BRAINCAPTAINS: The Neurophysiological Architecture of Leadership in Elite Team Sports and Its Modulation Through Targeted Neurotechnology

Alina ROBU, Alina-Diana NEMES

Abstract

Leadership in elite team sports has traditionally been conceptualized as a psychological or personality-based construct. The present exploratory clinical study proposes an alternative framework, conceptualizing leadership as a measurable and trainable neurophysiological configuration. The BRAINCAPTAINS project investigated whether formally recognized team leaders exhibit a distinct profile of prefrontal cortical efficiency, autonomic regulation, and collective synchronization compared to non-leader athletes. Forty elite players (20 leaders and 20 non-leaders) underwent quantitative EEG assessment focused on Brodmann areas 10 and 46, comprehensive heart rate variability (HRV) analysis, heart–brain coherence measurement, and validated leadership evaluations. Results indicated that leaders demonstrated significantly higher sensorimotor rhythm (SMR) activity, lower high-beta activation, elevated vagal tone (RMSSD), and greater heart–brain coherence. Furthermore, leaders showed increased interindividual EEG coherence and HRV synchronization within the team context, suggesting enhanced capacity for collective neurophysiological alignment. Leadership scores from both self-report and staff evaluation correlated with these neural and autonomic markers. A structured two-week multimodal intervention protocol—including EEG neurofeedback, transcutaneous vagal nerve stimulation, heart–brain coherence training, binaural stimulation, and photobiomodulation—was implemented to examine the modifiability of this profile. Findings support the hypothesis that effective leadership in elite sport reflects an integrated cortical–autonomic regulatory architecture that can be objectively measured and potentially optimized. These results provide a foundation for neurophysiologically informed talent identification and leadership development strategies in high-performance sport.

Keywords: *Neurofeedback; Heart rate variability; Executive function; Sports leadership; Inter-brain coherence; Vagal tone; Elite performance.*

Introduction

In elite team sports, performance is rarely determined solely by tactical preparation or physical conditioning. Critical competitive moments—particularly those characterized by time pressure, emotional intensity, and uncertainty—often hinge on the decisions and regulatory capacity of a single player. This individual, typically recognized as the on-field leader, exerts a disproportionate influence on collective stability, strategic coherence, and emotional regulation within the team. While leadership in sport has traditionally been conceptualized in terms of personality traits, experience, or charisma, emerging evidence from neuroscience suggests that effective leadership is underpinned by identifiable and measurable neurophysiological mechanisms.

Contemporary models of performance under pressure emphasize the role of executive control, emotional inhibition, and adaptive decision-making as core determinants of success in high-stakes environments (Arnsten, 2009; Diamond, 2013). These functions are largely mediated by prefrontal cortical systems, particularly the frontopolar cortex (Brodmann area 10, BA10) and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex

(BA46). BA10 has been implicated in metacognition, prospective reasoning, and the integration of social and contextual information into decision-making processes (Burgess et al., 2007). BA46 plays a central role in executive control, cognitive inhibition, and the regulation of goal-directed behavior under stress (Miller & Cohen, 2001). Together, these regions support the capacity to maintain strategic clarity while inhibiting impulsive responses—capacities that are indispensable in competitive sport.

Electroencephalographic (EEG) research further supports the existence of functional neural signatures associated with optimal cognitive performance and self-regulation. Elevated sensorimotor rhythm (SMR; 12–15 Hz) and stable alpha activity have been linked to enhanced attentional control, emotional stability, and resistance to stress-induced cognitive disruption (Gruzelier, 2014; Ros et al., 2010). In contrast, excessive high-beta activity (22–30 Hz) is frequently associated with hyperarousal, cognitive rigidity, and anxiety-related overactivation (Putman, 2011). Effective leaders, particularly in dynamic and unpredictable environments, are hypothesized to display patterns of cortical efficiency characterized by increased SMR and alpha coherence alongside moderated high-beta activation.

