ISSN: 2455-2631

A study on *Kosa* as a limb of seven constituent elements of state in the *Mahabharata*

Dr. Swapna Borah

Assistant Professor (Adhoc)
Department Sanskrit
Darrang College, Tezpur

Abstract: The Mahabharata is written by Sage Krsna Dvaipayana Vyasa in eighteen Parvas. It is the treasury of all kinds of knowledges and wisdoms like, morals and ethics, science and polity, religion and philosophy, social and economic etc. on the other hand, based on dharma, artha, kama and moksa (the four human values or purusarthas) the Mahabharata is known as Dharmasastra, Arthasastra, Kamasastra and Moksasastra. As Arthasastra the epic states artha is used for kosa or treasury. Kosa is very important for protection and maintaining the human life. Not only this, it is very essential or unavoidable part to constitute a state. According to the Mahabharata, a state is constituted by seven elements or angas, which is altogether known as saptangarajya. These are viz, svami (sovereign or ruler), amatya (ministers), Janapada (population and territory) pura or durga (capital or fort), Kosa (treasury), danda or bala (army) and suhrd or mitra (allies). Among these seven elements, svami or the king is regarded as foremost or chief of all. All elements are making a close inter-relation with the state. Each having its own interests and moving at its own power. Without one organ it becomes incomplete. The Kosa is very important for well protection of state both internal and external situation. Hence, the king should take help of other elements for protection of state. If the Kings treasury, i.e. Kosa is always full, he becomes victorious, otherwise his security is in danger. For filling up the Kosa or treasury various sources and rules are found in the Mahabharata and other Indian statecraft, which are viz. the Arthasastra, the Manusmriti, the Kamandakiyanitisara, the Sukranitisara etc. in this paper an attempt has been made to study the importance of Kosa, sources of Kosa, taxes and revenue system etc. all in detail.

Introduction:

In ancient works on polity the word 'state' is used in various forms such as Desa, Rastra, Janapada and Rajya. The term Rastra is derived from the Sanskri troot 'raj', meaning realm, empire, dominion, district and country. A state in modern sense, is a political entity consisting of a definite territory and governed by a sovereign authority. Kautilya (*Arthasastra*. Vl. 1) and Manu (*Manusmrti*. IX. 294-7) state that, the state is formatted by a combination of some organs, each having its own interest and moving at its own power. It has an organic unity. Without one organ it becomes incomplete. The Indian political thinkers conceived Rājya, i.e. state is constituted of seven elements. According to the *Mahābhārata* the seven constituent elements are viz., svāmī (sovereign or king), amātya (ministers), des'a or janapada(the territory and its people), durga or pura (fort or citadel), kos'a (treasury), danda or bala (military resources) and mitra (allies). Each of the seven organs is equal in merit and each one is indispensable to the body politic. Hence, it functions cannot be performed properly if any one of the seven limbs is found missing. According to the Mahābhārata and the Manusmṛti, these seven elements are comparable to the limbs of the human body. Which are closely related to one another i.e., closely interrelation. Out of the seven limbed the king being the constitutional head of the state, he represents the rājya and another elements centre round him. Hence, the 'state' implies a political community, where including both the government and the governed. Among these seven elements the is said to be one of the most important element of a state. For the protection of the borders of state, people from external attack and maintenance all situations, , the treasury is most essential. Hence, the Mahābhārata states 4 the king to guard his (finance) with great efforts. Otherwise wicked people can easily attack on the .5

Materials and methods:-

The paper has been prepared in analytical method. It is highlighted on Kosa in ancient Indian state craft, collection of revenue and sources and principles of revenue in various places of the *Mahabharata*, *Arthasastra* and *Manusmrti* etc. References to the respective part and verse have been given as follows.

Position of Kosa in ancient Indian State-craft:-

The Kosa (treasury) is said to be one of the necessary element or prominent part of the state. It has been variously discussed in different works on ancient Indian State-craft. According to the *Mahabharata*⁶, Kosa and army are the root of all development of a state, while army can protect a kingdom from internal and external calamities; the Kosa is essential for maintaining the army, king's army is the root of all religious merits and the merits accrued from this lies at the root of development and welfare of his subjects. If a king has a rich treasury, he can increase his kingdom i.e., a state become prosperous. Kauţilya ⁷, the renowned author on state-craft (the *Arthasastra*) opines that all things in this world depend upon Kosa, therefore, the king must pay attention in protecting and increasing his Kosa. According to the *Manusmṛti* ⁸ the king should have the capacity to develop the Kos'a and his realm. Because, the treasury and the government of the kingdom depend on the king.

