TACTIBRAIN: Neuroplasticity and Decisional Anticipation in Elite Team Sports

Alina ROBU, Alina-Diana NEMES

Abstract

In the high-stakes environment of elite team sports, the temporal window for decision-making is often measured in milliseconds. Traditional training models focus heavily on physical conditioning and technical execution; however, the limiting factor in elite performance is frequently the "tactical bottleneck"—the cognitive delay between stimulus perception and motor response. This study introduces the TACTIBRAIN protocol, a multimodal clinical neurotechnology intervention designed to optimize tactical anticipation and decisional adaptability in elite athletes (football, handball, and basketball). Through a randomized controlled trial (n=40), we demonstrate that an integrated approach involving EEG neurofeedback, transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS), heart-brain coherence, photobiomodulation (PBM), and binaural therapy significantly reduces reaction time (-82ms) and increases decisional accuracy (+18%). These findings suggest that neuroplasticity-based tactical training represents a new frontier in sports strategy, enabling athletes to transition from reactive players to predictive strategists.

Keywords: *Neurofeedback; Anticipatory decision-making; Elite team sports; Neuroplasticity; Heart rate variability (HRV); Executive control networks; Photobiomodulation.*

1. Introduction and Scientific Rationale

In the realm of elite team sports such as football, handball, and basketball, the margin between a competent performance and a decisive, game-changing intervention is often defined by a temporal window measured in milliseconds. While physical conditioning and technical prowess remain foundational, modern sports science increasingly recognizes that the ultimate differentiator is the athlete's cognitive capacity to anticipate game dynamics before they fully manifest. This phenomenon, known as "tactical anticipation," extends beyond simple reflex; it involves the pre-emptive recognition of passing lanes, the immediate detection of an opponent's strategic inversion, and the intuitive alignment with the complex behavioral patterns of teammates.

Contrary to the traditional view that anticipation is solely a byproduct of extensive experience or innate talent, the TACTIBRAIN study posits that this capability is a highly complex neurocognitive function rooted in adaptive neuroplasticity. The ability to predict the unfolding of play—essentially perceiving what is about to happen before it occurs—requires the brain to process visuospatial and contextual information at speeds that exceed conscious deliberation. This process demands not only rapid integration of sensory inputs but also the maintenance of robust emotional regulation under the extreme psychological pressure of competition (Niewhuys & Oudejans, 2012). Consequently, the limiting factor in elite performance is frequently not muscular but neural, specifically the efficiency with which cortical areas responsible for motor integration and executive decision-making can adapt in real-time to dynamic stimuli (Yarrow et al., 2009).

1.1 Neuroscientific Foundations of Tactical Anticipation

The neurobiological architecture of tactical anticipation relies on the synchronized activation of specific cortical regions and large-scale neural networks. Central to this process is the **Premotor Cortex (BA6)**, which is critical for programming motor sequences in response to visual cues. Research suggests that high-level athletes utilize this region to simulate potential action outcomes, effectively "rehearsing" movements neurally before execution (Cancer et al., 2024; Wright et al., 2013). This motor planning is governed by the **Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex (BA46)**, the hub of executive control and abstract intelligence. BA46 is responsible for suppressing impulsive, incorrect responses in favor of adaptive behavioral strategies, a function essential for tactical deception and counter-strategy (Snow, 2016; Brown et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the integration of these signals occurs within the **Superior Parietal Lobule (BA7)**, which provides the necessary spatial orientation and proprioceptive mapping to place the athlete within the chaotic geometry of the field. The efficiency of these regions is modulated by the interplay of key neurocognitive networks: the **Executive Control Network (ECN)**, which facilitates rapid task switching; the **Dorsal Attention Network (DAN)**, which maintains top-down focus on relevant tactical stimuli; and the **Fronto-Parietal Network (FPN)**, which orchestrates real-time problem solving (Corbetta & Shulman, 2002). The TACTIBRAIN framework operates on the premise that these neural circuits are not static but are highly malleable, capable of being optimized through targeted clinical neurotechnology to enhance the speed and accuracy of the "predictive brain."

2. Research Objectives

The primary aim of the TACTIBRAIN study was to evaluate the efficacy of a specialized clinical protocol in enhancing the neurocognitive substrates of sports performance. Moving beyond general "mental training," this research sought to quantify the impact of multimodal neurotechnology on four specific dimensions of elite athleticism.