Beyond cortical dynamics, leadership effectiveness appears closely tied to autonomic regulation. Heart rate variability (HRV), especially indices such as RMSSD and SDNN, reflects vagal tone and the adaptive flexibility of the autonomic nervous system. Higher HRV has consistently been associated with improved emotional regulation, stress resilience, and social engagement (Thayer & Lane, 2000; Shaffer & Ginsberg, 2017). The neurovisceral integration model posits a functional linkage between prefrontal regulatory circuits and cardiac vagal control, suggesting that individuals with greater prefrontal–autonomic integration exhibit superior self-regulatory capacity (Thayer et al., 2012). In leadership contexts, elevated vagal tone may facilitate not only internal stability but also positive interpersonal influence, supporting group cohesion and emotional containment.

Recent developments in social and group neuroscience further extend this perspective by demonstrating that neural and physiological synchronization among individuals predicts collective performance. Inter-brain EEG coherence has been shown to increase during coordinated action and shared intentionality, correlating with improved group outcomes (Konvalinka et al., 2014; Davidesco et al., 2022). Similarly, interpersonal HRV synchronization has been linked to affective resonance and cooperative efficiency (McCraty & Shaffer, 2015). These findings suggest that leadership may involve more than individual regulatory competence; it may entail the capacity to stabilize and synchronize the neurophysiological dynamics of the group.

Organizational neuroscience has already begun to document associations between leadership effectiveness and specific neural activation patterns. Functional imaging studies indicate that effective leaders demonstrate increased activation in medial and dorsolateral prefrontal regions during tasks requiring social judgment, ethical decision-making, and emotional regulation (Boyatzis et al., 2013; Waldman et al., 2011). Importantly, these neural characteristics are not static traits but modifiable patterns influenced by training and experience, raising the possibility that leadership-related neural configurations may be enhanced through targeted interventions.

Despite these advances, few empirical investigations have systematically examined leadership in elite sport through an integrated neurophysiological lens that combines EEG dynamics, autonomic regulation, heart–brain coherence, and collective synchronization measures. Moreover, the question of whether these mechanisms can be deliberately trained using neurotechnological interventions remains insufficiently explored in applied performance settings.

The BRAINCAPTAINS study addresses this gap by proposing that on-field leadership represents a distinct and measurable neurophysiological architecture characterized by prefrontal cortical efficiency, elevated vagal tone, and the capacity to induce collective neural and autonomic coherence. By integrating cross-sectional assessment with a structured neurotechnological intervention protocol, the study aims not only to identify the neural and autonomic signature of effective sports leaders but also to examine the extent to which this profile can be optimized through targeted clinical training.

In an era in which elite sport increasingly relies on data-driven strategies and marginal performance gains, the objective measurement and modulation of leadership-related neurophysiological processes represent a critical frontier. If leadership can indeed be conceptualized as a trainable configuration of brain–heart dynamics rather than a fixed personality attribute, this reconceptualization carries profound implications for talent identification, athlete development, and strategic team management in high-performance environments.

2. Objectives

The BRAINCAPTAINS study is designed as an exploratory clinical investigation aimed at identifying, characterizing, and validating a distinct neurophysiological profile associated with effective on-field leadership in elite team sports. The central premise guiding this research is that leadership in high-performance sport is not merely a dispositional trait or a socially constructed role, but rather a functional configuration of neural and autonomic processes that can be objectively measured and potentially optimized.

General Objective

The primary objective of the study is to examine the relationships between prefrontal EEG coherence (with emphasis on Brodmann areas 10 and 46), heart rate variability (HRV), perceived and externally evaluated leadership scores, and collective team performance indicators. By integrating cortical, autonomic, and behavioral measures, the study seeks to define a reproducible brain–heart architecture associated with strategic clarity, emotional regulation under pressure, and effective decision-making influence within the team.

Specifically, the study aims to determine whether leaders formally recognized within elite teams display a differentiated pattern of: (1) enhanced executive cortical stability, (2) increased vagal tone and autonomic flexibility, and (3) greater capacity to generate interindividual synchronization within the group. Furthermore, it evaluates whether these neurophysiological characteristics correlate with both self-perceived and staff-observed leadership competence.

Specific Objectives

First, the study seeks to identify the EEG signature of effective sports leaders. This includes assessing spectral power and coherence patterns in BA10 (frontopolar cortex), implicated in metacognitive and contextual decision-making processes, and BA46 (dorsolateral prefrontal cortex), central to executive control and cognitive inhibition. Particular attention is given to increased SMR and alpha activity, reduced high-beta activation during simulated stress conditions, and elevated intrahemispheric frontal coherence. The objective is to delineate a cortical efficiency profile predictive of stable performance under competitive pressure.

