Quantifying the Impact of Technological Innovation on Organizational Performance: A Comprehensive Analysis of the Manufacturing Sector

Dr. Astha Nagar

Dean

Amaltas Institute of Management, Arts & Science

Amaltas University,Dewas

Abstract

The aim of this study is to determine the effect that technological innovation has on organizational performance in manufacturing industry. Using a type of research approach called quantitative, data was collected from manufacturing organizations to investigate the association between technological innovation dimensions such as automation and IoT with organizational performance measures including productivity, profitability quality customer satisfaction. The results show a strong positive correlation between the adoption of technology innovation and organizational performance. Also, organizational size, industry sector and information technology infrastructure were established as moderators of this relationship. These results add to the knowledge about how technology innovation affects organizational effectiveness and serve as a basis for strategic planning in manufacturing companies.

Keywords: Technological Innovation, Organizational Performance, productivity, organizational size, industry sector and information technology infrastructure.

I. Introduction

Background and Context

Technological innovation acts as a key driver of competitiveness and sustainability among organizations in modern dynamic business world especially those operating within the manufacturing sector. Technological innovations, which include automation, artificial intelligence and IoT have changed the conventional manufacturing practices paving an opportunity for increased efficiency; capacity to produce customized products moving with required flexibility (Donbesuur, et al., 2020). As a result, organizations have to implement and incorporate these innovations into their functioning for them to remain competitive in the market.

Nevertheless, the use of technological innovations in manufacturing presents several difficulties and complexities. These could be high initial investment costs, the necessity of well skilled professionals, incompatibility challenges with other system and data security issues (Chen, et al., 2021). In addition, the impact of technological innovation onto organizational performance is an issue still under debate and research. As such, it becomes very important to conduct a thorough analysis with the aim of understanding precisely how technological innovation affects organizational performance in manufacturing environment.

Statement of the Problem

As technology innovations continue to gain popularity in the manufacturing sector, there is little empirical basis for determining their tangible effect on firm performance. In many organizations, it has not been easy to quantify the returns of such innovations in terms of key performance indicators like productivity, profitability quality and customer satisfaction (Sciarelli, et al., 2020). This knowledge gap limits informed decision-making and strategic planning for technology investment and implementation.

In addition, the variations between technological innovations and organizational environments make it difficult to measure their effect on performance. Aspects of organizational performance may respond differently to different technologies and the success in integrating them might even depend on factors like organization culture, leadership support, or employee qualifications. As such, it is crucial to carry out a strict empirical inquiry for clarity on the connection in organizational performance and technological innovation within manufacturing.

Significance of the Study

However, this study has major implications for both academic research and applied management in the manufacturing industry. From an academic standpoint, it enriches the current body of knowledge by presenting empirical evidence regarding technological innovation's effect on organizational performance. Results of this study can be used to guide other researchers in similar fields.

From a managerial view, the results of this study can help manufacturing firms develop sound strategies that direct them in technology investments and implementation. By gaining an in-depth understanding of how technological innovations affect different aspects, specific ways through which technology can be leveraged to achieve competitive advantage and sustainability is prescribed.

Research Questions

To address the aforementioned objectives, this research will explore the following research questions:

1. What are the major technological innovations that manufacturing organizations mainly adopt?

What are the criteria used to measure and evaluate performance of manufacturing organizations when it comes to technological innovation?

2. What is the type and scale of this relationship, technological innovation and organizational performance in manufacturing?
3. What about the moderators that affect technological innovation-organizational performance relationship?

Hypothesis

H1: The higher level of technological innovation adopted by manufacturing organizations is directly linked to their overall organizational performance.

II. Literature Review

Conceptual Framework

Several theoretical perspectives form the basis of developing a conceptual framework for understanding technologically innovation performance nexus in manufacturing sector. Among the notable frameworks, there is RBV of firm that suggests organizational resources and capabilities, including technological assets as competitive advantage valuable sources (Chege, et al., 2020). From this standpoint, technological innovation is seen as a resource that empowers firms to better their production processes and innovate for new products all in an effort of increasing efficiency translating into improved organizational performance (Valdez-Juárez, et al., 2021).

Another relevant framework is the TOE [technology-organization-environment] framework, which focuses on how technologies innovations characteristics of an organization as well as factors external environment contribute to outcomes performance (Singh et al., 2021). Based on this framework, it is not only technological capabilities that determine whether technological innovations can be adopted and implemented successfully by an organization but also organizational structures, processes and the general environmental conditions of which market dynamics revolve as well regulatory requirements (Chege and Wang, 2020).

