SYNERGYFIELD: Cerebral and Autonomic Coherence in Team Play – Evaluation and Training of EEG and HRV Synchronization Between Teammates for the Optimization of Collective Performance

Alina ROBU, Alina-Diana NEMES

Abstract

Modern elite team sport requires more than the aggregation of individual physical and technical capacities; it demands rapid, nonverbal coordination and shared decision-making under pressure. Emerging evidence from social neuroscience suggests that such collective efficiency may be grounded in measurable neurophysiological synchronization between interacting individuals. The SYNERGYFIELD study investigated whether inter-brain EEG coherence and interpersonal heart rate variability (HRV) alignment between teammates can be systematically measured and enhanced through a structured multimodal intervention. In a randomized, controlled, longitudinal design, 24 elite athletes (12 tactical dyads) were assigned to either an experimental group receiving six sessions of integrated hyperscanning EEG, synchronized neurofeedback (Alpha–SMR), paired HRV biofeedback, transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS), binaural auditory entrainment, and photobiomodulation, or to a control group undergoing tactical simulations without physiological feedback. Pre- and post-intervention assessments included inter-brain EEG synchronization indices, interpersonal HRV coherence, neurofeedback convergence metrics, Group Environment Questionnaire (GEQ) scores, and structured coach ratings. Results demonstrated significantly higher EEG synchronization (0.84 vs. 0.52), greater HRV coherence (0.46 vs. 0.22), faster SMR convergence, and substantial improvements in perceived and externally observed cohesion in the experimental group. These findings suggest that neural and autonomic coherence within tactical dyads is modifiable and functionally associated with improved collective coordination. SYNERGYFIELD introduces a translational framework for relational neurotraining in elite sport, reframing team performance as a dynamic neurophysiological network process rather than solely a tactical construct.

Keywords: *Inter-brain synchronization; Hyperscanning EEG; Heart rate variability coherence; Neurofeedback; Team cohesion; Vagal regulation; Collective performance optimization*.

1. Introduction

Modern team sport can no longer be conceptualized as a mere aggregation of individual performances. Although physical conditioning, tactical preparation, and individual technical proficiency remain essential determinants of success, decisive moments in elite competition frequently emerge from subtle, rapid, and often nonverbal coordination processes between players. Fine-grained synchronization, collective intuition, shared decision-making under time pressure, and the experiential state commonly described as “team flow” reflect more than psychological cohesion or tactical rehearsal. Increasingly, evidence from social neuroscience suggests that these phenomena are grounded in measurable neurophysiological synchronization processes across interacting individuals.

The concept that brains can dynamically couple during social interaction has gained empirical support through hyperscanning methodologies, which enable the simultaneous recording of neural activity from two or more individuals engaged in shared tasks. Early hyperscanning electroencephalography (EEG) studies demonstrated that inter-brain synchronization emerges during coordinated action and cooperative problem-solving (Lindenberger et al., 2009). Subsequent research confirmed that such inter-brain coherence is not epiphenomenal but functionally meaningful. For example, Konvalinka et al. (2014) showed that neural synchronization between interacting individuals predicts coordination success in joint action tasks. Similarly, Dikker et al. (2017) demonstrated that brain-to-brain synchrony in group contexts is associated with engagement and shared attention. These findings collectively suggest that effective teamwork is supported by temporally aligned neural oscillations across individuals.

In parallel, psychophysiological research has highlighted the importance of autonomic coupling, particularly through heart rate variability (HRV), as an index of shared regulatory states. HRV reflects the dynamic interplay between sympathetic and parasympathetic influences on cardiac rhythm and is widely regarded as a marker of adaptive emotional and cognitive regulation (Thayer & Lane, 2000). Interpersonal synchronization of HRV has been observed in cooperative contexts and has been associated with trust, empathy, and coordinated behavior (McCraty & Tomasino, 2006; Palumbo et al., 2017). In high-pressure environments, such as elite sport, the capacity of teammates to maintain aligned autonomic states may contribute to stability, reduced impulsivity, and improved collective decision-making.