Somadeva ⁹ in the *Nītivākyamṛtŷa* emphasized that, the Kosa is the breath of the king's existence, and not the air he breathes. Infect it is the treasury and not the person of the king, who is the sovereign.

Sūkra ¹⁰ says Kosa is accumulated for the upkeep of the army and for the benefit of the people and for performing sacrifices. After all, the treasury is the root of felicity in heaven and joy on earth.

Revenue system and its Collection:

According to ancient Indian political thinkers, revenue and the army are two great pillars of a state. The word 'revenue' implies the income of the state by way of taxes and land revenue, which is meant for filling up the treasury (Kosa). In the $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$ (XII.87.15-16), it is found that, the king should personally pay attention to the income and expenditure of each day and keep record in is treasury house. Manu (VII.128) says that, taxes depends on the profit of business and cultivation. The same view is found in the $Y\bar{a}jn^{\circ}avalkya$ Smrti (I.327-328), the $K\bar{a}mandakiya$ $N\bar{t}tis\bar{a}ra$ (V.77) and $S\bar{u}kran\bar{t}tis\bar{a}ra$ (I.276-278).

The king collects the revenue from various ways and fill up his treasury. There is no hard and fast rule for collection of revenue. To collect the revenue the king should convey to his subjects that crucial days are approaching, and they should pay in excess money and wealth for their own safety. The *Mahābhārata* states that a king should appoint foot-soldiers and assistants to collect the wealth from the subjects (*Mahābhārata* XII.87.26 & 34). The king should not collect the taxes from wise Brāhmanas (*dvijas*), who used to perform sacrificial activities (*Mbh*. XII.136.2). However when the royal suffers from acute shortage, such Brāhmanas may also be taxed. After all, except the Brāhmanas, the king should collect taxes from other people. Hence, the *Manusmṛti* state, even a poor man who can live by little earnings should also contribute something every year in the form of tax, cf..

yat kin cidapi varşasya dāpayet karasann gitam/ vyavahārena jīvantan rājā rāster pṛthagjanam// MS, VII. 137

On the other hand a king should collect taxes from rich Vaisyas ¹¹. So, the king should good dealings which the Vaisyas, because the productive powers may be increased by him.

Principles of Revenue and its source:-

The ancient political writers evolved some general principles for the collection of revenue. At first the king to levy taxes at the proper time and place, which may convenient for the subjects (Mbh. XII.83.12). Taxes may very depending on time and situation. Some taxes were fixed and some were varied on the matter of normal time and distress or impending enemy attack some natural calamity. According to Manu (MS. VII.130) the king may ordinarily take as tax a sixth part of the crops (or produce of soil). But Kauţilya ($AS \square V.2$) and the $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$ (Mbh. XII.87) opine that, the king has to take even one-third or one-fourth part of the crops at the time of calamity ($\bar{a}pada$). Sometimes the king should orders to collect heavy taxes and sometimes lower taxes.

The second principle is that, taxation should not be too heavy or excessive. In this context Manu (MS, VII.129 and 140) briefly states thus, "just as the leech, the calf and the bee take their sustenance little by little, so, most the king draw from his kingdom and taxes little by little. Let the king not cut up his own root by not levying taxes, nor the root of others by excessive greed." The Mahābhārata also states that (Mbh. XII. 88.4-6); the king should collect taxes from the realm lightly in the way the bee draw honey from the flowers, he should do so in the way of the calf and should not bruise the udders (as the calf does not).

The third principle of taxation is that, a king should gradually extend his taxes a little by little. The king should enhance the burdens of his subjects gradually like a person gradually increasing the burdens of a young bullock (*Mbh.* XII.88.7-8). But the principle should be deferred in serious situations. When any calamity suddenly arises then the king should increase taxes for the protection of kingdom, cf.,

āpadyeva the yācante yeşām nasti parigrahaļ/ dātavyam dharmatastebhyastvanukrosād bhayānnatu// Mbh. XII.88.23

The *Sūkranītisāra* states that, it is only at time of national calamity that the king should receive from the people special charities and contributions, cf.,

daṇḍa-bhū-bhāga-sūlkanāmādhikyāt vardhanam/ anāpodi na kurvīta tīrthadevakaragrahāt// SNS. IV.2.9

On the otherhand, the *Kāmandakīyanītisāra* (V.78-79) signifies the eight principal (*aṣṭavarga*) sources for filling up the treasury, viz., agriculture, trade-routes (on land and water), the capital, water embankments, catching of elephants, mines and collection of gold, taxation from the rich, founding town and villages in uninhabited spots, Manu(X.119-120) opines, the principal tax-prayers were agriculturists, traders, manual workers and artisans.