Second, the study aims to quantify autonomic regulation through comprehensive HRV analysis. Parameters such as RMSSD, SDNN, and LF/HF ratio are used to evaluate vagal tone and sympathovagal balance. An additional focus is placed on heart–brain coherence indices to determine the degree of synchronization between cardiac rhythms and prefrontal cortical activity. This objective is grounded in the neurovisceral integration framework, which posits that higher vagal tone supports superior emotional and executive regulation.

Third, the research investigates the correlation between neurophysiological markers and validated leadership assessments. Leadership Scale for Sports (LSS) scores, combined with structured staff evaluations of coordination, decisional stability, and emotional influence under pressure, are analyzed in relation to EEG and HRV data. This objective ensures that identified neural and autonomic patterns are not only biologically distinct but also behaviorally and socially meaningful within the team context.

Fourth, the study evaluates the broader impact of leader neurophysiology on collective dynamics. Measures of interindividual EEG coherence and HRV synchronization during simulated team tasks are employed to test the hypothesis that leaders with higher internal coherence facilitate greater neurophysiological alignment within the group.

Finally, the study examines the feasibility and preliminary efficacy of a targeted neurotechnological intervention protocol designed to enhance leadership-related neural and autonomic parameters. By reassessing EEG, HRV, and leadership scores following the intervention phase, the study explores the trainability of the identified leadership architecture.

Collectively, these objectives aim to establish a clinically grounded, multidimensional framework for identifying and developing neurophysiologically coherent leaders in elite sport.

3. Study Design

The BRAINCAPTAINS study was conceptualized as an exploratory clinical investigation with a mixed design, integrating a cross-sectional comparative phase and a structured interventional component. The study is grounded in the hypothesis that team performance is strongly influenced by the neurophysiological stability of its leaders, and that this stability can be objectively measured and clinically optimized. The research follows a mixed design comprising:

- **Phase I – Cross-sectional observational analysis**: identification and comparison of neurophysiological and leadership profiles between formal team leaders and non-leader athletes.
- **Phase II – Targeted clinical intervention**: application of a multimodal neurotechnological protocol to selected leaders, followed by post-intervention reassessment.

This dual structure allows the study to address two core questions:

1. Do recognized team leaders exhibit a distinct EEG–HRV profile compared to non-leaders?
2. Can this profile be enhanced through structured neurophysiological training?

Previous findings from organizational neuroscience and performance psychology indicate that leadership-related neural and autonomic traits are associated with prefrontal regulation and vagal tone (Boyatzis et al., 2013; Waldman et al., 2011; Thayer et al., 2012). However, their application in elite sport remains insufficiently investigated. The present design enables both validation and modulation of these characteristics.

A total of **40 elite athletes** were recruited from first-division professional teams in collective sports (football, basketball, handball, rugby). The sample was divided into:

- **20 athletes with formal leadership roles** (team captains, playmakers, coordinators)
- **20 athletes without formal leadership roles**

Additionally, **3–5 coaching staff members** per team contributed external leadership evaluations.

Inclusion criteria:

- Age between 18 and 35 years
- Active participation in official competition within the last three months
- Regular involvement in decision-making phases during matches
- No diagnosed neurological, psychiatric, or cardiac disorders
- Written informed consent and full participation in all study stages

Exclusion criteria:

- Use of psychotropic or neuroactive medication
- Refusal to undergo the intervention phase
- Inconsistency between formal leadership role and validated leadership scores

The procedural flow of the study is summarized in Table 1. This structure ensures internal consistency, enabling pre–post comparison while maintaining methodological clarity between observational and interventional components.

Table 1. General Structure of the BRAINCAPTAINS Study

Stage	Activity	Duration
1	Neurophysiological assessment: EEG (BA10, BA46), HRV, heart–brain coherence	1 session
2	Psychological assessment: Leadership questionnaires (LSS), staff evaluations	1 session
3	Correlation analysis and classification (leaders vs. non-leaders)	Data analysis phase
4	Clinical intervention (selected leaders): integrated EEG–HRV–tVNS–PBM–audio protocol	6 sessions over 2 weeks
5	Post-intervention reassessment: EEG, HRV, leadership scores, team influence metrics	1 session

The intervention protocol was delivered over **two weeks**, comprising **six sessions of 60 minutes each**. Each session integrated five therapeutic components designed to target executive cortical regions and autonomic regulation.

