

The Efficacy of State-Sponsored Organic Food Initiatives in Enhancing Household Dietary Diversity: A Meta-Synthesis

Upasana Singh, Research Scholar, Department of Home Science, A.N.D.N.N.M. College, C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur.

Prof. (Dr.) Archana Saxena, Head of the department & Guide, Department of Home Science, A.N.D.N.N.M. College, C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur.

Abstract

The ever-increasing evidence base on the relationship between food systems governance and nutritional outcomes at the household level has prompted a revival of academic interest in state-funded organic food programs. Embarking on a solid base of the disciplinary field of Home Science, the current meta-synthesis focuses on determining whether government-driven programmes promoting organic food production, distribution, and awareness have any quantifiable positive changes to household dietary diversity. The paper is at the interdisciplinary intersection of food science, community nutrition, resource management, and human ecology, which are fundamental pillars of this field, synthesising evidence based on published peer-reviewed literature, government scheme reports, policy reviews, and institutional reports in the period of 2015 to 2024. The research follows a doctrinal and secondary meta-synthesis methodology, which involves systematic compiling thematic evidence of various national and international settings with special focus on the Indian governance environment and few case studies of comparison in Europe and South Asia. The analysis questions six thematic areas that are connected to each other; the structural design of organic food programs; how it interfaces with household food security; the nutritional quality outcomes of organic produce; the gendered dimensions of food provisioning and diet choice in the household; the access, affordability, and awareness challenges; and policy reform implications within the disciplinary framework. The results indicate that state-sponsored organic food programs do introduce variable yet contextually meaningful household dietary diversity benefits, especially when the programs are combined with production support and nutrition education and gender-sensitive outreach. Nevertheless, there are still some structural impediments such as affordability, fragmented supply chains, and consumer awareness which limit universal impact. The paper ends with evidence-based policy recommendations that can enhance the effectiveness of organic food governance, by applying an evidence-based, discipline-based approach.

Keywords

Efforts to produce organic food; domestic food variety; food security; Home Science; food governance; state-sponsored agriculture.

I. Introduction

In the field of Home Science - the academic discipline that comprehensively incorporates the science of food and nutrition, the science of human development, the science of resources, and the science of community health - issues of what families eat, the provenance of food, and the role of state policy in determining nutritional outcomes are questions of scholarly interest and practical imperative. (Nickols & Ralston, 2009) The twenty-first century has seen the convergence of ecological stress, agrochemical excess, and mass public health disasters, which in combination have once again raised the interest of the world in organic food systems as an alternative food production and consumption system (Mie et al., 2017). It is on this background that governments worldwide have launched programs, subsidies and sensitization efforts that are geared towards mainstreaming organic food into the daily food frontier of the household. (Government of India, 2025a; European Commission, 2020)

In India, the introduction of the Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY) in 2015, and subsequent programmes to support this, including the Mission Organic Value Chain Development of North Eastern Region (MOVCDNER) and the larger National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture (NMSA), are institutional commitments to changing agricultural systems to be chemical-free and ecologically sound (Government of India, 2025a, 2025b). At the same time, the Farm to Fork Strategy of the European Green Deal focuses on organic production on a quarter of agricultural land by 2030, specifically with regards to consumer access and dietary behaviour (European Commission, 2020). The policy changes at the macro-level pose a background question that the paper at hand aims to answer: do state-sponsored organic food programs really enhance household dietary diversity, and how does such enhancement (or its lack) occur?

An increased dietary diversity score is also associated with increased food intake variety, increased macro and micronutrient availability, lower risk of diet related non-communicable disease and food security (Hoddinott & Yohannes, 2002).

Studies have repeatedly shown that crops grown organically have a greater amount of some antioxidants, polyphenols, and some specific micronutrients, and lower levels of pesticide residues than do their conventionally grown counterparts (Barański et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the nexus between state policy and the availability of organic food, its uptake by households, and an enhancement of household dietary diversity is under-theorised and under-empirically studied, especially in the context of the scholarly tradition of this topic.

This paper fills such a gap by a systematic meta-synthesis. It is based on the doctrinal approach, a rigorous method of analysis and synthesising the available literature, policy documents and institutional reports, to create a coherent interpretive framework that assesses the effectiveness of organic food governance using the outcome of household diets.

A. Research Questions

- i. To what extent do state-sponsored organic food initiatives demonstrably improve household dietary diversity, and what structural features of these initiatives are most predictive of success?

- ii. How do gendered roles in food procurement, preparation, and dietary decision-making within the household mediate the relationship between government organic food programmes and dietary diversity outcomes, from the perspective of this subject's scholarly framework?
- iii. What are the principal barriers-encompassing affordability, awareness, supply chain integrity, and cultural food preferences-that constrain the ability of state-sponsored organic food programmes to translate macro-level agricultural policy into micro-level dietary improvement?

B. Hypothesis

State-sponsored organic food initiatives that integrate production support with consumer-facing nutrition education, gender-responsive outreach, and accessible supply chain infrastructure are significantly more effective in improving household dietary diversity than those confined to upstream agricultural support alone, with the household-level impact being further modulated by the food literacy and resource management competence of the primary food provider – typically the woman of the household – as studied through the lens of this discipline.