First, the study aimed to **optimize anticipatory latency**, specifically seeking to decrease the neural processing time required for an athlete to recognize and react to tactical shifts. By targeting the efficiency of signal transmission in the sensorimotor pathways, the objective was to compress the delay between perception and action. Second, the research focused on **enhancing decisional precision**. In the high-pressure context of professional sports, speed cannot come at the expense of accuracy. The protocol was designed to improve the "accuracy-to-speed" ratio, ensuring that faster decisions remained tactically correct even under physiological duress.

Third, the intervention sought to **stabilize the autonomic nervous system (ANS)**. Recognizing that cognitive "freezing" or "choking" is often a result of sympathetic overdrive, the study aimed to increase parasympathetic tone to maintain a state of cognitive "flow." Finally, the overarching objective was to **promote tactical neuroplasticity**, facilitating measurable structural and functional changes in the brain that support long-term tactical dominance. This goal underscores the transition from transient performance boosts to enduring neurobiological adaptations that define the modern elite athlete.

3. TACTIBRAIN Study Design

The TACTIBRAIN study was established as a clinical, experimental, randomized, controlled trial with two parallel arms. It was constructed to scientifically demonstrate that tactical anticipation speed and cognitive clarity can be clinically trained through personalized, validated, and reproducible neurotechnological interventions.

This research operates as a multicenter clinical study, applicable across various sporting centers, utilizing a randomized design with a designated Experimental Group and a Control Group. The design is prospective and longitudinal, incorporating evaluations before, during, and after the intervention to capture both acute and cumulative effects. To ensure objectivity, evaluators and data analysis remained double-blind where applicable.

The choice of this rigorous design aligns with the international standard for evaluating neurological interventions in cognitive performance (CONSORT 2010). The clinical validation of the methods relies on objective, repeatable measurements capable of demonstrating statistically significant differentiation between groups, moving beyond subjective reporting to quantifiable neurophysiological data.

3.1 Participants

The study cohort consisted of **40 elite athletes** drawn from professional football, handball, and basketball teams with active competitive schedules. To ensure a balanced comparison, participants were divided equally into an Experimental Group (n=20) and a Control Group (n=20). Selection was governed by strict inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure homogeneity and safety. Participants were required to be between 18 and 35 years of age and actively participating in official matches during the preceding three months. They were also required to meet average standardized scores for reaction and clarity to ensure validatable progress, and to be free of neurological, cardiovascular, or psychiatric conditions. Exclusion criteria included the use of psychostimulants or medications with neuroactive impact, a lack of adherence to the protocol, session abandonment, or a history of recent injuries affecting cognitive performance. All participants provided signed informed consent following club approval.

3.2 Intervention

The study followed a strict chronological timeline designed to measure acute and cumulative neuroplastic effects over an 8-week observation period.

Table 1. Chronological Stages of the TACTIBRAIN Study

Stage	Activity	Duration
T0	Initial Evaluation (EEG, HRV, Reaction Times, Decision Scores)	Day 1
T1–T6	Intervention Phase: 6 Sessions (1 session every 2 days)	2 Weeks
T7	Complete Re-evaluation + Simulated Pressure Testing	Day 14–15
T8	Follow-up: Measuring durability of the effect	Day 30–32

The Experimental Group underwent a precise clinical protocol where all sessions were personalized based on individual EEG and HRV profiles. Progress was monitored and adjusted continuously to ensure optimal neuroplastic engagement across five integrated modules.

Table 2. Components of the TACTIBRAIN Intervention Session

Component	Duration	Primary Clinical Goal
EEG Neurofeedback	20 min	Training BA6 & BA46 to reduce reaction time and improve inhibition.
tVNS (Vagal Stimulation)	10 min	Increasing HRV, inducing decisional calm, and speed under pressure.
HRV Coherence Biofeedback	15 min	Synchronizing decision-making, emotion, and attention.
Binaural Therapy	10 min	Stabilizing Alpha–SMR rhythms for calm anticipatory focus.
Frontal Photobiomodulation	5 min	Mitochondrial and vascular support in BA6 and BA46.

To isolate the real effect of the brain training and strictly exclude placebo or contextual effects, the Control Group participated in sessions of passive relaxation, stretching, and breathing exercises without feedback. Crucially, they received **no EEG, vagal, or cerebral stimulation** interventions. They adhered to the exact same evaluation calendar (T0, T7, T8) as the Experimental Group to ensure that any observed changes were not merely the result of time or general group engagement.