It is known to all that money is very essential for protection and maintenance of the kingdom. Hence, the *Sūkranītisāra* (I.315-317) advices, the king to make savings of revenue. The king should save one-sixth part of all from annual income and should spend one-two of the whole on the army and one-twelfth each on charity (to the learned, the poor and helpless etc.), ministers, inferior officials, and his private purpose or expenses.

According to Manu (MS. VIII, 307), the king who, without affording protection, levis bali, kara, sūlka, pratibhoga (pratibhāga in the printed smṛṣti) and daṇḍa (fines) goes at once to hell. Different authorities (MS. VII.130; Gautama Dhamrmasūtra. X.24) prescribe that, the king is entitled to the 6th, 8th or 12th part (only sixth in Viṣṇu and also 10th in Gaut.) of the yield of grain from land. On the other hand in Rājanītiprakāsa (PP.262-263), make it clear under what circumstances these different shares are to be taken: viz., the king takes 1/6 of Sūkadhānya (owned or bearded grain like wheat and barley), 1/8 from Simbīdhānya (grain in pods.) 10th part from crops grown on land that was follow for many years, 1/8th from lands sown in the rainy season and one-sixth from lands that have spring crops. The tax was to be paid once every year or once in six months according to the custom of the country (vide. History of Dharmastra) Vol.III.PP.190-191.

Conclusion:

From the above discussion it is seen that is not only the prominent part to constitute a state it is the most important and unavoidable part of a human life also. However, the military forces or armies are very important part to securing a state like was is very essential element to develop a state. Hence, the $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$ states army and both are the root of all development of a state. The is important for maintaining army while army can protect the kingdom both internal and external calamities. Hence, a king should have enough treasury or to protect his own kingdom. All types of peace and prosperity of a state dpened on . therefore revenue and collection of taxes are very important for filling up the . A king should collect taxes very carefully otherwise his subjects will be stand against him. After all, the is inseparable element $(a\mathring{n}ga)$ of seven limbed state., which is strongly emphasized in various parts of the $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$.

In conclusion, it may be said that, as a limb of seven constituent elements of state, 's power was very high in the *Mahābhārata* and other ancient Indian state-craft also.

REFERENCES

- [1] Monier Williams: A Sanskrit English Dictionary Oxford, 1899 and 1951 at the Clarenadam Press.
- [2] "atmāa' mātyascha kosācha daņļa mitrāņi chaiva hi//

tathā janapadāschoiva puram cha kurunandaana/

etatsaptāmakam rājyam paripālyam prayatnataļ//" Mahābhārata XII.69.64-65; 57.5; 122.8

- [3] Manusmrti. IX.294 & Mahābhārata. XII.69.63
- [4] mūla hi rājānah koso vṛdhikaro bhavet. Ibid. XII.119.16
- [5] *ibid.* XII.82.4
- [6] *ibid.* XII.130.35
- [7] "mūlah pūrvaḥ sarvāranbhāḥ tasmātpūrvam maveksḥeta." Arthasāstra. II.2
- [8] Manusmṛti. VII.65
- [9] Koso hi mahīpatīna jivanam na prāṇāh/

Košo hi rājcochyate na srīram// Nītivākyāmrta. P.81

- [10] Sūkranītisāra. IV.2-3
- [11] *Mahābhārata*. XII.87,35-36

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Kāmandakīya Nītisāra: Edn. T. Ganapati Sastri, Trivandrum Sanskrit Series No. 14, Trivandrum, 1912

Kautiliya Arthasāstra : Edn. R.P. Kangle, Motilal Banarsidass, 1972
 Mahābhārata : Edn. Panchanana Tarkaratna, Calcutta, 1904

Mahābhārata : Edn. Ramnarayan Dutta Shastri Pandeya, Vol.V and VI, Geeta Press, Gorakhpur, Varanasi

Manu Smrti : Edn. J.L. Shastri, Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, 1983

Nītivākyāmrta: Somadeva Suri, Pub in the Grantha ratnamala, Bombay, 1890

Sūkranītisāra : Pandit Mihir Chandra ed. Khemraj Sri Krishna Das. Bombay, Sri Vengkatesvar Stim Press.

2012, 1877, 1956

Yājn avalkya Smṛti : 'Mitākṣarā', 'Prakash'. Dr. Umesh Chandra Pandeya. The Choukhamba Sanskrit series office,

P.O. Choukhamba, Post Box &, Varanasi -1 (India) 1967

Kane P.V. : History of *Dharmasāstra*. Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona-4, 1962 &1968

Williams Monier: A Sanskrit English Dictionary Oxford. 1899 and 1951. At the Clarenadam Press