Team sports offer a particularly compelling ecological model for investigating these processes. Unlike laboratory-based dyadic tasks, elite competition requires continuous adaptation to dynamic, unpredictable stimuli. Players must anticipate one another's movements, interpret subtle postural cues, and execute coordinated actions within fractions of a second, often without verbal communication. These processes imply the involvement of distributed neural systems implicated in social cognition, motor resonance, and emotional regulation.

From a neuroanatomical perspective, several cortical and subcortical regions are central to interpersonal coordination. Brodmann area 10 (BA10), located in the anterior prefrontal cortex, plays a key role in mentalizing, prospective cognition, and social prediction (Burgess et al., 2007). In fast-paced tactical contexts, BA10 likely contributes to anticipating teammates' intentions and adjusting decisions accordingly. The anterior cingulate cortex, corresponding to BA24 and BA32, is critically involved in emotional regulation, conflict monitoring, and salience processing (Bush et al., 2000). Shared activation patterns in these regions may support synchronized affective responses during high-stress moments, such as defensive transitions or decisive attacks. Meanwhile, BA7 in the superior parietal lobule contributes to visuospatial integration and body positioning in dynamic environments, functions essential for coordinated spatial rotation and coverage in sports such as football, basketball, and handball.

At the network level, coordinated team performance likely reflects interactions among large-scale systems, including the Default Mode Network (DMN), the Salience Network (SN), the fronto-limbic circuitry, and the Mirror Neuron System. The DMN, traditionally associated with self-referential processing, is increasingly recognized for its role in representing others' mental states (Buckner et al., 2008). The Salience Network facilitates the allocation of attentional resources toward behaviorally relevant stimuli, particularly in uncertain environments (Seeley et al., 2007). The Mirror Neuron System supports action understanding and motor resonance, mechanisms fundamental to implicit imitation and tactical empathy (Rizzolatti & Sinigaglia, 2010). Functional alignment within and between these networks across teammates may constitute the neurobiological substrate of collective flow states, characterized by seamless coordination and reduced internal friction (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990; Gaggioli et al., 2013).

Importantly, emerging evidence suggests that such synchronization is not merely a byproduct of repeated interaction but can be modulated and potentially trained. Neurofeedback interventions targeting specific frequency bands, such as alpha and sensorimotor rhythm (SMR), have demonstrated improvements in attentional stability and performance under pressure (Gruzelier, 2014). Additionally, vagally mediated interventions, including transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS), have been shown to enhance parasympathetic tone and modulate limbic reactivity (Bretherton et al., 2019), potentially creating physiological conditions conducive to interpersonal alignment. Photobiomodulation targeting frontal regions has also been associated with enhanced cognitive processing and network efficiency (Hamblin, 2016). Together, these approaches provide a plausible foundation for structured protocols aimed at enhancing collective neurophysiological coherence.

Despite the growing body of evidence linking interpersonal synchronization to cooperative success, few studies have translated these findings into applied, ecologically valid interventions within elite team sports. Traditional constructs such as “team chemistry” or “cohesion” have largely relied on subjective assessments or behavioral observation. While valuable, these approaches lack direct neurophysiological quantification. The present framework proposes that collective performance advantages can be understood as emergent properties of measurable neural and autonomic coherence between players.

Therefore, the scientific rationale underlying SYNERGYFIELD is grounded in three converging lines of evidence: first, that inter-brain EEG synchronization predicts cooperative efficiency; second, that HRV coupling reflects shared regulatory and emotional alignment; and third, that neural networks implicated in social cognition and motor coordination can be modulated through targeted interventions. By integrating hyperscanning EEG, synchronized HRV assessment, and multimodal neuroregulatory techniques, the protocol seeks to operationalize and train what has historically been described metaphorically as team “chemistry.”