The study was conducted within the Institute's own centers, with the capability for integration into the sporting bases of partner clubs. All equipment utilized, including EEG, Photobiomodulation (PBM), and HRV monitors, is medically CE certified. The intervention was administered by specialists in clinical neuroscience and sports cognitive optimization, ensuring high standards of technical and clinical oversight throughout the 24-session cycle.

For forward-thinking clubs, TACTIBRAIN signifies the clinical validation of decisional clarity. It offers a reduction in the risk of costly mental errors during critical matches and integrates an innovative, adaptive cognitive training method with documentable progress. Most importantly, it provides the capacity to maintain tactical clarity in a game that changes constantly. It represents the difference between a team that reacts and one that anticipates.

4. Structure of the TACTIBRAIN Intervention

The TACTIBRAIN protocol is comprised of integrated clinical sessions designed for the rapid activation and progressive consolidation of brain networks involved in tactical anticipation and cognitive clarity. Every intervention is clinical, non-invasive, and personalized based on EEG/HRV profiles.

Targeted EEG Neurofeedback (20 Minutes)

This component focuses on the specific training of areas **BA6 (Premotor)** and **BA46 (Executive Decision)**. The aim is to increase **SMR and Alpha** activation while reducing **High Beta** activation. By rewarding the brain for maintaining these optimal rhythms, the athlete learns to modulate their neural state, reducing anticipatory reaction time and improving inhibition under stress. BA6 facilitates the pre-construction of motor responses, while BA46 ensures these responses are tactically sound and not merely impulsive reactions.

Non-invasive Vagus Nerve Stimulation (tVNS – 10 Minutes)

Non-invasive auricular vagal stimulation is employed to activate the parasympathetic nervous system. This intervention increases Heart Rate Variability (specifically **RMSSD**), which stabilizes decision-making under high-pressure conditions. By modulating the autonomic response, tVNS increases the "internal decision time," allowing for greater clarity and precision in choosing the optimal tactical response without slowing down the actual motor execution.

Heart-Brain Coherence Biofeedback (15 Minutes)

Athletes undergo training to synchronize cardiac and respiratory rhythms with neural activity. This state of "coherence" reduces emotional fluctuations and prevents cognitive blockages. For the elite player, this means maintaining selective attention and lucid focus even when game rhythms shift or the opponent introduces unexpected strategies.

Binaural Audio Therapy (10 Minutes)

Neuro-auditory stimulation is used to stabilize the **Alpha and SMR rhythms** following the active interventions. Binaural beats train the cortex toward functional EEG states characterized by calm vigilance. This phase serves as a final stabilization of the session's training, fixing the acquired plasticity in a state of reaction without tension.

Cerebral Photobiomodulation (5 Minutes)

Frontal photobiomodulation (810nm) is applied to stimulate neuroplasticity in **BA6, BA46, and BA7**. By increasing ATP levels and cerebral blood flow, PBM accelerates cognitive adaptation and reduces the metabolic strain of repeated tactical learning. This vascular and mitochondrial support ensures that the brain maintains high-speed integration of decisional networks even during periods of heavy competitive load.

5. Measurements and Evaluation Instruments

The TACTIBRAIN study employed a multidimensional assessment framework designed to capture changes in anticipatory processing, executive regulation, autonomic balance, and functional neuroplasticity. The evaluation strategy was intentionally integrative, combining objective neurophysiological markers with behavioral performance indices and contextual performance ratings. This approach ensured that improvements were not limited to laboratory metrics but reflected meaningful adaptations in decision-making under sport-relevant conditions.

5.1 Quantitative EEG (qEEG): Cortical Activation Mapping

Quantitative EEG served as the primary neurophysiological tool for assessing cortical dynamics in regions implicated in anticipatory decision-making, specifically BA6 (premotor cortex), BA4 (primary motor cortex), BA7 (superior parietal cortex), and BA46 (dorsolateral prefrontal cortex). Spectral power analyses focused on Alpha, sensorimotor rhythm (SMR), and High Beta bands, given their established associations with motor readiness, inhibitory control, and cognitive overactivation.

In addition to spectral power, intra- and interhemispheric coherence indices were calculated to evaluate functional connectivity within motor–executive networks. Indices of decision-related fatigability, particularly within BA46 during sustained cognitive tasks, were also examined. The objective was to identify a measurable "neurocognitive signature of anticipation," characterized by stabilized Alpha–SMR activity and reduced High Beta interference during performance tasks.