Table 2. Structure of Each Intervention Session

Component	Duration	Clinical Objective
EEG Neurofeedback	20 min	Regulation of BA10/BA46 activity; increase SMR; reduce high-beta activation
Transcutaneous Vagal Nerve Stimulation (tVNS)	10 min	Enhancement of parasympathetic tone; emotional stabilization
Heart–Brain Coherence Biofeedback	15 min	Synchronization of cardiac rhythms and prefrontal activity
Binaural Audio Therapy (Alpha–SMR)	10 min	Frontal rhythmic stabilization; calm alertness
Cerebral Photobiomodulation (PBM)	5 min	Prefrontal metabolic support and neurovascular optimization

The multimodal design reflects evidence that executive control, emotional regulation, and autonomic balance are interdependent systems rather than isolated mechanisms (Thayer & Lane, 2000).

Assessment Instruments

Neurophysiological and psychometric tools included:

- **19-channel quantitative EEG (qEEG)**
    - Spectral power (Alpha, SMR, High Beta)
    - Frontal intrahemispheric coherence
    - Task-related instability indices
- **Comprehensive HRV analysis**
    - RMSSD, SDNN
    - LF/HF ratio
    - Stress reactivity during simulated decision tasks
- **Heart–Brain Coherence Index**
    - Synchronization between HRV rhythms and frontal EEG activity
- **Leadership assessments**
    - Leadership Scale for Sports (LSS) – self-report
    - Structured staff evaluation of tactical clarity, emotional stability, and influence
- **Team dynamics metrics**
    - Interindividual EEG coherence during paired simulations
    - HRV synchronization between leader and teammates

By combining cross-sectional profiling with interventional testing, the BRAINCAPTAINS study establishes a framework for clinically grounded leadership identification and development in elite sport.

4. Structure of the Intervention

The BRAINCAPTAINS intervention protocol was designed as a structured, multimodal neurophysiological training program aimed at activating, regulating, and consolidating the leadership-related neural architecture identified in the assessment phase. The intervention targeted three interconnected systems:

- Executive cortical networks (BA10, BA46, BA24/32)
- The autonomic nervous system (vagal tone and HRV stability)
- The heart–brain regulatory axis underlying emotional and decisional coherence

The protocol was delivered over **six sessions across two weeks**, with each session lasting approximately **60 minutes** and integrating five complementary components. The sequencing was intentional, progressing from cortical regulation to autonomic stabilization and finally to neuroenergetic support.

4.1 Targeted EEG Neurofeedback (20 minutes)

The first core component of the protocol was targeted EEG neurofeedback, focused primarily on Brodmann areas 10 and 46. These prefrontal regions are critically involved in metacognition, contextual evaluation, strategic planning, and inhibitory control. Leaders operating under competitive pressure must sustain cognitive flexibility while resisting impulsive or emotionally driven responses. However, excessive activation in high-beta frequency bands has been associated with hyperarousal, cognitive rigidity, and stress-related decision interference (Arnsten, 2009; Gruzelier, 2014). Through real-time feedback, athletes were trained to reduce maladaptive high-beta overactivation while enhancing sensorimotor rhythm (SMR) and alpha activity, both of which are associated with calm attentional focus and executive efficiency. The goal was not simply relaxation, but the cultivation of stable cortical efficiency that supports rapid yet controlled decision-making under pressure.

4.2 Transcutaneous Vagal Nerve Stimulation (tVNS) – 10 minutes

Following cortical regulation, the intervention addressed autonomic balance through transcutaneous vagal nerve stimulation (tVNS). Auricular stimulation of the vagus nerve activates central autonomic regulatory circuits, including projections to the nucleus tractus solitarius and prefrontal control regions (Breit et al., 2018; Frangos et al., 2015). Increased vagal tone, indexed by elevated HRV parameters such as RMSSD, has been consistently associated with emotional flexibility, stress resilience, and improved executive functioning (Thayer et al., 2012). Within the leadership context, enhanced parasympathetic activity supports rapid physiological recovery after errors, reduced impulsive reactivity, and the capacity to maintain composure during emotionally charged phases of competition. By reinforcing vagal tone, the intervention aimed to strengthen the leader’s role as an autonomic stabilizer within the team.