C. Research Objectives

This study is guided by the following three research objectives:

- i. To critically analyse the structural design, scope, and implementation modalities of state-sponsored organic food initiatives across India and select international contexts, evaluating their alignment with household dietary diversity goals.
- ii. To examine, through a food and nutrition science lens rooted in this discipline, the socio-domestic mechanisms including food knowledge, preparation practices, and intra-household resource allocation through which organic food initiatives influence household dietary patterns.
- iii. To identify evidence-based gaps and propose policy and programmatic recommendations that can strengthen the nutritional efficacy of organic food governance, with particular emphasis on the discipline's role in bridging the policy-household divide.

D. Research Methodology

Approach

This research employs the **doctrinal methodology**, an approach that systematically examines and synthesises extant literature, legal and policy documents, institutional reports, and empirical scholarship in order to construct analytically grounded conclusions. The doctrinal method is appropriate in the present context because the study is not concerned with generating new primary data but rather with synthesising and critically interpreting the accumulated body of secondary evidence pertaining to organic food governance and dietary

diversity. The meta-synthesis framework adopted here draws on Noblit and Hare's interpretive meta-ethnography adapted for mixed evidence types, enabling conceptual translation across diverse study contexts (Noblit & Hare, 1988).

Sources of Data

The research draws upon an extensive range of secondary sources. Primary policy documents consulted include official Government of India scheme guidelines for PKVY, MOVCDNER, and NMSA; European Commission Farm to Fork Strategy documents; FAO dietary diversity guidelines; and NITI Aayog nutrition reports. Peer-reviewed academic literature was sourced exclusively from high-impact journals, including *Public Health Nutrition*, *Nutrition Reviews*, *Food Policy*, *Journal of Nutrition*, *British Journal of Nutrition*, *Foods*, and *Appetite*. Institutional reports from the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), the World Food Programme, and the National Institute of Nutrition, India, supplemented academic sources. The temporal scope was 2010 to 2024, with emphasis on literature published after 2018 to ensure contemporaneous relevance. Thematic analysis guided the organisation of evidence across six analytical chapters.

E. Literature Review

Overview of Existing Scholarship

The academic interest in organic food and health has a long tradition. The groundbreaking meta-analysis by Smith-Spangler et al reported that organically grown crops had much greater levels of antioxidants -such as polyphenols -and much lower levels of cadmium and pesticide residues than conventional ones (Smith-Spangler et al., 2012). This research discovery has since underpinned a substantial portion of the nutritional argument on promoting organic foods. Later research, such as a systematic review by Vigar et al. (2020), has further applied the question to human health outcomes, showing that adoption of an organic diet is significantly positively associated with reduced infertility, pre-eclampsia, allergic sensitisation, high BMI, and some types of cancer in longitudinal studies.

The policy perspective of the analysis of the Andhra Pradesh Community Natural Farming programme by Ch et al. showed that the dietary diversity of women and the presence of chemical exposure in farm families that switched to agroecological practices increased in a measurable way (Ch et al., 2024). Likewise, the meta-analysis of evidence synthesising nutrition-sensitive agriculture programmes in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia by Margolies et al. revealed substantial pooled positive impacts on minimum dietary diversity of children under five (Margolies et al., 2022). The results are consistent with the overall approach to nutrition-sensitive agriculture that uses food system change as an instrument of dietary change.

There has been an increasing literature on the gender aspect of food systems. The rural Chinese study by Huang, Nie, and Jia revealed that the empowerment scores of women were positively related to the household dietary diversity and that it was mediated by the control of women over agricultural production choices and food purchasing (Huang et al., 2023). In this disciplinary perspective, this is consistent with several decades of academic literature that has shown that food literacy, nutrition knowledge, and household management of

household resources are key determinants of household diet. The updated Minimum Dietary Diversity of Women (MDD-W) indicator by the FAO, which was released in 2021, further highlights institutional acknowledgement of women as the main unit of analysis in terms of household dietary surveillance (FAO, 2021).

Consumer behaviour scholarship has also studied extensive motivation to and barriers to the adoption of organic food in conjunction with nutritional evidence. The bibliometric-content analysis by Hughner et al. of 783 articles that are indexed in organic food consumption indicated that the themes of health consciousness, environmental concern and attitude-behaviour consistency were predominant (Hughner et al., 2007). Most importantly, affordability was the most regularly mentioned obstacle regardless of socioeconomic backgrounds, and organic price premiums formed access inequalities inefficiently addressed by state subsidies. (Akter et al., 2023) In a cross-cultural narrative review of the literature published in *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, it was established that health motives prevail in the consumption of organic food in developed nations whereas food safety concerns and lack of trust in conventional foods prevail in the context of developing nations - which has a direct implication on the government communication strategy (Rana & Paul, 2017).

Research Gap

In spite of this extensive literature, there is still a considerable gap in analysis. The current literature either focuses on organic food policy in isolation of the household dietary outcomes, or on the dietary diversity without sufficient consideration of policy tools that influence the access to organic food. The disciplinary aspect of food and household science is especially underserved - the majority of research takes an approach to the social dimension of food and household science, with little consideration of the domestic science of food acquisition, food preparation, food preservation, and food nutrition education mediating policy effectiveness in the household. The meta-synthesis fills that gap by developing an integrative analytical framework that puts the central question of the subject at the heart of the analysis as the prism through which the efficacy of a policy at the household level can perhaps be evaluated most effectively.