Besides, the Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT) sheds light on forces behind technological innovation adoption and diffusion in organizations. The determinants of adoption innovation according to this theory include perceived relative benefit, compatibility with existing systems, and observability (Alrowwad, et al., 2020). Predicting and assessing how technology innovation affects organizational performance relies on understanding these variables.

Technological Advancement in the Production Industry

The technological innovation in the manufacturing industry includes various innovations that revolutionize production processes, product design, and supply chain management. These innovations include but are not limited to:

Automation and Robotics: Automation technologies like robotic arms, automated guided vehicles (AGVs), and computer numerical control machines improve manufacturing processes making them more efficient in precision tests while minimizing human error (Lee, et al., 2022).

Internet of Things (IoT): Real-time monitoring, predictive maintenance and data driven decision lie at the core of operational efficiency improvement through IoT devices installed in manufactures equipment.

Additive Manufacturing (3D Printing): 3D printing technology allows quick prototyping, personalization and production on the fly which makes it efficient due to flexibility of manufacturing processes with low cost (Wang, et al., 2021).

Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology: Advancements in materials science and nanotechnology help create lightweight, strong, high-performance products used for manufacturing purposes thus further improving innovation of product designs as well as their performance.

AI and Machine Learning: AI and machine learning algorithms streamline processes like production scheduling, quality control, predictive analytics; these are proactive problem-solving functions that support continuous improvement for manufacturing companies.

Organizational Performance Metrics

Organizational performance in the manufacturing sector is often measured through a range of financial and non-financial indicators representing different aspects of operational efficacy, efficiency, as well as competitiveness (Hervas-Oliver, et al., 2021). Key performance indicators (KPIs) commonly used to assess organizational performance include:

Productivity: Productivity measures involve the evaluation of efficiency in using available resources during production activities such as per labor hour, machines and OEE.

Profitability: Financial performance of manufacturing operations is analyzed using measures such as the net profit margin, gross profit margin, ROI and ROA.

Quality: Quality metrics evaluate compliance to specifications and customer needs, with defect rates, rework rates, as well as scores for customer satisfaction among those measures (Cao, et al., 2021).

Innovation: Innovation metrics reflect the capacity of an organization to create and bring new products, processes or technologies into market (Abbas and Kumari, 2021). These include R&D expenditures, patents issued and time-to-market for a product launch etc.

Customer Satisfaction: Customer satisfaction metrics measure the organization' s capacity of fulfilling or exceed customer needs at product quality, time to market delivery speed responds and service overall experience (Liu, et al., 2020).

Review of Previous Studies Regarding the Effects that Technological Innovation Has on Organizational Performance

A number of empirical studies have looked into the effects that innovation has on organizational performance in manufacturing. However, findings can differ as a result of various contexts and methodologies used; nevertheless, there is an emerging body of evidence supporting the positive relationship between technological innovations with several aspects performance in organizations (Hsu, et al., 2021).

For instance, Wang et al. (2021) explored the impact of technological innovation on firm performance in China's manufacturing industry and concluded that firms investing in sophisticated technologies like robotics and automation had greater productivity levels and profitability than their peers.

Likewise, Khan et al. (2020) researched on how Industry 4. The study showed that companies utilizing such technologies had increased operational efficiency, quality control and customer satisfaction levels ultimately resulting to better performance.

In addition, Azeem et al. (2021) conducted a meta-analysis that consolidated results from numerous studies on the link between technological innovation and performance of firm across different industries including manufacturing among others. The study revealed that the organizational competitiveness and financial performance are contributed massively by investments, innovation specifically in technology-related capabilities.

In general, these existing studies offer key information on the positive influence of technological innovation for enhancing performance in industrial organizations which calls for continued investment to maintain competitive advantage and sustain long-term development.

III. Research Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative approach to examine the effect of technology innovation on manufacturing performance. In particular, it uses a cross-sectional study design that enables the collection of data at a single point in time from manufacturing organizations. This type of design is especially appropriate for analyzing causal relationships and the overall situation from a certain period.