In this perspective, elite team performance is reframed not solely as a tactical construct but as a dynamic neurobiological process. When teammates exhibit aligned oscillatory activity in prefrontal and parietal regions, synchronized autonomic rhythms, and coherent engagement of socio-emotional networks, the team may function as an integrated adaptive system. Such coherence may reduce decision latency, minimize internal conflict, and enhance fluid transitions between offensive and defensive phases. Consequently, understanding and cultivating neurophysiological synchronization represents a promising frontier in performance optimization for high-level team sport.

2. Objectives

The SYNERGYFIELD study is designed to systematically evaluate and train neurophysiological synchronization between teammates operating within the same tactical line, with the overarching aim of optimizing collective performance under competitive conditions. Moving beyond abstract constructs such as cohesion or interpersonal chemistry, the study conceptualizes team alignment as a measurable and modifiable function of neural and autonomic coupling. The central premise is that synchronized cortical oscillations and aligned autonomic rhythms constitute a functional substrate for rapid shared decision-making, nonverbal coordination, and adaptive collective responses under pressure.

The general objective of the study is to validate the efficacy of an integrated clinical protocol, comprising hyperscanning EEG, synchronized HRV monitoring, dual neurofeedback, transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS), heart–brain coherence training, photobiomodulation (PBM), and synchronized auditory stimulation, in increasing inter-brain coherence and autonomic alignment between teammates. The protocol further seeks to determine whether such physiological synchronization translates into measurable improvements in tactical positioning, shared decision-making accuracy, and externally evaluated team coordination.

Specific objectives are as follows:

1. To measure and enhance inter-brain EEG synchronization between teammates during ecologically valid tactical tasks using interactive hyperscanning paradigms, with particular focus on frontal (BA10), anterior cingulate (BA24/32), and parietal (BA7) regions implicated in social cognition and visuospatial coordination.

2. To quantify and increase interpersonal HRV coherence between paired athletes, assessing whether improved vagally mediated autonomic alignment correlates with reduced impulsive errors, improved stress regulation, and greater affective stability under simulated competitive pressure.

3. To train conscious modulation of shared neural states through synchronized dual neurofeedback targeting alpha and sensorimotor rhythm (SMR) bands, thereby facilitating rapid convergence toward a common attentional and decision-making profile.

4. To stimulate synchronous limbic–prefrontal regulation through paired tVNS combined with HRV biofeedback, examining whether parallel vagal activation enhances emotional co-regulation and reduces inter-individual variability in stress reactivity.

5. To evaluate changes in perceived and externally observed team cohesion using validated psychometric instruments and structured behavioral ratings, and to determine whether physiological synchronization indices predict improvements in nonverbal shared decision-making.

6. To develop and validate a scalable clinical protocol for relational performance training that can be integrated into elite academies, professional teams, and high-intensity competitive camps, enabling systematic neurophysiological alignment within tactical units.

Through these objectives, the study aims to establish collective neural and autonomic coherence as a foundational, trainable component of elite team performance.

3. Study Design

The SYNERGYFIELD project was conceived as a multicenter, randomized, longitudinal, controlled experimental study aimed at evaluating and optimizing neural and autonomic coherence between athletes operating within the same tactical line. The design reflects the translational ambition of the protocol: to move from laboratory-based findings on interpersonal synchronization toward an ecologically valid intervention applicable in elite team sports. The study is structured to determine whether structured neurophysiological co-training can produce measurable changes in inter-brain coherence, autonomic alignment, and observable collective performance.

The trial followed a two-arm randomized design, with participants allocated either to an experimental intervention group or to an active control group. The total duration of the protocol was three weeks and included six clinical sessions (two per week), alongside pre- and post-intervention assessments (T0 and T1). Twenty-four athletes (forming 12 established tactical pairs) were enrolled. Six pairs were assigned to the experimental condition and six to the control condition. The pairing format was deliberate and methodologically central, as the unit of analysis was not the individual athlete but the relational dyad. This structure allowed for direct quantification of shared neural and autonomic dynamics rather than isolated intrapersonal changes. The protocol was designed for applicability across multiple team sports characterized by high interdependence within tactical lines. These included football (e.g., midfield or defensive units), handball (central axis or pivot-inter combinations), and basketball (rotational defensive or offensive blocks). The guiding assumption was that within-line synchronization is particularly critical for rapid collective adaptation and positional coherence.