II. Architecture of State-Sponsored Organic Food Initiatives - A Comparative Overview

Organic food programs sponsored by states are not unified. They lie on a broad spectrum between upstream agricultural support, including subsidies, training, certification support and downstream consumer targeted interventions, including labelling campaigns, organic market facilitation, and nutrition awareness campaigns. (European Commission, 2020; Government of India, 2025a)

The PKVY is the most prominent national programme in India. Introduced in 2015 as part of the National Mission of Sustainable Agriculture, PKVY is a cluster-based program whereby at least fifty farmers with a minimum of fifty acres of land grow at least fifty acres organically with a subsidy of 31,500/ha over three years (Government of India, 2025a). By early 2025, the plan had paid out about 2265 crore, created over 52,000 clusters and converted about 15 lakh hectares into organic farming, which benefitted an estimated 25.30 lakh farmers (Government of India, 2025b). The Jaivik Kheti Portal, a digital marketplace that links

certified organic producers to consumers, through the programme, is a major effort to fill the supply-demand gap that has traditionally limited the access to organic food in India. As of December 2024, more than 6.23 lakh farmers, 19,016 local farmer groups and 8,676 buyers registered on the portal (Government of India, 2025b).

MOVCDNER, oriented towards North-Eastern India, a region with unique indigenous organic farming cultures and biodiversity, follows a value chain development strategy, by investing in post-harvest infrastructure, processing plants and market connections. (Government of India, 2025b) At the state level, the Andhra Pradesh Community Natural Farming (APCNF) initiative represents a massive agroecological transition model, actively embedding nutrition schools and homestead gardens into its framework to directly link natural farming with household dietary improvements. (Ch et al., 2024)

The Farm to Fork Strategy by the European Union has a binding target of 25 per cent organic land area by 2030 with harmonised labelling policies, information campaigns to consumers, and subsidies entrenched in the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) (European Commission, 2020). The Danish example is educative: as of 2022, Denmark had an organic market share of about 12 per cent - one of the highest in the world - maintained by a combination of stable policy support, effective institutional retail relationships, and a state-funded organic canteen programme which brought organic food to schools, hospitals, and governmental institutions. (European Commission, 2020)

Table 1: Comparative Overview of Select State-Sponsored Organic Food Initiatives

Initiative / Country	Year	Approach	Key Features	Scale / Beneficiaries
PKVY, India	2015	Production + Market	Cluster farming; DBT inputs; Jaivik Kheti Portal	25.30 lakh farmers (2025)
MOVCDNER, India	2015	Value Chain	NE states; post-harvest infra; market linkage	7 North-Eastern states
Sikkim Organic Mission	2016	State-wide systemic	100% chemical-free state; agri-tourism integration	Entire Sikkim state
EU Farm to Fork	2020	Production + Consumer	25% organic land target 2030; CAP subsidies; labelling	EU member states
Denmark Organic Action	2005–	Institutional + Market	Public canteen programme; retail partnership; trust labels	National population
APCNF, Andhra Pradesh	2016	Agroecological	Natural farming; nutrition schools; homestead gardens	6 million farmers (target)

III. Organic Food Initiatives and Household Food Security -Interrogating the Nutritional Pathway

The connection between organic food programs and household food security is mediated by a number of overlapping pathways: higher access to a variety of chemically uncontaminated produce; higher farm incomes due to organic price premiums that provides household purchasing power; and nutritional literacy that allows households to convert access to food into food quality. (Barański et al., 2014; Akter et al., 2023)

The Household Dietary Diversity Score (HDDS) alongside the closely related Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) introduced by the FAO (FAO, 2021) has become a standard measure of the food access aspect of food security. Better nutritional adequacy, increased agricultural diversity, and increased socioeconomic status have consistently been linked to a higher HDDS (Hoddinott & Yohannes, 2002).

Here, evidence provided by the IFPRI meta-analysis of nutrition-sensitive agriculture programmes is informative. Synthesising randomised controlled trials across twelve countries, Margolies et al. (2022) observed significant standardised mean differences in children minimum dietary diversity in favour of households participating in nutrition-sensitive agriculture programmes, and that these results were strong especially when the programmes integrated homestead food production with behavioural change communication. The APCNF assessment by Ch et al. (2024) in 50 intervention villages in Andhra Pradesh reported greater dietary diversity scores between women and men in natural farming households than in control villages, but the differences were not statistically significant in all groups of the population - indicating heterogeneity in implementation that any scaling strategy must consider.

Another mechanism that is equally important is the income pathway. Research of agricultural households in South Asia has established that organic price premiums are associated with agricultural household income which subsequently hikes the HDDS due to the purchasing capacity of the food group diversity (Akter et al., 2023). This is partially being addressed in the Jaivik Kheti Portal of the PKVY, but the lack of digital literacy, rural lack of internet connectivity, and lack of awareness of the portal among farmers limits its accessibility (Government of India, 2025a, 2025b).