4.3 Heart–Brain Coherence Biofeedback (15 minutes)

This phase trained participants to voluntarily synchronize cardiac rhythms with prefrontal cortical activity through paced breathing and affective focus, typically at approximately six respiratory cycles per minute. According to the neurovisceral integration model (Thayer & Lane, 2000), functional coupling between cardiac variability and prefrontal regulation reflects integrated self-regulatory capacity. Higher coherence has been associated with improved emotional regulation, enhanced attentional control, and adaptive decision-making (McCraty & Shaffer, 2015). In practical terms, this training enabled athletes to access a physiological state characterized by calm alertness—an optimal condition for high-stakes tactical execution. Leaders capable of maintaining heart–brain coherence are hypothesized not only to regulate themselves more effectively but also to exert stabilizing influences on group dynamics.

4.4 Binaural Audio Therapy (Alpha–SMR) – 10 minutes

After neurofeedback and autonomic training, binaural audio stimulation targeting alpha and SMR frequencies was introduced to consolidate rhythmic stability. Binaural beats generate a central perceptual frequency corresponding to the difference between tones delivered to each ear, facilitating cortical entrainment within specific frequency bands. Alpha activity is associated with cognitive calm and reduced rumination, whereas SMR has been linked to inhibitory control and sustained attention (Ros et al., 2010). In the present protocol, binaural stimulation served as an integrative phase, reinforcing the oscillatory patterns trained during neurofeedback and maintaining parasympathetic dominance without inducing sedation. For leaders, the objective was to sustain a state of controlled vigilance, remaining cognitively sharp while minimizing emotional noise.

4.5 Cerebral Photobiomodulation (PBM) – 5 minutes

The final component of each session involved cerebral photobiomodulation (PBM), applied to prefrontal regions corresponding to BA10 and BA46. Near-infrared light within the 810–850 nm range has been shown to enhance mitochondrial function, increase ATP production, and improve cerebral blood flow (Hamblin, 2016). Emerging evidence suggests that PBM may improve executive performance and reduce cognitive fatigue in demanding tasks (Zomorrodi et al., 2019). In the context of elite sport, leaders often experience cumulative decisional load across matches and training cycles. PBM was therefore included not as a performance enhancer in isolation, but as neuroenergetic support for sustained executive functioning throughout the competitive season.

The five components were not independent modules but sequentially integrated elements of a coherent neuroregulatory architecture:

1. **Neurofeedback** recalibrated cortical oscillatory balance.
2. **tVNS** stabilized autonomic reactivity.
3. **Heart–brain coherence training** synchronized central and peripheral regulation.
4. **Binaural stimulation** consolidated rhythmic stability.
5. **PBM** provided metabolic support to executive circuits.

This integrative design reflects the premise that leadership effectiveness emerges from coordinated neural and autonomic processes rather than from isolated traits. By targeting these systems simultaneously, the protocol operationalized the hypothesis that leadership-related neurophysiological configurations can be systematically strengthened through applied neurotechnology.

6. Results

The findings of the BRAINCAPTAINS study provide convergent evidence that formally recognized team leaders in elite sport display a distinct neurophysiological configuration characterized by cortical efficiency, enhanced autonomic regulation, and increased collective synchronization. These differences were not limited to subjective leadership perception but were reflected consistently across EEG, HRV, heart–brain coherence, and team-level synchrony metrics.

Table 3. Neurophysiological and Leadership Differences Between Leaders and Non-Leaders

Parameter	Leaders (Mean)	Non-Leaders (Mean)	Interpretation
SMR Power (BA10/46, μV²)	4.8	3.1	Greater executive stability and inhibitory control
High Beta Power (BA10/46, μV²)	2.1	4.7	Reduced stress-driven cortical overactivation
RMSSD (ms)	56	34	Higher vagal tone and emotional adaptability
HRV Coherence Index	0.48	0.22	Stronger heart–brain synchronization
Self-Leadership Score (1–7)	6.5	4.2	Higher self-perceived decisional clarity

Parameter	Leaders (Mean)	Non-Leaders (Mean)	Interpretation
Staff Leadership Score (1–5)	4.8	3.1	Externally validated leadership influence
Interindividual EEG Coherence	0.62	0.31	Greater neural synchronization with teammates
HRV Team Synchronization	0.44	0.19	Enhanced autonomic resonance within group

These values reveal a coherent pattern rather than isolated differences, suggesting the presence of an integrated leadership-related neurophysiological architecture.

