Comparison analysis of parameters affecting material Procurement in construction industry by RII and IMPI methods

¹Tarun Patel, ²Hiren Rathod, ³N. D. Sharma

¹M.E. Scholar, ²Assistant Professor, ³Professor Civil Engineering Department S.N.P.I.T & R.C, Umrakh, Bardoli, Gujarat, India

Abstract - This paper is written to show the critical factors that are affecting in material procurement management system in construction projects. The methodology was applied to study factors affecting materials procurement process in the four stages plan, conduct, administration and close projects. The information gathered the literature, structural interview and pilot study. The analysis is carried out comparing all factors by two methods and identifies most critical factors by all four respondents' architects, engineers, contractors and developers. The outcome of this paper may provide a platform for all construction industry stakeholders to appreciate the most critical factors in material procurement management in construction industry.

Keywords: material procurement, RII and IMPI, construction industry, comparison analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the construction industry to manage a productive and cost effective site, efficient material management is very essential. An important factor that affects the performance of construction projects is the improper handling of materials during site activities. This an effort to analyze the Process analysis of material Procurement management by generating strategies to improve the procurement process in commercial projects. Materials management is considered as a means to achieve better productivity, which should be translated into cost reduction. Procurement is the acquisition of goods or services at the best ownership cost, in the right quantity and quality, at the right time and place for the organization. Various problems are present in the material procurement during every stage of the project life and the reasons of these problems are presented material procurement management system of the contracting organizations. Materials represent a major expense in construction, so minimizing procurement costs improves opportunities for reducing the overall project costs.

2. OBJECTIVES OF MATERIALS PROCUREMENT MANAGEMENT

- Identify the most common factors that are influencing the materials procurement management in construction projects.
- To maintain continuity to ensure that scheduled activities are not interrupted.
- To create goodwill for the organization through healthy buyer-supplier relationship.

3. METHODOLOGY

The methodology was based on the literature review, casual interviews, surveys, and the analysis of information sources. From the information gathered from structural interviews, pilot study, and questionnaire survey and from a literature, improved strategies were obtained and a proper strategic approach to the material Procurement management process was proposed for the commercial building projects. Analysis is carried out comparing two methods RII and IMPI.

4. QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY

(1) Importance Index (IMPI.) Method

Importance Index Method helps to determine the relative importance of the various factors as a function of severity & frequency of their occurrence.

Frequency Index (F.I.):

A formula is used to rank risk event based on frequency of occurrence as identified by the participants.

Frequency Index (F.I.) (%) = Σ an/N× 1004/4

Where

a = constant expressing weighting given to each response (ranges from 1 for rarely up to 4 for always),

n =frequency of the responses,

N = total number of responses.

Severity Index (S.I.):

A formula is used to rank risk event based on severity as indicated by the participants.

Severity Index (S.I.) (%) = Σ an/N× 1004/4

a = constant expressing weighting given to each response (ranges from 1 for little up to 4 for severe),

n =frequency of the responses,

N = total number of responses.

Importance Index (IMP.I.):

The importance index of each event is calculated as a function of both frequency and severity indices, as follows:

Importance Index (IMP.I.) (%) = $[F.I. (\%) \times S.I. (\%)]/100$

(2) Relative importance Index (RII) method

$\mathbf{RII} = \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{W} \div \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{N}$

W= the weight assigned to each strategy by the respondents A = Highest weight N = the total number of respondents Factors affecting Material Management are rated as Strongly Agree (4), Agree (3), Disagree (2) and Strongly Disagree(1).

5. RESULT AND CONCLUTION

After structural interviews, pilot study and literature review analysis is carried out comparing all factors by two methods and identifies most critical factors by all four respondents' architects, engineers, contractors and developers. The various factors affecting the Material procurement management are:

Table 1. Destars

	Table 1:- Factors affecting the Material procurement management							
Sr. No.	FACTORS							
	Factors related to Plan Procurement							
A1	Material procured without planning							
A2	Schedule is not planned before starting the project							
A3	Undefined Scope/ Clarity of Scope							
A4	Improper specification							
A5	Degree of project complexity							
A6	Quality level of project							
A7	Time constrains							
A8	Financial risk							
A9	Resource availability/lack of resource							
A10	Type of procurement system							
A11	Project type & nature							
A12	Forecasting of materials price in market							
	Factors related to Conduct Procurement							
B1	Delay in approval							
B2	Delivery time schedule							
В3	Price competition							
B4	Uncontrollable bid list							
B5	Non competent vendor selected							
В6	Vendor satisfaction							
В7	Socio cultural suitability							
В8	Incompetent material supplier are selected for project							
В9	Delay in issue of purchase order							
B10	Client reputation							
B11	Materials transportation cost							
	Factors related to Administer Procurement							
C1	Flexibility for changes							
C2	Lake of coordination between prime contractor and supplier							
C3	Rejection of material due to non compliance to the specification							
C4	Over ordering of construction materials							
C5	Client's specific requirement							
C6	Compliance with safety procedure							
C7	Too many variation							
C8	Political issue/Transport strike							
C9	Material shortage or late delivery							
C10	Scarcity of materials in market							
	Factors related to Close Procurement							
D1	Prompt honoring of payment certificates							
D2	Disputes between parties to the contract							