Participant selection followed strict inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure ecological validity and relational relevance. Eligible athletes were required to be active roster members with starter or strategic rotation status and to have at least six months of shared competitive play within the same tactical line. This ensured that baseline interaction patterns were already established, allowing the protocol to build upon existing relational dynamics rather than artificially constructed pairings. Athletes with neurological or psychiatric disorders, recent injuries affecting coordination, acute interpersonal conflict within the pair, or use of neuroactive substances were excluded. The objective was to study functional dyads operating under realistic competitive conditions, free from confounding neuropsychological variables.

In the experimental group, each dyad completed six 70-minute sessions across three weeks. Each session followed a structured but dynamically calibrated sequence, with adjustments based on physiological data from prior sessions. The intervention components and their clinical rationale are summarized below.

Table 1. Structure of a Single Experimental Session (Approx. 70 Minutes)

Component	Duration	Clinical Purpose
Hyperscanning EEG	20 minutes	Assessment and training of inter-brain synchronization in BA10–BA7 regions
Synchronized Neurofeedback	20 minutes	Training shared Alpha–SMR network stability
Bilateral tVNS (Simultaneous)	10 minutes	Parallel vagal activation for synchronized emotional regulation
Paired HRV Biofeedback	10 minutes	Heart–brain coherence alignment between teammates
Mirror Binaural Audio Therapy	5 minutes	Stabilization of shared cortical rhythms
Photobiomodulation (BA10/BA7)	5 minutes	Synaptic support of relational neural networks

The intervention sequence was designed to progressively align cortical oscillations, autonomic rhythms, and affective states. Hyperscanning EEG provided real-time data on frontal and parietal synchronization during tactical simulations. Dual neurofeedback targeted alpha and SMR frequency bands associated with attentional stability and efficient motor readiness (Gruzelier, 2014). Paired tVNS was used to induce simultaneous parasympathetic activation, reducing limbic hyperreactivity and promoting calm co-regulation (Bretherton et al., 2019). HRV biofeedback exercises encouraged synchronized respiratory and cardiac rhythms, reinforcing interpersonal autonomic coupling (McCraty & Tomasino, 2006). Binaural auditory entrainment aimed to stabilize oscillatory convergence, and photobiomodulation targeting BA10 and BA7 was included to support metabolic and synaptic efficiency in networks implicated in anticipation and spatial integration (Hamblin, 2016).

The control group participated in tactical simulations and synchronization exercises identical in behavioral structure but received no physiological feedback, neuromodulation, or vagal stimulation. Pre- and post-intervention assessments were conducted identically in both groups, allowing attribution of changes specifically to the neurophysiological intervention.

Outcome measures were collected at T0 and T1 and included dual hyperscanning EEG indices (frontal and parietal coherence, shared spectral power), paired HRV metrics (RMSSD, SDNN, and interpersonal coherence), psychometric assessments using the Group Environment Questionnaire (GEQ), and structured behavioral ratings from coaching staff evaluating nonverbal decision-making synchronization.

To synthesize multimodal data, a composite Synergy Index was developed. This index integrated EEG synchronization scores, HRV coherence values, neurofeedback alignment ratios, and behavioral synchronization ratings, providing a multidimensional measure of relational coherence within tactical dyads.

From a clinical positioning perspective, SYNERGYFIELD is conceptualized as a standardized protocol for relational optimization in elite sport. Its design allows rapid integration into preseason camps, transfer integration phases, and tactical recalibration periods. By focusing on the dyad as the primary unit of analysis and intervention, the model reframes team development from a purely tactical construct to a neurofunctional network process. In this framework, the coherent team is not merely strategically organized but neurophysiologically aligned.

4. Measurements and Assessment Instruments

The SYNERGYFIELD protocol integrates a multimodal evaluation framework designed to capture neural, autonomic, behavioral, and perceived dimensions of interpersonal synchronization within tactical dyads. Assessments were conducted at baseline (T0) and after completion of the three-week intervention (T1), allowing for both within-group and between-group comparisons. The evaluation strategy was structured to ensure that physiological changes could be directly related to functional and perceptual indicators of collective performance.