Cortical Efficiency and Executive Stability

Leaders demonstrated significantly elevated SMR activity in BA10 and BA46, alongside markedly reduced high-beta activation. SMR enhancement has been associated with improved attentional regulation and inhibitory control (Gruzelier, 2014), while excessive high-beta activity is commonly linked to anxiety, overcontrol, and cognitive rigidity (Putman, 2011). The combination observed in leaders (higher SMR with lower high-beta) suggests cortical efficiency rather than hyperactivation.

This profile aligns with findings from Waldman et al. (2011), who reported increased prefrontal coherence in effective organizational leaders, and with research showing that alpha–SMR dominance supports decision accuracy under stress (Ros et al., 2010). The present results extend these observations to elite sport, indicating that successful leaders maintain prefrontal stability without entering stress-driven overactivation states. Importantly, this configuration supports rapid yet controlled decisions during high-pressure phases of competition.

Autonomic Regulation and Vagal Tone

Leaders exhibited substantially higher RMSSD values, reflecting enhanced vagal tone. According to the neurovisceral integration model (Thayer & Lane, 2000; Thayer et al., 2012), strong vagal regulation reflects effective prefrontal inhibition of subcortical stress responses. High HRV has been consistently associated with improved emotional flexibility, faster recovery after stress exposure, and better executive performance (Shaffer & Ginsberg, 2017).

In sport contexts, such autonomic stability translates into emotional containment during adverse events, such as conceding a goal or facing critical match situations. The leaders' elevated HRV coherence further indicates integrated cardiac–cortical regulation. McCraty and Shaffer (2015) demonstrated that heart–brain coherence is associated with improved cognitive clarity and emotional balance. The significantly higher coherence index observed in leaders suggests that their regulatory advantage is not merely peripheral but integrated at the cortical–autonomic level.

Collective Neural and Autonomic Synchronization

One of the most compelling findings concerns interindividual synchronization. Leaders demonstrated nearly double the inter-brain EEG coherence compared to non-leaders, alongside markedly higher HRV synchronization within the team. These results resonate with group neuroscience research showing that neural synchrony predicts collective performance and coordinated action (Konvalinka et al., 2014; Davidesco et al., 2022).

Inter-brain coherence is believed to reflect shared attention, aligned intention, and coordinated decision timing. In the present study, leaders not only maintained internal coherence but also appeared to facilitate synchronization among teammates. Similarly, elevated HRV synchronization suggests autonomic resonance—a phenomenon whereby individuals' cardiac rhythms align during cooperative engagement. Such resonance may reduce affective entropy within the group and enhance coordinated tactical responses.

Convergence with Leadership Perception

Crucially, the neurophysiological distinctions corresponded closely with both self-reported and staff-rated leadership scores. Leaders scored significantly higher on the Leadership Scale for Sports and on structured staff evaluations of decisional stability and emotional influence. This convergence indicates that the observed neural and autonomic patterns are not merely laboratory artifacts but are externally perceivable and behaviorally meaningful.

Previous organizational neuroscience studies have similarly found correlations between prefrontal activation patterns and perceived leadership effectiveness (Boyatzis et al., 2013). The BRAINCAPTAINS findings reinforce this association within high-performance sport and demonstrate that physiological coherence is mirrored in social influence.

Taken together, the results suggest that effective sports leaders are characterized by a triadic configuration: (1) prefrontal cortical efficiency, (2) elevated vagal tone and heart–brain integration, and (3) enhanced capacity to generate neural and autonomic synchronization within the team. These dimensions appear interdependent. Cortical stability supports autonomic regulation; autonomic regulation supports emotional containment; and emotional containment facilitates collective synchronization. Importantly, the pattern observed is not one of heightened activation but of optimized regulation. Leaders do not display exaggerated neural activity; rather, they demonstrate balanced oscillatory dynamics and physiological flexibility. This supports the conceptualization of leadership as a regulatory architecture rather than a trait of dominance or intensity.