D3	Variation between contract sum and final account
D4	Payment method of project
D5	Complaints of other parties to the contract

Table 2: Top 10 factors by engineers

AS PER ENGINEERS								
rank	rank FACTORS RII 0 FACTORS							
1	Material procured without planning	0.855	1	Material procured without planning	55.12			
2	Forecasting of materials price in market	Lake of coordination between prime contractor		55.08				
3	Price competition	0.795	3	Variation between contract sum and final account	52.12			
4	Delay in approval	0.75	4	Type of Procurement system	50.37			
5	Lake of coordination between prime contractor and supplier	0.745	5	Political issue/Transport strike	49.88			
6	Variation between contract sum and final account	0.73	6	Flexibility for changes	49.73			
7	Type of Procurement system	0.71	7	Price competition	49.33			
8	Political issue/Transport strike	0.69	8	Schedule is not planned before starting the project	47.9			
9	Schedule is not planned before starting the project	0.68	9	Delay in approval	47.6			
10	Flexibility for changes	0.68	0	Too many variation	46.91			

10	0 Flexibility for changes 0.08 0 100 many variation 4							
	Table 3: Top 10 factors by contractors							
	AS PER CONTRACTORS							
RA NK								
1	Material procured without planning	0.78	1	Flexibility for changes	58.52			
2	Flexibility for changes	0.76	2	Material procured without planning	54.75			
3	3 Forecasting of materials price in market		3	Political issue/Transport strike	54.31			
4	Political issue/Transport strike		4	Degree of project complexity	53.29			
5	Price competition	0.73	5	Forecasting of materials price in market	52.2			
6	Degree of project complexity	0.725	6	Lake of coordination between prime contractor and supplier	48.96			
7	Delay in approval	0.72	7	Delay in issue of purchase order	47.6			
8	Lake of coordination between prime contractor and supplier	0.7	8	Schedule is not planned before starting the project	47.25			
9	Schedule is not planned before starting the project	0.685	9	Price competition	47.25			
10	Delay in issue of purchase order	0.68	10	Delay in approval	45.21			

Table 4: Top 10 factors by architects

	AS PER ARCHITECTS								
RAN K	FACTORS	RII	RANK	FACTORS	IMPI				
1	Political issue/Transport strike	0.77	1	Political issue/Transport strike	58.12				
2	Flexibility for changes	0.72	2	Flexibility for changes	55.62				
3	Schedule is not planned before starting the project	0.71	3	Delay in issue of purchase order	53.16				
4	Lake of coordination between prime contractor and supplier	0.69	4	Forecasting of materials price in market	48.41				
5	Prompt honoring of payment certificates	0.69	5	Price competition	48.41				
6	Material procured without planning	0.68	6	Schedule is not planned before starting the project	48.39				
7	Degree of project complexity	0.66	7	Lake of coordination between prime contractor and supplier	48.37				
8	Type of Procurement system	0.65	8	Prompt honoring of payment certificates	47.83				
9	Delay in issue of purchase order	0.65	9	Degree of project complexity	46.68				
10	Too many variation	0.63	10	Material procured without planning 43					

Table 5: Top 10 factors by developers

	Table 3. Top to factors by developers								
	AS PER DEVELOPERS								
RAN			RAN						
K	FACTORS	RII	K	FACTORS	IMPI				
1	Forecasting of materials price in market	0.77	1	Flexibility for changes	53.77				
2	Material procured without planning	0.75	2	Forecasting of materials price in market	49				
				Schedule is not planned before starting the					
3	Price competition	0.74	3	project	48.93				
4	Political issue/Transport strike	0.73	4	Political issue/Transport strike	48.88				
5	Flexibility for changes	0.72	5	Delay in issue of purchase order	48.41				
6	Delay in approval	0.71	6	Material procured without planning	46.1				
	Lake of coordination between prime								
7	contractor and supplier	0.7	7	Price competition	46.08				
	Schedule is not planned before starting the			Lake of coordination between prime					
8	project	0.69	8	contractor and supplier	46.08				
9	Degree of project complexity	0.68	9	Degree of project complexity	45.54				
10	Type of Procurement system	0.67	10	Type of Procurement system	45.54				

Table 6: shows top 10 factors agreed by all four parties by both methods.