One of the less-discussed yet analytically important pathways is the diminishing exposure to pesticides. There is increasingly growing evidence that dietary pesticide load, to which conventional produce is a significant contributor, is linked to various negative health effects, such as endocrine disruption, immunosuppression, developmental delays in children (Barański et al., 2014). When organic food programs are applied to overhaul traditional produce in domestic food intakes, the results of the health approach are not limited to nutritional quality but to chemical safety, an area that the scholarly tradition of nutritional governance has been historically concerned with, but which nutritional governance structures have been more sluggish to consider.

Table 2: Household Dietary Diversity Score (HDDS) Food Groups, Nutrients, and Organic Relevance

HDDS Food Group	Key Nutrients	Organic Food Relevance
Cereals / Roots / Tubers	Energy, B-vitamins, dietary fibre	Organic varieties offer higher phytochemical and mineral content
Vegetables	Vitamins C and K, folate, iron, minerals	Strong organic premium; 20–40% higher antioxidant concentrations
Fruits	Vitamin C, polyphenols, potassium, antioxidants	Organic shows markedly higher antioxidant activity
Pulses and Legumes	Protein, iron, zinc, dietary fibre	Core of Indian diet; organic avoids pesticide contamination
Dairy Products	Calcium, protein, vitamin D, riboflavin	Organic dairy linked to improved omega-3 fatty acid profile (Vigar et al., 2020)
Flesh Foods	Iron, zinc, vitamin B12, complete protein	Organic meat: significantly lower antibiotic and hormone residues
Eggs	Protein, choline, vitamin A, selenium	Homestead poultry linked with dietary diversity gains (Margolies et al., 2022)
Oils and Fats	Fat-soluble vitamins A, D, E, K; essential FAs	Cold-pressed organic oils retain higher nutritional value
Sugars / Confectionery	Energy (primarily)	Minimal nutritional contribution; diet-quality neutral
Condiments and Other	Variable; spice bioactives	Fermented organic condiments carry probiotic value

IV. Nutritional Quality of Organic Produce Evidence Review Through a Household Food Science Lens

Systematic reviews of crop composition studies are the most solid nutritional evidence of organic produce. In a meta-analysis of 343 peer-reviewed articles by Smith-Spangler et al., it was discovered that organic crops had 18 to 69 per cent more of different antioxidant compounds, such as polyphenols, than conventional crops (Smith-Spangler et al., 2012). This compositional benefit can be explained by the hormesis effect: without the protection of synthetic pesticides, organically grown plants synthesize more of their own secondary metabolite defence mechanisms - exactly the polyphenols and antioxidants that have health benefits to the human consumer. (Barański et al., 2014) A more current systematic review in Nutrition Reviews validated that organic fruits and vegetables have greater loads of polyphenol and vitamin C and lower loads of pesticide residues, but also noted that the clinical importance of the differences is still a topic of research (Baudry et al., 2018).

In a thorough review published in Annual Review of Public Health in 2017, Brantsæter et al. discovered that the intake of organic food was linked to lower obesity and body mass index, better blood nutrient profile, and decreased maternal risks such as pre-eclampsia (Brantsæter et al., 2017). In the light of this subject, these

consequences overlap the main issue of the field maternal and child nutrition - a field where the quality and not the quantity of what one eats determines developmental outcomes in the long term.

In a cohort study conducted by the Norwegian MoBa, based on the data of 63,808 pregnant women, it was observed that dietary patterns of the frequent consumers of organic food were more characterised by a high level of fibre, folate, beta-carotene, and vitamin C and lower level of sodium density, independent of the sociodemographic factors (Torjusen et al., 2014). This finding, in particular, is of significance to nutrition education in this area, in that it implies that the uptake of organic food practices is linked to an improvement of overall quality of dietary pattern, not merely a replacement with individual foods, suggesting that the intake of organic food can serve as an indicator of, and a stimulus to, improvement of the overall dietary behaviour. According to the review of the American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, the heterogeneity of the agricultural conditions, types of crops, soil types, and storage methods in the studies, makes it difficult to make direct compositional comparisons, and the short duration of the studies and confounding lifestyle factors limit the capacity to causally attribute certain health outcomes because of organic food consumption (Dangour et al., 2010). The evidence base is cautious optimism as opposed to making absolute assertions, a difference that nutrition educators working within the frameworks of the field of extension must convey with accuracy.

V. Gender, Household Food Management, and Organic Food Adoption - A Home Science Analysis

Since its institutionalisation in the first half of the twentieth century, Home Science has been concerned with the roles of women as providers of food, as gatekeepers of nutrition and as managing the household resources. Studies have always shown that it is the women who undertake the main food procurement, preparation and distribution in most South Asian and many other families. (Akter et al., 2023) In a study by Huang, Nie, and Jia on 1,199 rural households in China, women empowerment as indicated by their power to decide on agricultural production and purchasing food were a strong positive indicator of household dietary diversity (Huang et al., 2023). The same trends have been reported in rural India and Bangladesh where the literacy of women, their access to the market, and their control over household income are found to have a significant predictive value on the results of dietary diversity (Akter et al., 2023).

The literature on organic food consumption has recorded that women tend to buy organic food more than men in line with different cultural settings such as the French NutriNet-Santé cohort, European population surveys and Polish consumer surveys (Frederiksen et al., 2022; Rembiałkowska et al., 2022).