Hyperscanning EEG (Dual Synchronized Recording)

Inter-brain neural synchronization was assessed using portable 19-channel EEG systems configured for simultaneous dual acquisition. Real-time analytical software quantified inter-brain coherence and shared spectral power across predefined cortical regions. Primary variables included frontal coherence (BA10), anterior cingulate synchronization (BA24/32), and parietal alpha–SMR coupling (BA7). Additional parameters included inter-player variability indices and co-activation patterns during simulated tactical tasks (e.g., coordinated rotation or passing sequences). These metrics were selected based on prior hyperscanning research demonstrating that inter-brain coherence predicts cooperative efficiency and joint action stability (Lindenberger et al., 2009; Konvalinka et al., 2014; Dikker et al., 2017).

Paired HRV Synchronizatio

Autonomic alignment was measured using dual HRV systems capable of real-time synchronized recording. Time-domain indices (RMSSD, SDNN) and frequency-domain metrics (LF/HF ratio) were calculated for each athlete, followed by computation of interpersonal HRV coherence. Particular emphasis was placed on vagally mediated coherence during both guided breathing exercises and simulated high-pressure tactical scenarios. The aim was to quantify whether teammates exhibited convergent autonomic rhythms indicative of shared emotional regulation and adaptive stress response (Thayer & Lane, 2000; Palumbo et al., 2017).

Neurofeedback Synchronization Metrics

Dual neurofeedback sessions generated session-by-session logs documenting convergence in alpha and SMR frequency bands. Key indicators included time to synchronization (minutes required for both athletes to reach stable SMR alignment), stability of synchronized states during the final five minutes of each session, and inter-player correlation of amplitude increases. These measures allowed evaluation not only of individual self-regulation capacity but of the speed and reliability with which two brains could align within a shared oscillatory profile.

Composite Synergy Index

To integrate multimodal findings, a composite Synergy Index was constructed. This index combined:

- EEG Synchronization Index (0–1 scale),
- HRV Coherence Pair Score (0–1 scale),
- Neurofeedback Alignment Ratio (percentage of synchronized training time),
- Behavioral Synchronization Ratings (coach evaluation).

The Synergy Index provided a single relational performance metric representing collective neural and autonomic coherence rather than isolated individual gains.

Psychological and Behavioral Measures

Perceived cohesion was assessed using the Group Environment Questionnaire (GEQ), capturing task and social cohesion dimensions. Behavioral synchronization was evaluated through structured coach ratings focused on nonverbal shared decision-making, positional fluidity, and reaction latency during simulated tactical tasks. Short qualitative interviews were also conducted to assess athletes' perceived relational connectivity and functional alignment. Together, these instruments allowed the protocol to triangulate objective physiological synchronization with subjective cohesion and externally observable tactical coherence. The measurement system was intentionally designed to move beyond abstract notions of "team chemistry" and provide quantifiable indicators of relational neurophysiological integration.

5. Results

The results of the SYNERGYFIELD protocol demonstrate consistent and convergent improvements across neural, autonomic, behavioral, and perceptual domains in the experimental group compared with the control group. By integrating the summary of key variables, their clinical interpretation, and their practical implications, the findings support the central hypothesis that inter-brain and inter-autonomic synchronization can be deliberately enhanced and that such enhancement translates into measurable collective performance advantages.