5.2 Anticipatory Reaction Time: Simulated Digital Tasks

Behavioral assessment of anticipatory processing was conducted using computerized tasks designed to replicate sport-specific decision pressures. These included:

- Go/No-Go paradigms with tactical elements
- Flanker tasks assessing inhibitory control under distraction
- Dual-choice decision paradigms requiring rapid selection between viable options under time constraint
- Sport-specific simulated decision tasks tailored to each athlete's discipline

Crucially, the focus was not merely on simple stimulus–response latency but on anticipatory decision speed in contexts involving ambiguity, competing stimuli, and temporal pressure. Reaction time reduction was interpreted only in conjunction with maintained or improved accuracy, thereby preserving ecological validity.

5.3 Decision Accuracy Under Pressure

Decision quality was quantified through accuracy scores across simulated tactical scenarios. Measures included:

- Percentage of correct responses
- Time–Accuracy Trade-off Index (ratio of speed to correctness)

- Video-analyzed open tactical simulations rated by expert coaching staff

This multidimensional evaluation addressed a central issue in performance science: rapid decisions are advantageous only when correct. By integrating objective scoring with expert contextual evaluation, the study ensured that gains in speed were not achieved at the expense of tactical precision.

5.4 Heart Rate Variability and Heart–Brain Coherence

Autonomic regulation was assessed through comprehensive HRV analysis, including RMSSD, SDNN, LF/HF ratio, and a heart–brain coherence index derived from synchronized EEG–HRV recordings. These measures provided insight into vagal tone, physiological resilience, and emotional regulation during and after cognitive load.

Elevated HRV coherence was interpreted as indicative of improved autonomic–cortical synchronization, reflecting the athlete's capacity to maintain clarity and adaptive control under stress. Post-task recovery metrics were also analyzed to evaluate resilience following demanding cognitive challenges.

5.5 Neuroplasticity Indicators

Functional neuroplasticity was evaluated longitudinally by tracking progressive changes in EEG patterns across sessions, particularly in BA6 and BA46, alongside improvements in repeated and novel decision tasks. Transfer effects to previously unencountered stimuli served as evidence of adaptive network reorganization rather than mere task familiarity.

5.6 Self-Report and Staff Evaluation Scores

Subjective and contextual measures complemented objective metrics. Athletes rated perceived mental clarity, reaction capacity, and game connectivity on a 1–7 scale. Coaching staff independently evaluated perceived decision speed, tactical coherence, and strategic reliability. These measures enabled triangulation between physiological change, behavioral performance, and perceived on-field impact.

Together, these instruments provided a comprehensive, reproducible evaluation of anticipatory performance, integrating cortical, autonomic, behavioral, and contextual dimensions within a unified assessment framework.

6. Results

The findings of the TACTIBRAIN study provide convergent behavioral, electrophysiological, and autonomic evidence that anticipatory decision-making in elite team-sport athletes can be significantly optimized through an integrated neurotechnological protocol. The magnitude and coherence of changes across domains—reaction time, decision accuracy, EEG regulation, autonomic balance, and neuroplasticity—suggest not merely superficial performance fluctuation, but functional reorganization of neural systems implicated in anticipation and adaptive control.

Table 1. Quantitative outcomes

Parameter	Experimental Group (Δ)	Control Group (Δ)
Anticipatory Reaction Time	–82 ms	–15 ms
Decision Accuracy	+18%	+4%
SMR Power (BA6/BA46)	+1.9 µV²	+0.4 µV²
High Beta Power (BA46)	–1.6 µV²	–0.3 µV²
RMSSD (HRV)	+17	+5
HRV Coherence Index	+0.21	+0.06
Neuroplasticity Index	+0.65	+0.18

The decrease of 82 milliseconds in anticipatory reaction time within the experimental group represents a functionally meaningful shift in elite sport contexts. In contrast, the control group exhibited only a modest 15-millisecond reduction, likely attributable to task familiarity or general exposure effects. In high-speed team sports, reaction differences within the 60–80 millisecond range have been shown to influence performance outcomes such as successful interception, pass anticipation, and defensive rotation timing (Yarrow et al., 2009; Babiloni et al., 2012). Importantly, this reduction cannot be interpreted as simple motor acceleration. The intervention specifically targeted BA6 (premotor cortex) and BA46 (dorsolateral prefrontal cortex), regions associated with proactive motor preparation and executive decision control. Enhanced premotor readiness likely allowed athletes to preconfigure motor plans before full stimulus resolution, effectively transforming reactive processing into predictive initiation. Such anticipatory neural tuning aligns with findings that elite athletes display earlier and more efficient premotor activation during decision tasks (Babiloni et al., 2012).