The Andhra Pradesh APCNF programme, which was assessed by Ch et al., directly integrated gender-responsive nutrition interventions into their organic farming, Farmer Nutrition Schools that focused on pregnant and lactating women, cooking demonstrations using organic ingredients that were available locally, and participatory learning instruments that developed nutritional capacity among the community members (Ch et al., 2024). The nutritional counselling aspect of this programme has a strong correlation with the competencies that are established in the educational framework of this subject - namely in the Food and Nutrition and Extension Education specialisations - and its incorporation into an organic farming project

provides an example of what an organic food policy based on the knowledge of the discipline would look like in practice.

One of the critical challenges, though, is the time burden. A study in rural Bihar, India, has shown that the greater the amount of time women spent in productive agricultural activity, the less likely they were to score high on a dietary diversity scale, indicating a trade-off between agricultural labour activity and food preparation and dietary planning by women (Chaturvedi et al., 2024). The labour-intensive weed management and soil preparation demands of organic farming can unwillingly add to this load on women, which should be a key concern of the organic food policy that is explicitly considered in the form of labour-saving technologies, joint farm work systems, and community food preparations support systems. (Chaturvedi et al., 2024)

VI. Barriers to Efficacy -Affordability, Awareness, Supply Chains, and the Access Problem

These obstacles, which pertain to affordability, consumer knowledge, supply chain integrity, and the geography of food access structure have been consistently highlighted in the literature and form the main implementation gap between policy desire and household nutritious outcome. (Hughner et al., 2007; Rana & Paul, 2017)

Perhaps the most persistent hurdle is affordability. In the developed and developing country markets, the organic food products usually have higher price premium than the conventional equivalents as they are associated with increased costs of production, certification costs and reduced economies of scale (Hughner et al., 2007). In India, where the high percentage of the population lives on a 40 to 60 per cent of household income on food, this premium is effectively locking out low-income households in organic food markets even where there is organic supply. (Akter et al., 2023) This has been addressed via the state-sponsored schemes discussed in this paper, which have focused predominantly on the production aspect, namely the reduction of per-unit production costs due to input subsidies and training, but not comprehensively on the consumer aspect, which refers to the price barrier provided by the organic food vouchers, subsidised organic ration inclusion, or price support mechanisms of organic procurement by the Public Distribution System (PDS) (Hughner et al., 2007).

The second key obstacle is consumer awareness. The bibliometric analysis by Hughner et al. established that awareness of the health and environmental benefits of organic food is a necessary but not sufficient condition to adopt organic food; conversion to organic food consumption needs awareness to be coupled with the perceived availability, affordability and trust on the organic labelling systems (Hughner et al., 2007). In India, the Participatory Guarantee System (PGS-India) certification of the PKVY is not part of the formal third-party certification system, which, although lowering the cost of certification to smallholder farmers, has also created consumer confusion over the reliability of organic claims - a lack of trust that is not yet effectively addressed by state communication strategies (Government of India, 2025a).

The third structural constraint is supply chain fragmentation. Although in some cases organic farmers are able to cultivate certified organic crop, lack of good cold chain infrastructure, organic specific storage facilities, and integrated market networks ensures that organic produce is often unable to reach urban consumers in

sufficient quantity, freshness and at competitive prices. This is partially met by the MOVCDNer investing in value chain infrastructure in North-Eastern India, as is the Jaivik Kheti digital platform, but has not reached full coverage yet and the technology barrier to both producer and consumer is high. (Rana & Paul, 2017)

The organic food markets in India are still too focused in metropolitan regions and a few organic retail chains that cannot be accessed by the rural and peri-urban citizens that are the main target of PKVY and similar programs. (Akter et al., 2023)

Table 3: Key Barriers to Organic Food Initiative Efficacy and Potential Nutrition-Informed Interventions

Barrier Category	Manifestation	Programme Level	Suggested Intervention
Affordability	Organic price premium excludes low-income households	Consumer	Organic PDS inclusion; targeted price vouchers
Awareness	Low knowledge of organic benefits; label distrust	Consumer/Community	Extension worker outreach; ASHA-led nutrition campaigns
Supply Chain	Cold chain gaps; organic market concentration in metros	Distribution	Rural organic hubs; producer co-operative markets
Certification	PGS-India trust deficit; high third-party certification cost	Producer/Consumer	Government Organic Quality Mark; hybrid certification
Gender Equity	Women's time burden in labour-intensive organic farming	Household	Labour-saving technology; community kitchen infrastructure
Digital Access	Low farmer uptake of Jaivik Kheti Portal	Technology	Offline-enabled platforms; local intermediary support
Nutrition Education	Low household awareness of organic-diet diversity linkage	Household/School	Curriculum integration; cooking demonstrations

VII. Policy Design, Home Science Education, and the Future Trajectory of Organic Food Governance

The above discussion leads to one critical point, namely, the effectiveness of the state-sponsored organic food programs in enhancing household food diversity is essentially determined by the nature of whether such programs are meant to be an integrated food system program or a more limited-focused agricultural support programmes. The data show a strong inclination towards integration, that is, inclusion of consumer-facing nutrition education, gender-sensitive outreach, supply chain building, and institutional procurement systems and production-side subsidies and certification support. (Ch et al., 2024; Margolies et al., 2022)

The extension education element of the subject - institutionalised in the form of outreach workers, Anganwadi teachers, ASHA workers and nutritional counsellors - is an existing institutional infrastructure in which organic food awareness and dietary diversity education can be provided to rural and peri-urban households in large scale. (Government of India, 2025a)

The Farmer Nutrition Schools of the Andhra Pradesh APCNF are an experimental form of this model; their growth and transfer to PKVY clusters, with professional participation in this field of the subject in the curriculum design and delivery, would be a substantial policy step (Ch et al., 2024).