Table 2. Key Outcome Variables: Experimental vs. Control Group (Post-Intervention, T1)

Parameter	Experimental Group (Mean ± SD)	Control Group (Mean ± SD)
EEG Synchronization Index (0–1)	0.84 ± 0.05	0.52 ± 0.07
HRV Coherence (Pair)	0.46 ± 0.04	0.22 ± 0.03
Δ SMR Synchronization (μV²)	+1.6 ± 0.3	+0.4 ± 0.2
Time to SMR Synchronization (minutes)	4.2 ± 0.6	8.1 ± 1.0
GEQ Cohesion Change (points)	+7.4 ± 1.2	+2.1 ± 1.0
Coach Synchronization Score (1–10)	8.6 ± 0.5	5.3 ± 0.6

The most striking difference emerged in the EEG Synchronization Index, which reached 0.84 in the experimental dyads compared to 0.52 in controls. This magnitude of inter-brain coherence suggests robust frontal and parietal alignment, particularly within BA10 and BA7 regions associated with social prediction and spatial integration. Prior hyperscanning studies have demonstrated that higher inter-brain coherence predicts improved joint task performance and coordination efficiency (Lindenberger et al., 2009; Konvalinka et al., 2014). The present findings extend this evidence to an applied sport context, indicating that structured co-training can significantly amplify neural coupling beyond that achieved through routine tactical interaction alone.

Functionally, such synchronization likely reduces cognitive latency during shared decision-making and enhances anticipatory processing, consistent with research linking frontal alpha coherence to cooperative efficiency (Dikker et al., 2017).

Autonomic results paralleled neural findings. HRV coherence within the experimental pairs increased to 0.46, while the control group remained at 0.22. Given that HRV coherence reflects vagally mediated regulatory alignment, this suggests enhanced parasympathetic synchronization between teammates. The neurovisceral integration model posits that vagal tone supports adaptive cognitive-emotional flexibility (Thayer & Lane, 2000). Interpersonal HRV synchronization has been associated with trust, emotional attunement, and cooperative stability (Palumbo et al., 2017). In high-pressure tactical scenarios, such alignment may buffer against emotional fragmentation and impulsive reactions, allowing dyads to maintain coherent responses during rapid transitions or defensive breakdowns. From a strategic standpoint, this means that physiological regulation becomes a shared property rather than an individual trait.

Changes in SMR synchronization further illustrate the efficiency of neural convergence. The experimental group exhibited a mean increase of +1.6 μV^2 in shared SMR activation, compared to only +0.4 μV^2 in controls. Moreover, time to synchronization was nearly halved (4.2 minutes vs. 8.1 minutes). Sensorimotor rhythm (12–15 Hz) has been associated with motor readiness, inhibitory control, and stable attentional focus (Gruzelier, 2014). Rapid convergence in SMR suggests that dyads trained under SYNERGYFIELD entered a shared optimal performance state more efficiently. In competitive contexts, the ability to align within the first minutes of play may confer decisive tactical advantages, as early-game instability is often associated with unforced errors and positional disorganization.

Subjective and behavioral measures mirrored physiological changes. The experimental group demonstrated a +7.4-point increase in GEQ cohesion scores, compared to +2.1 in the control group. This alignment between objective neural/autonomic indices and subjective cohesion suggests that athletes not only exhibited measurable synchronization but also experienced enhanced relational integration. Such convergence supports prior evidence that inter-brain synchrony correlates with perceived rapport and social connectedness (Czeszumski et al., 2020). Importantly, improvements were not confined to self-report. Coaches rated synchronization significantly higher in the intervention group (8.6/10) relative to controls (5.3/10). These ratings reflected observable improvements in nonverbal coordination, reduced hesitation in shared decisions, and smoother positional transitions.

Taken together, the results indicate that collective performance can be reframed as an emergent property of measurable neurophysiological alignment. Neural coherence, autonomic coupling, and behavioral fluency appeared interdependent. Dyads with higher EEG synchronization also demonstrated greater HRV coherence and faster SMR alignment, suggesting cross-system integration rather than isolated adaptations. This aligns with models of large-scale network coordination, in which frontal, parietal, and limbic systems dynamically interact to support adaptive collective action (Buckner et al., 2008; Seeley et al., 2007).

From a practical perspective, these findings have direct implications for elite sport strategy. First, neural and autonomic compatibility may become measurable selection variables in forming tactical lines. Second, rapid integration of new transfers or mixed squads could be facilitated through short-term relational neurotraining. Third, pre-competition synchronization sessions may stabilize collective states before high-stakes matches. Rather than relying exclusively on repeated tactical rehearsal or psychological team-building, clubs may incorporate physiological coherence training as a complementary layer of performance optimization.

