes of granulomatous inflammation of breast. In developing countries like India, where prevalence of tuberculosis is high, detection of AFB on ZN stain is not mandatory as extrapulmonary tuberculosis is likely to be paucibacillary with number of bacilli less than 10,000/ml of material.¹⁸ In tuberculous mastitis, granulomas are centred around ducts rather than lobules, but in the more destructive granulomas it was difficult to identify any structures. TB is associated with ducts more than lobules.

CONCLUSION

As per this study the experience say one should not only depend on FNAC and smear which concludes AFB negative. As matter of fact, follow up needle core biopsy can be of more use and can throw light on aetiology which can be missed on FNAC. New diagnostic test like PCR can be used in atypical cases with smear, culture and even HPE negativity. The treatment of granulomatous mastitis is way different from tuberculous mastitis, so definitive diagnosis of granulomatous mastitis is important.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kessler E, Wolloc Y. Granulomatous mastitis: a lesion clinically simulating carcinoma. Am J Clin Pathol 1972
- [2] Tse GMK, Poon CSP, Ramachandram K, et al. Granulomatous mastitis: a clinicopathological review of 26 cases. Pathology 2004;36:254–7.
- [3] Lacambra M, Thai TA, Lam CCF, et al Granulomatous mastitis: the histological differentials, Journal of Clinical Pathology 2011;64:405-411.
- [4] Konstantinos Parperis, Savvas Achilleos, Egli Costi, Michail Vardas. 2021. Granulomatous mastitis, erythema nodosum and arthritis syndrome: case-based review. Rheumatology International 41:6, 1175-1181.
- [5] Akcan A, Akyildiz H, Deneme MA, Akgun H, Aritas Y. Granulomatous lobular mastitis: a complex diagnostic and therapeutic problem. World J Surg 2006; 30:1403–1409
- [6] Fazzio RT, Shah SS, Sandhu NP, et al: Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis: imaging update and review. Insights Imaging 2016;7:531–539.
- [7] Ail DA, Bhayekar P, Joshi A, et al. Clinical and Cytological Spectrum of Granulomatous Mastitis and Utility of FNAC in Picking up Tubercular Mastitis: An Eight-Year Study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017;11(3):EC45-EC49.
- [8] Hovanessian Larsen LJ, Peyvandi B, Klipfel N, Grant E, Iyengar G (2009) Granulomatous lobular mastitis: imaging, diagnosis, and treatment. Am J Roentgenol 193(2):574–581
- [9] B. Al-Khaffaf, F. Knox, and N. J. Bundred, "Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis: a 25-year experience," Journal of the American College of Surgeons, vol. 206, no. 2, pp. 269–273, 2008.
- [10] Jayaram G. Cytomorphology of tuberculous mastitis. Acta Cytol. 1985;29:974–978.
- [11] Bhansali M. Granulomatous mastitis: A rare presentation. Bombay Hospital Journal 2008;50(3):490–92.
- [12] Dubey MM, Agravval S. Tuberculosis of the breast. J Indian Med Assoc. 1968;51:358-9.
- [13] Shukla US, Kumar S. Benign breast disorders in nonwestern populations: Part U Benign breast disorders in India. *World J Surg.* 1989;13:746–9.
- [14] Gupta N, Vats M, Garg M, et al Bilateral idiopathic granulomatous mastitis BMJ Case Reports CP 2020;13:e234979.
- [15] Chandanwale S, Naragude P, Shetty A, et al. Cytomorphological Spectrum of Granulomatous Mastitis: A Study of 33 Cases. Eur J Breast Health. 2020;16(2):146-151. Published 2020 Apr 1. doi:10.5152/ejbh.2020.5185
- [16] Kakkar S, Kapila K, Singh MK, Verma K. Tuberculosis of the breast: A cytomorphologic study. Acta Cytol. 2000;44:292–6.
- [17] Hovanessian Larsen LJ, Peyvandi B, Klipfel N, Grant E, Iyengar G. Granulomatous lobular mastitis: imaging, diagnosis, and treatment. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009;193(2):574-581.
- [18] Parsons, Linda M et al. "Laboratory diagnosis of tuberculosis in resource-poor countries: challenges and opportunities." *Clinical microbiology reviews* vol. 24,2 (2011): 314-50. doi:10.1128/CMR.00059-10