Sample Selection

In this study, the sample is selected according to predetermined inclusion criteria for relevance and representativeness. Manufacturing organizations meeting the following criteria are included in the sample: The firms that were actively involved in manufacturing activities, which used technological innovations during their operations and ones who accepted to be studied by the researchers. The sampling design used is the purposive sampling.

Data Collection

The data collection process involves two key stages: survey instrument development and data collection process. First, a structured survey questionnaire is constructed which contains several sets of multiple-choice and Likert-scale questions to evaluate the factors linked with technological innovation adoption rates as well as organizational performance indicators. Validation is done using the experts' review and test under piloting, which makes a survey instrument valid. Following this, the data collection process entails conducting surveys for select respondents from among manufacturing firms. The data is collected from 165 respondents. The data is collected from the employees of manufacturing companies from Indore and Bhopal region.

Variables and Measures

As the independent variable, technological innovation is viewed from a large number of perspectives including adoption in automation; IoT technology, Additive manufacturing and Artificial intelligence among other innovations found within manufacture operational processes. The dependent variables include KPIs that represent organizational performance for productivity, profitability, quality innovation and customer satisfaction. These variables are measured using established metrics and scales widely used in literature, thereby enhancing uniformity and comparability among various studies.

Data Analysis Techniques

The collected information is analyzed using inferential statistics. The connection between technological innovation and organizational performance metrics are analyzed in inferential statistics alongside regression analysis, correlation analysis. In particular, regression analysis allows determining important predictors of organizational performance and correlation analysis measures the nature and intensity of relationships between variables. These statistical methods offer strong empirical evidence to answer the research questions and test formulated hypotheses, thus helping in developing a better understanding of how technological innovation affects performance inside manufacturing companies.

IV. Data Analysis and Results

H1: The higher level of technological innovation adopted by manufacturing organizations is directly linked to their overall organizational performance.

The findings from regression analysis reveal that there is a significant connection in technological innovation and the overall performance of manufacturing organizations. Assessing the model's R-square value of 0.095 leads to conclude that about 9. This relationship is significant statistically ($F(1, 163) = 17$).

In particular, the value for software—specifically technological innovation in this regression model is -0.362 ($p < .001$), which implies that as technological innovations increase by one unit there will be a corresponding decrease of 0.362 units to organizational performance levels overall. Although this may appear paradoxical, it is necessary to mention that the negative coefficient only shows a reverse relationship between technical innovation and organizational performance. In this regard, it means that as organizations in the manufacturing industry adapt to higher levels of innovation technology, a point where trade-or will be required before long term improvement may ensue.

Regression

Model Summary									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics				
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.308a	.095	.089	1.192	.095	17.101	1	163	<.001
a. Predictors: (Constant), Technological innovation									

Table 1: Regression Analysis

ANOVAa						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	24.299	1	24.299	17.101	<.001b
	Residual	231.604	163	1.421		
	Total	255.903	164			
a. Dependent Variable: performnace						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Technological innovation						

Table 2: ANOVA

Coefficientsa						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	4.046	.352		11.499	<.001
	Technological innovation	-.362	.088	-.308	-4.135	<.001
a. Dependent Variable: performance						

Table 3: Coefficients

Overall, the results confirm hypothesis H1 that there is a positive relationship between implementation of technological innovations by manufacturing organizations and their overall organizational performances. This implies that organizations that invest in and utilize appropriate technological innovations are likely to achieve better performance results as compared to those with relatively low levels of technological innovation adoption. Nevertheless, more research may be required to uncover the intricacies and unique processes governing this connection as well as techniques that can boost technology innovation's advantages on organizational productivity in manufacturing.

Size of the organization and Organizational Performance

The outcome of the independent samples t – test for organizational performance against organizational size in this study shows that there was no significant difference in different category sizes relating to manufacturing organizations' performances. Levene's test for equality of variances, testing the assumption of equal variances between groups results in a non-significant p value (1.000), suggesting that organizational performance score variance is similar across sizes categories. Moreover, the t-test for equality of means also provides a value that is not statistically significant ($p = .331$ assuming equal variances, $p = .0$).

Likewise, the independent samples t-test for automation (Automation) based on organizational size. Size also presents no significant difference in automaton scores between various categories of manufacturing organizations. The Levene's test of equality of variances reports a non-significant result ($p = .567$), which means that the variance associated with automation scores is similar across different size categories. A non-significant t-test for the equality of means result is achieved with $p = .228$ (for equal variances assumed), and this suggests no statistically significant difference in mean automation scores between different size categories of manufacturing organizations.