	OVERALL RESULT					
RAN K	TOTAL	RIII	RAN K	FACTORS	IMPI	
1	Forecasting of materials price in market	0.77	1	Flexibility for changes	54.38	
2	Material procured without planning	0.75	2	Political issue/Transport strike	52.58	
3	Price competition	0.74	3	Material procured without planning	50.87	

4	Political issue/Transport strike	0.73	4	Lake of coordination between prime contractor and supplier	50.16
5	Flexibility for changes	0.72	5	Forecasting of materials price in market	49.1
6	Delay in approval	0.71	6	Degree of project complexity	48.17
7	Lake of coordination between prime contractor and supplier	0.7	7	Schedule is not planned before starting the project	48.09
8	Schedule is not planned before starting the project	0.69	8	Price competition	48.01
9	Degree of project complexity	0.68	9	Delay in issue of purchase order	47.9
10	Type of Procurement system	0.67	10	Delay in approval	45.65

Table 6.1: Comparison between various groups of risks by both methods

CONSTRUCTION PHASES	RII	RANK	CONSTRUCTION PHASES	IMPI	RANK
Administration phase	0.57	1	Plan phase	30.22	1
Plan phase	0.54	2	Administration phase	29.45	2
Close phase	0.53	3	Conduct phase	24.27	3
Conduct phase	0.48	4	Close phase	22.79	4



Figure 1: Pyramid showing comparing of Group of Phases by two methods

6. RECOMMENDATION FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Find out the most important factors that are affecting materials procurement management by other methods and comparing factors by different methods, developing effective framework for affected problems and their mitigating measures.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankfully acknowledge to Mr. J.N.Patel, Chairmain Vidyabharti Trust, Mr. K.N.Patel, Hon. Secretary, Vidyabharti Trust, Dr. H.R.Patel, Director, S.N.P.I.T.&R.C.,Umrakh, Bardoli, Gujarat,India for their motivational & infrastructural supports to carry out this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ar. S. Kamalaeaswari, Ar. B. Vedhajanani "Process Analysis of Material Procurement in Commercial Buildings and Recommendation" International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) ISSN: 2278-0181 Vol. 4 Issue 03, March-2015
- [2] G.T.N. Veerendra, Ch. Durga Prasad, A.Girish Baburao ,"procurement-principle towards effective management of construction projects" IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology eISSN: 2319-1163 | pISSN: 2321 ,Volume: 03 Issue: 06 | Jun-2014
- [3] Mastura Jaafar, Azlan Raofuddin Nuruddin," The development of public and private construction procurement systems in the Malaysian construction industry" Journal of Design and Built Environment Vol.11, Dec 2012

- [4] Rohit S.Agawane, Maruthi S. ,Dr.J.R.Patil ,"Selection of effective procurement management method and study of other parameters affecting procurement management." International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) Volume: 02 Issue: 03 | June-2015
- [5] Shamil Naoum , Charles Egbu," Critical review of procurement method research in construction journals" ScienceDirect Procedia Economics and Finance 21 (2015).
- [6] Shiyamini Ratnasabapathy , Raufdeen Rameezdeen ," A Decision Support System for the Selection of Best Procurement System in Construction", Built-Environment-Sri Lanka Vol. 07, Issue 02 : 2007
- [7] Sogand Mohammad , Hasanzadeh Mujtaba Hosseinalipour, MohammadReza Hafezi ."Collaborative procurement in construction projects performance measures, Case Study: Partnering in Iranian construction industry Science Direct Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences 119 (2014) 811 818.
- [8] R. Shiyamini , R. Rameezdeen , D. Amaratunga , M.Shanmugam , "selection of suitable procurement systems In construction: a multi-criteria decision support Model"
- [9] Shiyamini ratnasabapathy , Raufdeen rameezdeen , Inoka gamage , "Macro level factors affecting the construction Procurement selection: a multi criteria model".
- [10] Tmastura Jaafar1 and Azlan Raofuddin Nuruddin, "The development of public and private construction procurement systems in the Malaysian construction industry" Journal of Design and Built Environment Vol.11, Dec 2012
- [11] Vishrut Panchal, "strategic approach to material procurement management For construction project". school of building science & technology, cept university, ahmedabad.
- [12] Wanyona Githae 'Inuwa Ibrahim Ibrahim, Diang'a Stephen," Construction project procurement: project planning challenges of nigerian indigenous contractors" Proceedings of the CIB W107 2014 International Conference, Lagos, Nigeria, 28th-30th January, 2014