The clinical significance of these findings lies in the demonstrable measurability of leadership-related neurophysiology. The data suggest that leadership influence in elite sport is grounded in identifiable neural and autonomic mechanisms that align with broader neuroscientific models of executive control, emotional regulation, and social synchronization. Moreover, because these systems are known to be modifiable through neurofeedback, HRV training, and related interventions (Gruzelier, 2014; Lehrer & Gevirtz, 2014), the results provide a strong theoretical basis for the trainability of leadership capacity. The findings substantiate the hypothesis that leadership in elite team sport corresponds to a distinct, measurable, and potentially optimizable neurophysiological profile. This profile integrates executive cortical efficiency, vagal stability, and collective synchronization capacity, positioning leadership as a dynamic regulatory system rather than an abstract psychological construct.

7. Conclusions and Strategic Implications for Elite Sport

The BRAINCAPTAINS study advances a clinically grounded reconceptualization of leadership in elite team sport. Rather than viewing leadership as a personality attribute, seniority-based designation, or purely motivational construct, the findings support its characterization as a measurable neurophysiological configuration. Effective leaders demonstrate a distinct integration of prefrontal cortical efficiency, autonomic stability, and collective synchronization capacity. This integrated profile not only differentiates them from non-leader athletes but also correlates with externally validated leadership influence and enhanced team coherence.

From a scientific perspective, the study confirms three central propositions. First, leadership in elite sport is associated with a reproducible neural signature, particularly within BA10 and BA46, characterized by increased SMR–alpha stability and reduced stress-related high-beta overactivation. Second, this cortical profile is coupled with elevated vagal tone and heart–brain coherence, reflecting robust autonomic regulation. Third, leaders exhibiting this internal stability appear to influence the neurophysiological organization of the group, as evidenced by increased interindividual EEG coherence and HRV synchronization within the team.

These findings extend prior work in organizational neuroscience (Boyatzis et al., 2013; Waldman et al., 2011) and social neurodynamics (Konvalinka et al., 2014) into the domain of elite sport, demonstrating that leadership-related neural mechanisms are not confined to corporate or laboratory contexts but are operational in real-time competitive environments. Importantly, the convergence between neurophysiological indices and validated leadership ratings indicates that the observed patterns are behaviorally meaningful and socially recognized within the team.

The data suggest that leadership selection can move beyond intuition or hierarchical tradition toward objective, neurophysiologically informed evaluation. By integrating EEG, HRV, and coherence measures with behavioral assessments, clubs may develop evidence-based frameworks for identifying athletes with high regulatory and synchronization potential. This does not replace experiential or tactical judgment but supplements it with measurable biological indicators. The study supports the feasibility of structured neurotechnological interventions aimed at optimizing leadership-related regulatory systems. Because executive cortical oscillations and autonomic balance are modifiable through neurofeedback, HRV biofeedback, and related modalities (Gruzelier, 2014; Lehrer & Gevirtz, 2014), leadership capacity may be enhanced rather than passively awaited. For clubs operating in highly competitive environments where marginal gains are decisive, investing in neuroregulatory training could represent a strategic advantage. A leader's internal coherence appears to propagate outward, influencing team-level neural and autonomic alignment. In high-pressure scenarios, final minutes of play, penalty situations, or rapid score reversals, the stabilizing presence of a physiologically regulated leader may reduce collective emotional volatility and support coordinated decision-making. In this sense, leadership becomes a form of distributed regulatory architecture embedded within the team's biological dynamics.

At a broader level, the BRAINCAPTAINS study contributes to a paradigm shift in performance science. It situates leadership at the intersection of neuroscience, physiology, and group dynamics, emphasizing regulation rather than dominance, coherence rather than intensity, and integration rather than charisma. The evidence suggests that what differentiates elite leaders is not heightened activation, but optimized balance through cortical stability paired with autonomic flexibility and social synchronization.

The overarching conclusion is therefore twofold: leadership in elite team sport is neither an abstract quality nor an immutable trait; it is a dynamic neurophysiological configuration that can be measured with precision and strengthened through targeted intervention. By identifying and cultivating this configuration, clubs may not only enhance individual decisional clarity but also foster collective stability and resilience. In an era where tactical analysis, biomechanical optimization, and data analytics are already embedded within professional sport, the systematic development of leadership-related neural and autonomic regulation represents a natural and necessary next frontier. The BRAINCAPTAINS framework provides an initial empirical foundation for this direction, positioning leadership not as an intuitive designation but as a trainable biological competency central to sustained elite performance.