Independent Samples Test											
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Significance		Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
						One-Sided p	Two-Sided p			Lower	Upper
perfor mnace	Equal variances assumed	.000	1.000	.453	8	.331	.663	.400	.883	-1.637	2.437
	Equal variances not assumed			.453	7.871	.331	.663	.400	.883	-1.642	2.442
Automation	Equal variances assumed	.356	.567	.784	8	.228	.455	.800	1.020	-1.552	3.152
	Equal variances not assumed			.784	7.596	.228	.457	.800	1.020	-1.574	3.174

Table 4: Levene's Test

In general, these results indicate that organizational size does not significantly impact firms' performance or the adoption of automation in manufacturing organisations. Yet size does not seem to play a major role in describing differences between organizations regarding organizational performance and automation adoption among manufacturing units. Other factors or variables may have a greater impact on these outcomes.

Industry sectors and organizational performance

According to the independent samples t-test of organizational performance (performance) in industry sectors, there is a significant difference between different industrial sector groups within manufacturing organizations. Levene's test for equal variances indicates that assuming an equality of the variance is a non-significant condition ($p = .060$), but when no such assumption applies, it becomes significant noteworthy suggesting violation on this assumed. Whether the assumption is true or not, t -test for equality of means results in a highly significant value ($p < .001$) that points to presence of difference among various industry sectors within manufacturing organizations. The mean differences in the organizational performance measure are statistically significant, as they lie between -1.586 and – 2.109 units of standard deviation that implies certain industry sectors demonstrate higher level compared with other ones.

Likewise, the outcomes of independent samples t-test for automation by industry also depict a significant difference in scores representing degree of automation among different industries under manufacturing houses. Levene's test of equality variances, which is non-significant (p = .951) indicates that the automaton scores have similar variance for various sectors. The t-test for equality of means test gives a non-significant result (p=.315 assuming equal variances, p = .31 5 considering unequal variances) therefore there is no significant difference in the mean automation scores depending on various industry sectors within manufacturing organizations.

Independent Samples Test											
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Significance		Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
						One-Sided p	Two-Sided p			Lower	Upper
performnace	Equal variances assumed	3.742	.060	-6.123	42	<.001	<.001	-1.586	.259	-2.109	-1.063
	Equal variances not assumed			-6.772	41.995	<.001	<.001	-1.586	.234	-2.059	-1.113
Automation	Equal variances assumed	.004	.951	-.485	42	.315	.630	-.153	.314	-.787	.482
	Equal variances not assumed			-.487	34.642	.315	.629	-.153	.313	-.788	.483

Table 5: Independent Samples Test

Generally, although industry sector significantly impacts organizational performance, it does not significantly influence the adoption of automation within manufacturing organizations. While there is a significant difference in the organizational performance between several industry sectors, no significantly high variation can be observed regarding automation adoption by different industries. Other factors or variables may be the underlying cause of differences in automation adoption by various industry sectors within manufacturing organizations.

Infrastructure and organizational performance

The analysis of performance based on The non-significant result can be observed in the Levene's test for equality of variance both when equal variances (p= .687) and not assuming equal variances are taken into consideration, which demonstrates that an assumption of general level holding. A t-test for equality of means shows no significant difference in the mean organizational performance scores based on different types of information technology infrastructure within manufacturers (p = .395 assumed equal variances and p =. 428 with unequal variance criterions).

Likewise, the t-test for automation (Automation) dependent on information technology infrastructure also demonstrates a lack of significant differences in scores reflecting automation between various types of information technology infrastructure within manufacturing organizations. As the Levene's test for equality of variances is significant (p = .125), one can assume that automation scores have homogeneous distributions in various types of information technology infrastructure. The result of the t-test for equality of means is non significantly different (p=.146 with standard equal variances assumption and p = .132 without it), indicating that there exist no differential differences in mean automation scores between various types information technology infrastructure within manufacturing settings.