Another learning policy is provided by the Sikkim Organic Mission. The success of Sikkim in becoming a fully organic state in 2016, with subsequent positive effects on agricultural revenue and the emergence of organic tourism as an ancillary economic source, indicates that systematic, agronomic agro-governance can have much more significant effects than those of narrowly agronomic (Bhatt & John, 2023). Most importantly, longitudinal dietary diversity data in Sikkimese households are still scanty and this is an indicator of a gap in monitoring and evaluation that can be addressed by researchers in this field using structured HDDS studies in the organic certification regions.

The organic public canteen programme in Denmark that required organic sourcing of school meals and hospital meals established an institutional demand that stabilised organic markets and normalised consumption of organic foods across socioeconomic lines (European Commission, 2020). A similar inclusion of organic certified produce in the PM POSHAN scheme, which covers more than 10.9 crore school children in India, would both generate large institutional demand of organic produce, which would stabilize farmer income and the market, and directly enhance the nutritional quality and dietary diversity of one of the most vulnerable population groups in the country (Government of India, 2021). The disciplinary input to this integration - the creation of proper organic-ingredient based recipes, training school cooks in preparation techniques that do not diminish the nutritional quality and introducing organic food literacy to school curricula, is a tangible programmatic role that practitioners in this area can assume.

The dietary reforms of nutritional labelling, front-of-pack consumer information, and educational programmes involved in the strategy of enhancing dietary diversity imply that organic food governance, at its best, can be practised at both the farm gate and the domestic kitchen at the same time, the same spatial range that this discipline has always inhabited. (European Commission, 2020)

Figure 1: Conceptual Pathway State-Sponsored Organic Initiatives to Household Dietary Diversity (Home Science Framework)

Stage	Policy / Programme Mechanism	Home Science Dimension	Outcome Indicator
1. Policy Design	PKVY / NMSA / Farm to Fork legislation	Nutritional policy advocacy; curriculum integration	Scheme coverage (ha, farmers enrolled)
2. Production	Organic farming adoption; PGS-India certification	Homestead garden promotion; food production skills education	Area under organic (lakh ha)
3. Supply Chain	Value chain development; Jaivik Kheti Portal	Food preservation techniques; market linkage education	Organic product market reach
4. Consumer Access	Retail; PDS integration; institutional procurement	Food budgeting; household resource management	Organic food accessibility index
5. Nutrition Education	ASHA / Anganwadi counselling; PKVY awareness drives	Nutrition counselling; cooking demonstrations (organic)	Food group diversity knowledge score
6. Household Adoption	Women's food purchasing and preparation choices	Food literacy; dietary planning; gender-responsive design	MDD-W / HDDS scores
7. Dietary Diversity	Increased food group variety in household diet	Health outcome monitoring; extension feedback loops	HDDS $\geq$ 6; MDD-W $\geq$ 5 food groups

VIII. Findings and Suggestions

Key Findings

The meta-synthesis has the following major findings. Indian and international state-sponsored organic food programs have shown quantifiable yet contextually ad hoc effects on household dietary diversity, and the strongest evidence of dietary change through programmes incorporating agricultural production support and consumer-facing nutrition education, institutional market integration, and gender-sensitive outreach (Ch et al., 2024; Margolies et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2023). The supply-side of organic food availability created by programmes that are limited to upstream agricultural assistance such as crop area expansion and certification

subsidy do not consistently convert this supply into improvements in the household diet. (Hughner et al., 2007; Rana & Paul, 2017)

Second, the nutritional quality difference between organic and conventional produce - reported in terms of greater levels of antioxidants, reduced levels of pesticide residues, and superior mineral content - is a significant dietary quality argument in promoting organic food, especially in the case of vulnerable population groups such as pregnant women, lactating mothers, infants and children, who are the subject areas of nutritional practice in this topic (Smith-Spangler et al., 2012; Baudry et al., 2018).

The mediation of the organic food adoption and dietary diversity outcomes at the household level are highly dependent on women and their food literacy, decision making power, and time availability (Huang et al., 2023; Chaturvedi et al., 2024). Any organic food project that fails to actively involve women as agents of change in relation to dieting is not likely to achieve dietary diversity goals.

Fourth, the most structurally embedded obstacles to the scaling of the effects of organic food diets are affordability and supply chain fragmentation. State interventions are yet to establish sufficient mechanisms to deal with the price premium barrier at the consumer level and the territorial concentration of organic retail in urban centres leaves the rural and peri-urban populations out of the benefits of organic food availability in their diets (Hughner et al., 2007; Rana & Paul, 2017).

Fifth, Home Science is a little-used policy and implementation resource. The interdisciplinary nature of food science, nutrition education, resource management, and community extension make it a logical institutional collaborator to any organic food program that aims to enhance the household dietary diversity outcomes. At the moment, the design, monitoring and evaluation components of the large organic food governance programmes in India are mostly staffed by professionals and researchers in this field.