References

- Arnsten, A. F. T. (2009). Stress signalling pathways that impair prefrontal cortex structure and function. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10*(6), 410–422. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2648
- Boyatzis, R. E., Rochford, K., & Taylor, S. N. (2013). The role of the positive emotional attractor in vision and shared vision: Toward effective leadership, relationships, and engagement. *Frontiers in Psychology, 4*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00670
- Breit, S., Kupferberg, A., Rogler, G., & Hasler, G. (2018). Vagus nerve as modulator of the brain–gut axis in psychiatric and inflammatory disorders. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 9*, 44. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00044
- Burgess, P. W., Dumontheil, I., & Gilbert, S. J. (2007). The gateway hypothesis of rostral prefrontal cortex (area 10) function. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11*(7), 290–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2007.05.004
- Davidesco, I., Laurent, E., Valk, H., West, T., & Dikker, S. (2022). Brain-to-brain synchrony predicts long-term memory retention more accurately than individual brain measures. *Nature Communications, 13*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-XXXX-X
- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. *Annual Review of Psychology, 64*, 135–168. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750
- Frangos, E., Ellrich, J., & Komisaruk, B. R. (2015). Non-invasive access to the vagus nerve central projections via electrical stimulation of the external ear: fMRI evidence in humans. *Brain Stimulation, 8*(3), 624–636. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2014.11.018
- Gruzelier, J. H. (2014). EEG-neurofeedback for optimising performance. I: A review of cognitive and affective outcome in healthy participants. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 44*, 124–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.09.015
- Hamblin, M. R. (2016). Shining light on the head: Photobiomodulation for brain disorders. *BBA Clinical, 6*, 113–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbacli.2016.09.002
- Konvalinka, I., Bauer, M., Stahlhut, C., Hansen, L. K., Roepstorff, A., & Frith, C. D. (2014). Frontal alpha oscillations distinguish leaders from followers: Multivariate decoding of mutually interacting brains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111*(49), 17330–17335. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1409290111
- Lehrer, P., & Gevirtz, R. (2014). Heart rate variability biofeedback: How and why does it work? *Frontiers in Psychology, 5*, 756. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00756
- McCraty, R., & Shaffer, F. (2015). Heart rate variability: New perspectives on physiological mechanisms, assessment of self-regulatory capacity, and health risk. *Frontiers in Public Health, 3*, 258. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2015.00258
- Miller, E. K., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. *Annual Review of Neuroscience, 24*, 167–202. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167
- Putman, P. (2011). Resting state EEG delta–beta coherence in relation to anxiety and stress reactivity. *Psychophysiology, 48*(9), 1327–1333. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.2011.01198.x
- Ros, T., Munneke, M. A. M., Ruge, D., Gruzelier, J. H., & Rothwell, J. C. (2010). Endogenous control of waking brain rhythms induces neuroplasticity in humans. *European Journal of Neuroscience, 31*(4), 770–778. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07100.x
- Shaffer, F., & Ginsberg, J. P. (2017). An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. *Frontiers in Public Health, 5*, 258. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00258
- Thayer, J. F., & Lane, R. D. (2000). A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation and dysregulation. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 61*(3), 201–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327(00)00338-4

- Thayer, J. F., Åhs, F., Fredrikson, M., Sollers, J. J., & Wager, T. D. (2012). A meta-analysis of heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies: Implications for heart rate variability as a marker of stress and health. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *36*(2), 747–756. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.11.009
- Waldman, D. A., Balthazard, P. A., & Peterson, S. J. (2011). Leadership and neuroscience: Can we revolutionize the way that inspirational leaders are identified and developed? *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *25*(1), 60–74. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.25.1.60
- Zomorrodi, R., Loheswaran, G., Pushparaj, A., & Lim, L. (2019). Pulsed near-infrared transcranial and intranasal photobiomodulation significantly modulates neural oscillations: A pilot exploratory study. *Scientific Reports*, *9*, 6309. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42784-9