The 18% improvement in decision accuracy in the experimental group, compared to 4% in controls, indicates that faster processing did not compromise correctness. This finding addresses a fundamental concern in cognitive performance research: the speed–accuracy trade-off. Rather than sacrificing precision for speed, participants demonstrated simultaneous gains in both domains. This pattern suggests enhanced inhibitory control and contextual integration within BA46 and BA7, enabling athletes to suppress impulsive responses and select tactically appropriate actions. Schulte et al. (2012) demonstrated that superior performers exhibit stronger prefrontal modulation during high-pressure decision-making tasks, reflecting refined executive oversight. The present results are consistent with that framework, indicating improved top-down regulation within the Executive Control Network (Menon, 2011). From a practical perspective, improved accuracy under simulated pressure translates into fewer tactical errors during dynamic play, particularly in scenarios requiring rapid selection between multiple viable options.

The observed increase of 1.9 µV² in SMR power within BA6 and BA46 is particularly relevant to anticipatory performance. Sensorimotor rhythm enhancement has been associated with improved motor inhibition, focused attention, and reduced cortical noise (Gruzelier, 2014). Elevated SMR suggests that athletes developed greater capacity to withhold premature motor activation while maintaining readiness, a state of “calm preparedness.” In competitive environments, excessive cortical activation may lead to impulsive execution or decision rigidity. By stabilizing SMR activity, the intervention appears to have optimized the balance between excitation and inhibition within motor–executive circuits. This neurophysiological signature aligns with research showing that high-level performers exhibit refined rhythmic modulation during complex motor tasks (Jahani et al., 2020).

High Beta activity within BA46 decreased by 1.6 μV^2 in the experimental group, substantially exceeding the minimal change observed in controls. Elevated High Beta is frequently associated with cognitive overactivation, stress-related rumination, and inefficient executive control (Gruzelier, 2014). Its reduction suggests improved cognitive economy and reduced interference during decision-making. Lower High Beta activity may reflect enhanced emotional regulation and decreased sympathetic overdrive during cognitive challenge. This interpretation is reinforced by concurrent HRV findings, indicating improved vagal modulation. The combined EEG–HRV shift suggests a rebalanced cortical–autonomic interface, consistent with integrated models of stress-resilient performance (McCraty et al., 2015).

The increase of 17 units in RMSSD in the experimental group signifies strengthened parasympathetic regulation. RMSSD is a well-established index of vagal tone and emotional adaptability (Shaffer & Ginsberg, 2017). Athletes with higher vagal tone demonstrate superior cognitive flexibility and emotional stability under competitive stress. In practical terms, enhanced RMSSD likely contributed to improved recovery following cognitively demanding trials and reduced internal interference during decision execution. The neurovisceral integration model proposes that prefrontal regulation of autonomic function underpins adaptive behavioral responses (Thayer & Lane, 2009). The present data support this model, indicating that neuromodulatory intervention can strengthen this regulatory loop.

The 0.21 increase in HRV coherence further underscores improved synchronization between cortical and autonomic systems. HRV coherence has been linked to optimal emotional regulation, attentional stability, and decision clarity (McCraty et al., 2015). Athletes exhibiting higher coherence are less prone to impulsive reactions and more capable of maintaining strategic composure during rapidly changing game conditions. The fact that coherence gains paralleled improvements in both reaction time and decision accuracy suggests that anticipatory optimization is not purely cortical but systemic. The intervention appears to have harmonized physiological and cognitive domains, producing an integrated performance state.

The neuroplasticity index rose by 0.65 in the experimental group compared to 0.18 in controls, representing the strongest proportional difference across measures. This index reflected improvements in novel task performance and progressive EEG stabilization across sessions, indicating transfer beyond repeated-task familiarity. Photobiomodulation research has demonstrated enhanced mitochondrial function and synaptic efficiency following near-infrared stimulation (Hamblin, 2016), while rhythmic entrainment studies suggest that neural oscillatory modulation can promote network reorganization (Iaccarino et al., 2016). The convergence of EEG neurofeedback and PBM within TACTIBRAIN likely facilitated durable fronto-parietal adaptation. Functionally, increased neuroplasticity means that athletes improved not only in rehearsed scenarios but in previously unseen tactical configurations—arguably the most critical feature of real-world sport performance.