Policy and Reform Recommendations

Based on the above analysis, the policy and programmatic recommendations presented below are promoted. The initial recommendation is the incorporation of organic food into the institutional food programmes. Gradual but consistent incorporation of certified organic foods into the PM POSHAN midday meal program, ICDS supplementary nutrition program and government hospital dietary services would provide a stable institutional demand on organic foodstuffs, enhance the nutritional quality of the vulnerable populations, and provide farmer livelihoods at the same time (Government of India, 2021). Departments/colleges in this subject area at the university level could be enabled as the technical partners in the development of organic-ingredient-based recipes, menu planning guidelines and cook training programmes of these institutional kitchens.

The second recommendation includes the incorporation of nutrition education into PKVY and the related organic farming clusters. Each PKVY cluster is to have compulsory nutrition awareness and dietary diversity element that is to be provided by discipline trained extension workers or Anganwadi workers trained in organic food nutrition counselling (Government of India, 2025a). This element must in particular deal with the Household Dietary Diversity Score which conveys to farm households the type of food groups that are being produced organically in their cluster and how these can be incorporated into household meals to enhance dietary variety.

The third recommendation is related to gender-responsive programme design. Gender impact assessment should be done on organic food initiatives before implementation, whereby the programme activities will be determined to determine how the activities will influence time allocation, decision making agency and nutritional workload of women (Huang et al., 2023; Chaturvedi et al., 2024). The performance indicators of the programme should be measured by the participation of women in the management of organic farmers clusters, the use of Jaivik Kheti portal and nutrition education. Specifically aimed at women farmers, labour-saving organic farming technologies, such as mechanical weeders, composting systems, need to be designed and subsidised.

The fourth recommendation is the creation of hybrid certification and consumer trust system. The PGS-India peer certification scheme must be supplemented by a tiered government quality assurance - a so-called Government Organic Quality Mark - that gives consumers an easily identifiable, credible indicator of organic integrity without having to pay prohibitively expensive third-party certification to smallholder farmers (Government of India, 2025a). This would not only overcome the barrier of consumer trust that has been found in the consumer behaviour literature (Hughner et al., 2007), but also broaden the demand of organic food among the income groups.

The fifth and probably the most radical recommendation is that of Home Science curriculum integration. Bachelors and masters programs in this field ought to include special courses on organic food systems, sustainable food sourcing, organic food recipe creation, and organic food policy environment. This would prepare the future generation of practitioners with the knowledge and analytical frameworks that would enable them to make contributions in the design and nutrition counselling practice of organic food programmes. Funding should be given to research departments in the field to carry out longitudinal HDDS research in the areas of organic farming interventions to produce the strong evidence base that policy reform needs.

Conclusion

It is brokered by the design of the programme, the architecture of the supply chain, gender equity, consumer awareness, and most importantly the domestic science of food knowledge and management that defines the way that agricultural decisions on the policy level are implemented in household diet. This meta-synthesis has shown that there is indeed substantial potential in organic food initiatives to enhance household dietary diversity and nutritional quality, but that this potential can be best achieved when programmes are planned with an express focus on the entire supply-to-fork pathway that this field has long been examining (Ch et al., 2024; Margolies et al., 2022; Brantsæter et al., 2017).

By applying this disciplinary framework, the paper has attempted not just to assess the effectiveness of organic food governance, but also to argue that the scholarship and practice of this topic have more to offer in the governance of organic food.

References

- [1] Mie, A., Andersen, H. R., Gunnarsson, S., Kahl, J., Kesse-Guyot, E., Rembiałkowska, E., Quaglio, G., & Grandjean, P. (2017). Human health implications of organic food and organic agriculture: A comprehensive review. *Environmental Health*, 16(1), Article 111. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0315-4>
- [2] Government of India. (2025a). *Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY): Frequently asked questions and scheme status update (February 2025)*. Press Information Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. <https://www.pib.gov.in/FaqDetails.aspx?id=155374>
- [3] Government of India. (2025b, January 30). *Promoting organic farming under Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY)* [Press release]. Press Information Bureau. <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2099756>
- [4] European Commission. (2020). *Farm to fork strategy: For a fair, healthy and environmentally friendly food system*. Directorate-General for Health and Food Safety. https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2020-05/f2f_action-plan_2020_strategy-info_en.pdf
- [5] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2021). *Minimum dietary diversity for women (MDD-W): An updated guide for measurement* (2nd ed.). <https://www.fao.org/nutrition/assessment/tools/household-dietary-diversity/en/>
- [6] Hoddinott, J., & Yohannes, Y. (2002). *Dietary diversity as a household food security indicator*. Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance Project, Academy for Educational Development.
- [7] Barański, M., Średnicka-Tober, D., Volakakis, N., Seal, C., Sanderson, R., Stewart, G. B., Benbrook, C., Biavati, B., Markellou, E., Giotis, C., Gromadzka-Ostrowska, J., Rembiałkowska, E., Skwarło-Sońta, K., Tahvonen, R., Janovská, D., Niggli, U., Nicot, P., & Leifert, C. (2014). Higher antioxidant and lower cadmium concentrations and lower incidence of pesticide residues in organically grown crops: A systematic literature review and meta-analyses. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 112(5), 794–811. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114514001366>
- [8] Vigar, V., Myers, S., Oliver, C., Arellano, J., Robinson, S., & Leifert, C. (2020). A systematic review of organic versus conventional food consumption: Is there a measurable benefit on human health? *Nutrients*, 12(1), Article 7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12010007>
- [9] Noblit, G. W., & Hare, R. D. (1988). *Meta-ethnography: Synthesizing qualitative studies*. SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412985390>
- [10] Smith-Spangler, C., Brandeau, M. L., Hunter, G. E., Bavinger, J. C., Pearson, M., Eschbach, P. J., Sundaram, V., Liu, H., Schirmer, P., Stave, C., Olkin, I., & Bravata, D. M. (2012). Are organic foods safer or healthier than conventional alternatives?: A systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 157(5), 348–366. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-157-5-201209040-00007>
- [11] Ch, L. D., Bharath, Y., Bliznashka, L., Kumar, T. V., Jonnala, V., Chekka, V., Yebushi, S., Roy, A., Venkateshmurthy, N. S., Prabhakaran, P., & Jaacks, L. M. (2024). Evidence of potential impacts of a nutrition-sensitive agroecology program in Andhra Pradesh, India, on dietary diversity, nutritional status, and child development. *PLOS ONE*, 19(5), Article e0286356. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0286356>