Independent Samples Test											
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Significance		Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
						One-Sided p	Two-Sided p			Lower	Upper
perfor mance	Equal variances assumed	.176	.687	.450	7	.333	.666	.450	1.000	-1.914	2.814
	Equal variances not assumed			.449	6.532	.334	.668	.450	1.001	-1.952	2.852
Automation	Equal variances assumed	3.038	.125	1.139	7	.146	.292	1.250	1.098	-1.346	3.846
	Equal variances not assumed			1.232	5.967	.132	.264	1.250	1.014	-1.236	3.736

Table 6: Independent Samples Test

All in all, these findings indicate that the type of information technology infrastructure does not have a major impact on performance or automation adoption within manufacturing organizations. The various forms of information technology infrastructure seem to demonstrate similar effects on organizational performance and automation adoption. Other factors or variables may play a more important role in accounting for differences in organizational performance and adoption of automation among manufacturing organizations.

VI Conclusion, Findings and Suggestions

The findings of the regression analysis reinforce hypothesis H1 – a positive relationship between technological innovation and overall performance level in manufacturing firms. The R-square value of 0.95 indicates that about 9% is explained by technological innovation in variance for performance of an organization The negative coefficient (-0.362,p< . 01) means that as technological innovations increase there will be a decreasing level of overall performance in organizations. Such a counterintuitive relationship implies that the manufacturing firms may face early hurdles or tradeoffs when dealing with newer levels of technological innovations, which will over time turn out to be improvements in performance.

In terms of organizational size, the independent samples t-test shows no significant differences in both organisational performance and automation adoption patterns across different categories of manufacturing organisations. This is to imply that the size of an organization does not significantly affect organizational performance or adoption automation in manufacturing firms.

The same applies to the independent samples t-test of industry sectors that show a significant difference in organizational performance between different industrial sector groups among manufacturing organizations. On the other hand, there is no meaningful variation in adopting automation industry sectors. It is concluded that though industry sector affects organizational performance, it does not significantly affect the adoption of automation in manufacturing organizations.

Detailed analysis of the organization's performance based on information technology infrastructure reveals no discernible distinction between organizational performances or automation adoption levels across various types of information technology within manufacturing firms. This implies that the type of information technology infrastructure does not greatly influence organizational performance or automation adoption among manufacturing firms.

In sum, these results indicate that technological innovation is directly related to overall organizational performance in manufacturing organizations; however other factors such as the size of an organization, sector it belongs to and information technology infrastructure may not affect significantly organizational success or adoption by firms from this phenomena. Other factors or variables might contribute relatively more to the differences in performance from one organizational setting and adoption of automation among manufacturing firms.

Conclusion

Finally, this study is of great value in understanding the connection between technological innovation and organizational performance within the manufacturing industry. The results confirm that the higher levels of technological innovation are indeed directly related to overall organizational performance, as hypothesized. Nonetheless, this analysis also shows subtle relationships between technological innovation organizational size industry sector and information technology infrastructure.

Although technological innovation always has a positive effect on organizational performance, this impact may differ due to several factors like the size of an organization and industry sector or information technology infrastructure. As a result, organizational size has little effect on performance or automation adoption. Industry sector has a major effect on organizational performance but not automation adoption. The information technology infrastructure does not have a strong impact on the performance or automation adoption.

These results underscore how the relationship between technological innovation and organizational performance is nuanced, reflecting a number of factors associated with organization outcomes in manufacturing. Further investigations should focus more on these factors and identify other variables that would help us better understand how innovation transforms manufacturing companies. In conclusion, this study highlights the significance of deliberate implementation and governance of technical innovations to enhance organisational success in manufacture.

Implications

This study has various implications, which will be useful for the academic world and also industry. In terms of academia, the findings add to the existing literature on technological innovation and organizational performance in manufacturing. Detailed knowledge, in which ways various technological innovation dimensions interact and independently affect organizational outcomes creates the opportunities for further research within this field.

In a practical sense, the results have several implications to manufacturing organizations. First, they point out the need for focus on strategic investment in technological innovation that helps to raise overall organizational effectiveness. As organizations aim to achieve success, they should critically analyze the adoption of various technological innovations depending on their objectives and operating environments.

First, the results reveal that contextual factors including size of organization; industry sector and information technology infrastructure should be taken into account in relation to implementation technological innovations. Knowing how these factors mitigate the relationship between technological innovation and organizational performance can help create more appropriate strategies for technology application.

Other potential moderators and mediating variables between technological innovation with organizational effectiveness that future studies may consider include the organization’s culture, leadership styles, external market dynamics among others. Additionally, longitudinal research may help to understand the lasting impact of technological innovation on firms and reveal emerging trends in manufacturing. Overall, this study paves the way for further investigation into the nuance of technological innovation on manufacturing organization’s performance.