Taken collectively, these findings support the central hypothesis of TACTIBRAIN: anticipatory performance in elite sport reflects modifiable neural architecture rather than fixed talent alone. The simultaneous improvement across reaction speed, decision accuracy, cortical rhythm optimization, and autonomic coherence suggests systemic enhancement rather than isolated adaptation.

The magnitude of changes relative to the control condition indicates that the integrated protocol exerted effects beyond placebo or contextual influence. By targeting premotor preparation, executive inhibition, emotional regulation, and metabolic support, the intervention appears to have reconfigured the functional dynamics of anticipation.

In competitive environments where milliseconds determine advantage, such neurophysiological optimization may translate directly into improved tactical timing, reduced error rates, and enhanced strategic stability. The results therefore provide empirical support for the clinical trainability of anticipatory cognition in elite team-sport athletes.

7. Conclusions: Implications for Sports Practice and Club Strategy

The TACTIBRAIN study demonstrates that anticipatory decision-making in elite team sports is not merely an emergent by-product of experience, but a neurobiological capacity that can be systematically trained, measured, and optimized. The integration of EEG neurofeedback, transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS), heart–brain coherence training, binaural modulation, and photobiomodulation produced coherent improvements across behavioral, cortical, and autonomic domains. These findings have direct implications not only for neuroscience but for high-performance sport strategy.

Modern elite team sports are characterized by accelerating tactical tempo, rapid transitions, and increasingly complex strategic structures. In such environments, success depends less on raw physical speed and more on the ability to anticipate evolving configurations before they fully manifest. Research in sport neuroscience has consistently shown that expert athletes differ from non-experts in neural efficiency, network organization, and anticipatory processing (Yarrow et al., 2009; Babiloni et al., 2012). The present findings extend this literature by demonstrating that these neural characteristics are not static traits but modifiable functions.

From a practical standpoint, TACTIBRAIN introduces a new paradigm of performance development: training the decision before training the reaction. Reaction time alone does not determine competitive advantage; rather, it is the capacity to initiate correct action within minimal temporal windows that defines high-level execution. The observed 82-millisecond reduction in anticipatory reaction time, combined with an 18% increase in decision accuracy, illustrates that speed and precision can be co-optimized. This challenges the traditional assumption of an unavoidable speed–accuracy trade-off and aligns with models of enhanced executive control within the fronto-parietal network (Menon, 2011; Scolari et al., 2015).

For elite clubs, the implications are substantial asTACTIBRAIN offers a reproducible and measurable cognitive training system that can be integrated into existing performance infrastructures without interfering with physical or tactical load. The protocol's six-session format, delivered over two weeks, allows incorporation within competitive schedules while preserving recovery cycles. Unlike generalized "mental coaching" approaches, the intervention produces objective biomarkers—qEEG signatures, HRV indices, reaction metrics—that allow documentation of progress over time.

The study supports the use of neurophysiological profiling as part of talent identification and development. If anticipatory capacity reflects modifiable fronto-parietal and autonomic regulation patterns, then early detection of these markers may help clubs identify athletes with high adaptive potential. Moreover, targeted neuromodulation could accelerate the development of young players in decision-intensive roles such as playmakers, coordinators, and defensive organizers. This approach is consistent with evidence that executive and attentional networks remain plastic in early adulthood and can be shaped by structured cognitive training (Jahani et al., 2020).

Observed increases in RMSSD and HRV coherence, highlights the importance of physiological regulation in tactical clarity. Emotional overactivation and cognitive rigidity under pressure often contribute to critical match errors. The neurovisceral integration model (Thayer & Lane, 2009) posits that effective prefrontal control over autonomic systems underpins adaptive performance. The improvements observed in vagal tone and coherence suggest that TACTIBRAIN strengthens this regulatory loop, reducing impulsivity and enhancing stability during high-stakes phases of play.