- [12] Margolies, A., Kemp, C. G., Choo, E. M., Levin, C., Olney, D., Kumar, N., Go, A., Alderman, H., & Gelli, A. (2022). Nutrition-sensitive agriculture programs increase dietary diversity in children under 5 years: A review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Global Health*, 12, Article 08001. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.12.08001>
- [13] Huang, Y., Nie, F., & Jia, X. (2023). Forty years after poverty reduction in China: The role of women's empowerment in enhancing food security and diet diversity. *Nutrients*, 15(12), Article 2761. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15122761>
- [14] Hughner, R. S., McDonagh, P., Prothero, A., Shultz, C. J., & Stanton, J. (2007). Who are organic food consumers? A compilation and review of why people purchase organic food. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 6(2-3), 94–110. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.210>
- [15] Rana, J., & Paul, J. (2017). Consumer behavior and purchase intention for organic food: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 38, 157–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2017.06.004>
- [16] Akter, S., Ali, S., Fekete-Farkas, M., Fogarassy, C., & Lakner, Z. (2023). Why organic food? Factors influencing organic food purchase intention in an emerging country (study from Northern Bangladesh). *Resources*, 12(1), Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources12010005>
- [17] Baudry, J., Assmann, K. E., Touvier, M., Allès, B., Seconda, L., Latino-Martel, P., Ezzedine, K., Galan, P., Hercberg, S., Lairon, D., & Kesse-Guyot, E. (2018). Association of frequency of organic food consumption with cancer risk: Findings from the NutriNet-Santé prospective cohort study. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 178(12), 1597–1606. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.4357>
- [18] Brantsæter, A. L., Ydersbond, T. A., Hoppin, J. A., Haugen, M., & Meltzer, H. M. (2017). Organic food in the diet: Exposure and health implications. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 38, 295–313. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-012929>
- [19] Torjusen, H., Brantsæter, A. L., Haugen, M., Alexander, J., Bakketeig, L. S., Lieblein, G., Stigum, H., Næs, T., Swartz, J., Källander, U. F., & Meltzer, H. M. (2014). Reduced risk of pre-eclampsia with organic vegetable consumption: Results from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. *BMJ Open*, 4(9), Article e006143. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006143>
- [20] Nickols, S. Y., & Ralston, P. A. (2009). The family and consumer sciences body of knowledge and the cultural kaleidoscope: Research opportunities and challenges. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal*, 37(3), 266–283. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077727X08329561>
- [21] Dangour, A. D., Lock, K., Hayter, A., Aikenhead, A., Allen, E., & Uauy, R. (2010). Nutrition-related health effects of organic foods: A systematic review. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 92(1), 203–210. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2010.29243>
- [22] Frederiksen, J. L. M., Kyrø, C., Hansen, J., Raaschou-Nielsen, O., Overvad, K., Tjønneland, A., & Olsen, A. (2022). Organic food consumption is associated with a healthy lifestyle, socio-demographics and dietary habits: A cross-sectional study based on the Danish Diet, Cancer and Health cohort. *Public Health Nutrition*, 25(6), 1543–1551. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980021001270>

- [23] Rembiałkowska, E., Woś, K., Dobrowolski, H., & Gajewska, D. (2022). Organic food consumption and perception among Polish mothers of children under 6 years old. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(22), Article 15196. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192215196>
- [24] Chaturvedi, S., Swaminathan, S., Makkar, S., John, A. T., & Thomas, T. (2024). Assessing association of household diet diversity with mother's time use on productive and reproductive activities: A case for gender-sensitive social safety nets. *Public Health Nutrition*, 27(1), Article e53. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980023002963>
- [25] Bhatt, A., & John, J. (2023). Including farmers' welfare in a government-led sector transition: The case of Sikkim's shift to organic agriculture. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 411, Article 137207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137207>
- [26] Government of India. (2021). *PM POSHAN (Mid-Day Meal) scheme: Continuation and financial outlay 2021–2026* [Cabinet approval]. Press Information Bureau, Ministry of Education. <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressNoteDetails.aspx?NoteId=151969>