Importantly, the control group’s modest changes underscore that conventional tactical interaction alone does not reliably produce high-level physiological synchronization. Although minor improvements may occur through shared practice exposure, they appear limited in magnitude and stability without targeted intervention.

The experimental data therefore suggest that collective coherence is not merely an emergent byproduct of time spent together but a trainable neurobiological construct.

The results provide converging evidence that structured multimodal intervention can significantly enhance inter-brain EEG coherence, interpersonal HRV alignment, rapid SMR convergence, perceived cohesion, and externally observable synchronization. These changes collectively support the notion that elite team performance may be optimized by deliberately cultivating neural and autonomic coherence within tactical dyads.

6. Conclusions and Strategic Implications

The findings of the SYNERGYFIELD study support a fundamental reconceptualization of collective performance in elite team sports. Rather than treating cohesion, tactical chemistry, or relational fluency as abstract psychological constructs, the present data indicate that these phenomena correspond to measurable and trainable patterns of neural and autonomic synchronization between teammates. Inter-brain EEG coherence, interpersonal HRV alignment, rapid SMR convergence, and improvements in perceived and externally observed cohesion collectively demonstrate that relational performance can be operationalized at a neurophysiological level.

From a practical standpoint, the implications for elite clubs are substantial. First, the evidence suggests that synchronization within tactical lines is not merely a function of time spent training together, but can be deliberately accelerated and stabilized through targeted multimodal intervention. Dyads exposed to the SYNERGYFIELD protocol achieved significantly higher EEG coherence and HRV alignment than controls, indicating that neural and autonomic integration can be systematically cultivated within a relatively short time frame. For clubs managing high player turnover, mid-season transfers, or mixed national squads, this offers a structured pathway for rapid relational alignment.

Second, the demonstrated increase in HRV coherence implies that emotional regulation within tactical pairs becomes a shared process rather than an individual burden. In high-pressure competitive environments, emotional fragmentation can undermine coordinated decision-making. The observed vagal alignment suggests that teammates trained under the protocol remain physiologically regulated together, potentially reducing impulsive errors and maintaining collective stability during critical phases of play. This aligns with neurovisceral integration theory, which links vagal tone to adaptive executive functioning (Thayer & Lane, 2000), and extends it to the interpersonal domain.

Third, the reduction in time required to achieve SMR synchronization highlights a performance-relevant advantage. Entering a shared optimal attentional state within minutes may translate into early-game coherence, improved reaction speed, and more fluid positional exchanges. In elite competitions, where marginal gains often determine outcomes, even subtle improvements in collective timing and anticipatory alignment can shift competitive balance.

Strategically, the protocol introduces the possibility of incorporating neurophysiological compatibility into scouting, tactical pairing, and lineup optimization. While technical skill, physical attributes, and tactical understanding remain indispensable, the addition of neural and autonomic coherence metrics provides a novel relational dimension. Tactical lines could be optimized not only by role complementarity but also by measurable synchronization potential.

At a broader conceptual level, the study advances a shift from viewing the team as a collection of coordinated individuals to understanding it as a distributed neurofunctional network. When frontal regions associated with social prediction, anterior cingulate structures involved in conflict monitoring, and parietal systems supporting spatial integration oscillate in synchrony across teammates, collective action becomes more fluid and less cognitively costly. The team, in this sense, operates as an integrated adaptive system rather than a set of parallel processors.

The general conclusion of the SYNERGYFIELD study is that neural and autonomic coherence between teammates is measurable, modifiable, and functionally relevant to collective performance. The intervention demonstrates that synchronized EEG and HRV patterns are not incidental byproducts of shared experience, but trainable states that can be enhanced through structured multimodal protocols. Improvements in physiological alignment were paralleled by increases in perceived cohesion and observable synchronization, reinforcing the ecological validity of the findings.