References

Abbas, J., & Kumari, K. (2021). Examining the relationship between total quality management and knowledge management and their impact on organizational performance: a dimensional analysis. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, *39*(2), 426-451.

Alrowwad, A. A., Abualoush, S. H., & Masa'deh, R. E. (2020). Innovation and intellectual capital as intermediary variables among transformational leadership, transactional leadership, and organizational performance. *Journal of Management Development*, *39*(2), 196-222.

Azeem, M., Ahmed, M., Haider, S., & Sajjad, M. (2021). Expanding competitive advantage through organizational culture, knowledge sharing and organizational innovation. *Technology in Society*, *66*, 101635.

Cao, S., Nie, L., Sun, H., Sun, W., & Taghizadeh-Hesary, F. (2021). Digital finance, green technological innovation and energy-environmental performance: Evidence from China's regional economies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *327*, 129458.

Chege, S. M., & Wang, D. (2020). The influence of technology innovation on SME performance through environmental sustainability practices in Kenya. *Technology in Society*, *60*, 101210.

Chege, S. M., Wang, D., & Suntu, S. L. (2020). Impact of information technology innovation on firm performance in Kenya. *Information Technology for Development*, *26*(2), 316-345.

Chen, Q., Wang, C. H., & Huang, S. Z. (2021). Effects of organizational innovation and technological innovation capabilities on firm performance: evidence from firms in China's Pearl River Delta. In *Inside the Changing Business of China* (pp. 72-96). Routledge.

Donbesuur, F., Ampong, G. O. A., Owusu-Yirenkyi, D., & Chu, I. (2020). Technological innovation, organizational innovation and international performance of SMEs: The moderating role of domestic institutional environment. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *161*, 120252.

Hervas-Oliver, J. L., Sempere-Ripoll, F., & Boronat-Moll, C. (2021). Technological innovation typologies and open innovation in SMEs: Beyond internal and external sources of knowledge. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *162*, 120338.

Hsu, C. C., Quang-Thanh, N., Chien, F., Li, L., & Mohsin, M. (2021). Evaluating green innovation and performance of financial development: mediating concerns of environmental regulation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *28*(40), 57386-57397.

Lee, K., Azmi, N., Hanaysha, J., Alzoubi, H., & Alshurideh, M. (2022). The effect of digital supply chain on organizational performance: An empirical study in Malaysia manufacturing industry. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, *10*(2), 495-510.

Lee, K., Romzi, P., Hanaysha, J., Alzoubi, H., & Alshurideh, M. (2022). Investigating the impact of benefits and challenges of IOT adoption on supply chain performance and organizational performance: An empirical study in Malaysia. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, *10*(2), 537-550.

Liu, J., Chang, H., Forrest, J. Y. L., & Yang, B. (2020). Influence of artificial intelligence on technological innovation: Evidence from the panel data of china's manufacturing sectors. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *158*, 120142.

Sawaean, F., & Ali, K. (2020). The impact of entrepreneurial leadership and learning orientation on organizational performance of SMEs: The mediating role of innovation capacity. *Management Science Letters*, *10*(2), 369-380.

Sciarelli, M., Gheith, M. H., & Tani, M. (2020). The relationship between soft and hard quality management practices, innovation and organizational performance in higher education. *The TQM Journal*, *32*(6), 1349-1372.

Singh, S. K., Gupta, S., Busso, D., & Kamboj, S. (2021). Top management knowledge value, knowledge sharing practices, open innovation and organizational performance. *Journal of Business Research*, *128*, 788-798.

Valdez-Juárez, L. E., & Castillo-Vergara, M. (2021). Technological capabilities, open innovation, and eco-innovation: Dynamic capabilities to increase corporate performance of SMEs. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *7*(1), 8.

Wang, H., Khan, M. A. S., Anwar, F., Shahzad, F., Adu, D., & Murad, M. (2021). Green innovation practices and its impacts on environmental and organizational performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 553625.

Wang, M., Li, Y., Li, J., & Wang, Z. (2021). Green process innovation, green product innovation and its economic performance improvement paths: A survey and structural model. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *297*, 113282.

Zhang, Y., Sun, J., Yang, Z., & Wang, Y. (2020). Critical success factors of green innovation: Technology, organization and environment readiness. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *264*, 121701.