At a strategic level, the study encourages clubs to reconceptualize performance optimization as a neurocognitive endeavor rather than purely physical conditioning. As training methodologies converge globally and physical preparation becomes increasingly standardized, marginal gains will likely arise from cognitive and neural efficiency. Photobiomodulation research (Hamblin, 2016) and neurofeedback literature (Gruzelier, 2014) both suggest that targeted neuromodulation can produce durable neural adaptations. By combining these methods into an integrated protocol, TACTIBRAIN operationalizes neuroplasticity as a performance resource. Importantly, the improvements in the neuroplasticity index indicate that benefits extended beyond repeated-task familiarity to novel decision contexts. This transferability is crucial in sport, where unpredictability defines competitive reality. Athletes must respond to configurations never previously encountered. The capacity to generalize adaptive neural regulation across contexts suggests structural reorganization rather than short-term performance fluctuation.

The findings affirm that anticipation is not an instinctive mystery but a trainable network function. The coordinated modulation of BA6, BA46, BA7, and autonomic systems supports the transformation of reactive behavior into predictive execution. For clubs operating at elite levels, the practical implication is clear: investing in neurocognitive optimization may yield measurable advantages in tactical timing, decision reliability, and stress resilience.

In conclusion, TACTIBRAIN provides empirical evidence that anticipatory speed, decision accuracy, and adaptive neuroplasticity can be enhanced through a structured, clinically grounded protocol. The integration of cortical and autonomic training creates a coherent performance architecture in which speed does not undermine clarity, and pressure does not degrade precision. As elite sport continues to evolve toward higher tactical complexity and narrower margins of victory, the capacity to think before reacting becomes a decisive differentiator. TACTIBRAIN demonstrates that this capacity can be systematically cultivated. For organizations seeking sustainable competitive advantage, the message is unambiguous: the future of elite performance lies not only in stronger bodies or refined tactics, but in optimized neural systems capable of anticipating the game before it unfolds.

References

- Babiloni, C., Del Percio, C., Iacoboni, M., Lizio, R., Infarinato, F., Marzano, N., Soricelli, A., Eusebi, F., & Rossini, P. M. (2012). Golf putt outcomes are predicted by sensorimotor cerebral EEG rhythms. *Journal of Physiology, 590*(2), 243–253. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011.220798
- Gruzelier, J. H. (2014). EEG-neurofeedback for optimising performance. I: A review of cognitive and affective outcome in healthy participants. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *44*, 124–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.09.015
- Hamblin, M. R. (2016). Shining light on the head: Photobiomodulation for brain disorders. *BBA Clinical*, *6*, 113–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbacli.2016.09.002
- Iaccarino, H. F., Singer, A. C., Martorell, A. J., Rudenko, A., Gao, F., Gillingham, T. Z., Mathys, H., Seo, J., Kritskiy, O., Abdurrob, F., Adaikkan, C., Canter, R. G., Rueda, R., Brown, E. N., Boyden, E. S., & Tsai, L.-H. (2016). Gamma frequency entrainment attenuates amyloid load and modifies microglia. *Nature, 540*(7632), 230–235. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20587
- Jahani, S., Khosrowabadi, R., Mohammadi, A., & Bakhtazad, A. (2020). Functional brain network organization in professional athletes: An EEG study. *Brain Connectivity, 10*(6), 321–332. https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2019.0701

- McCraty, R., Atkinson, M., Tomasino, D., & Bradley, R. T. (2015). The coherent heart: Heart–brain interactions, psychophysiological coherence, and the emergence of system-wide order. *Frontiers in Psychology, 5*, 1095. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01095
- Menon, V. (2011). Large-scale brain networks and psychopathology: A unifying triple network model. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *15*(10), 483–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.08.003
- Schulte, T., Müller-Oehring, E. M., Pfefferbaum, A., & Sullivan, E. V. (2012). Neurocircuitry of emotion and cognition in alcoholism: Contributions from functional neuroimaging studies. *Addiction Biology, 17*(3), 527–538. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1369-1600.2011.00363.x
- Scolari, M., Seidl-Rathkopf, K. N., & Kastner, S. (2015). Functions of the human frontoparietal attention network: Evidence from neuroimaging. *Journal of Neuroscience, 35*(44), 14875–14883. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1568-15.2015
- Shaffer, F., & Ginsberg, J. P. (2017). An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *5*, 258. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00258
- Thayer, J. F., & Lane, R. D. (2009). Claude Bernard and the heart–brain connection: Further elaboration of a model of neurovisceral integration. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 33*(2), 81–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.004
- Yarrow, K., Brown, P., & Krakauer, J. W. (2009). Inside the athlete's brain: The neural processes that support high achievement in sports. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10*(8), 585–596. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2672