For elite clubs, this implies that relational performance can be engineered with scientific precision. Training no longer targets only strength, speed, or tactical execution; it can extend to the alignment of cortical rhythms and autonomic regulation between players. In doing so, teams may cultivate a stable collective state characterized by shared anticipation, emotional equilibrium, and rapid joint decision-making.

Ultimately, the study suggests that the future of team performance optimization lies not solely in refining individual capacities, but in cultivating coherent inter-individual networks. When teammates are neurally and autonomically aligned, collective play becomes more consistent, adaptive, and resilient under pressure. SYNERGYFIELD therefore proposes a paradigm in which the decisive advantage is not merely faster players or more complex tactics, but teams that function with synchronized minds and coordinated physiological rhythms.

References

- Bretherton, B., Atkinson, L., Murray, A., Clancy, J., Deuchars, S. A., & Deuchars, J. (2019). Effects of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation in individuals aged 55 years or above: Potential benefits of daily stimulation. *Aging, 11*(14), 4836–4857. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.102074
- Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). The brain's default network: Anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1124*(1), 1–38. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1440.011
- Burgess, P. W., Dumontheil, I., & Gilbert, S. J. (2007). The gateway hypothesis of rostral prefrontal cortex (area 10) function. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11*(7), 290–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2007.05.004
- Bush, G., Luu, P., & Posner, M. I. (2000). Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 4*(6), 215–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01483-2
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.
- Czeszumski, A., Eustergerling, S., Lang, A., Menrath, D., Gerstenberger, M., Schuberth, S., … König, P. (2020). Hyperscanning: A valid method to study neural inter-brain underpinnings of social interaction. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 14*, 39. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2020.00039
- Dikker, S., Wan, L., Davidesco, I., Kaggen, L., Oostrik, M., McClintock, J., … Poeppel, D. (2017). Brain-to-brain synchrony tracks real-world dynamic group interactions in the classroom. *Current Biology, 27*(9), 1375–1380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.002
- Gaggioli, A., Chirico, A., Triberti, S., & Riva, G. (2013). Networked flow: Toward an understanding of collective flow. *Interacting with Computers, 25*(3), 203–210. https://doi.org/10.1093/iwc/iwt003
- Gruzelier, J. H. (2014). EEG-neurofeedback for optimising performance. I: A review of cognitive and affective outcome in healthy participants. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 44*, 124–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.09.015
- Hamblin, M. R. (2016). Shining light on the head: Photobiomodulation for brain disorders. *BBA Clinical, 6*, 113–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbacli.2016.09.002

- Konvalinka, I., Bauer, M., Stahlhut, C., Hansen, L. K., Roepstorff, A., & Frith, C. D. (2014). Frontal alpha oscillations distinguish leaders from followers: Multivariate decoding of mutually interacting brains. *NeuroImage, 94*, 79–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.03.003
- Lindenberger, U., Li, S.-C., Gruber, W., & Müller, V. (2009). Brains swinging in concert: Cortical phase synchronization while playing guitar. *BMC Neuroscience, 10*, 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-10-22
- McCraty, R., & Tomasino, D. (2006). Emotional stress, positive emotions, and psychophysiological coherence. In B. B. Arnetz & R. Ekman (Eds.), *Stress in health and disease* (pp. 342–365). Wiley-VCH.
- Palumbo, R. V., Marraccini, M. E., Weyandt, L. L., Wilder-Smith, O., McGee, H. A., Liu, S., & Goodwin, M. S. (2017). Interpersonal autonomic physiology: A systematic review of the literature. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 21*(2), 99–141. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868316628405
- Rizzolatti, G., & Sinigaglia, C. (2010). The functional role of the parieto-frontal mirror circuit: Interpretations and misunderstandings. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 11*(4), 264–274. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2805
- Seeley, W. W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A. F., Keller, J., Glover, G. H., Kenna, H., … Greicius, M. D. (2007). Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. *Journal of Neuroscience, 27*(9), 2349–2356. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5587-06.2007
- Thayer, J. F., & Lane, R. D. (2000). A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation and dysregulation. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 61*(3), 201–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327(00)00338-4